



2025/2212(INI)

7.10.2026

AMENDMENTS

1 - 321

Draft report

Niclas Herbst, Salvatore De Meo

(PE790.810v01-00)

Institutional aspects of the Common European Defence Union
(2025/2212(INI))

Amendment 1
Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Article **222** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Amendment

– having regard to Article **114, 173, 222, 326 to 334, 346, 347 and 348** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Or. en

Amendment 2
Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community,*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Defence Community (EDC),*

Or. en

Amendment 4
Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard Article 51 of the
Charter of the United Nations,*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of
22 November 2023 on proposals of the
European Parliament for the amendment
of the Treaties²,* *deleted*

² OJ C, C/2024/4216, 24.7.2024, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2024/4216/oj>.

Or. en

Amendment 6
Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz, Marta Wcisło

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of
22 November 2023 on proposals of the
European Parliament for the amendment
of the Treaties²,* *deleted*

² OJ C, C/2024/4216, 24.7.2024, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2024/4216/oj>.

Or. pl

Amendment 7

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 22 November 2023 on proposals of the European Parliament for the amendment of the Treaties²,* *deleted*

² OJ C, C/2024/4216, 24.7.2024, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2024/4216/oj>.

Or. en

Amendment 8

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 29 February 2024 on deepening EU integration in view of future enlargement,*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 22 October 2025 on the institutional consequences of the EU enlargement negotiations,*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 21 January 2026 on the implementation of the common security and defence policy – annual report 2025⁴,*

deleted

⁴ OJ C, C/2026/3689, 5.8.2026, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/3689/oj>.

Or. en

Amendment 11
Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 11 March 2026 on flagship European defence projects of common interest (2025/2144(INI))^{1a}*

^{1a}

<https://oeil.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/en/pro>

Or. en

Amendment 12
Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 23 November 2022 on recognising the Russian Federation as a state sponsor of terrorism,*

Or. en

Amendment 13
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and its hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity can no longer be taken for granted in Europe;

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and its hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity can no longer be taken for granted in Europe; *whereas the Union's response must be guided by the principles of Article 21 TEU, including the preservation of peace, conflict prevention and the strengthening of international security in accordance with the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter, and must prioritise diplomatic, civilian and preventive instruments alongside military readiness;*

Or. en

Amendment 14
Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and *its* hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity can no longer be taken for granted in Europe;

Amendment

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy, *the sovereignty, sovereign rights* and territorial integrity *of Member States* can no longer be taken for granted in Europe; *whereas threats against the sovereignty, sovereign rights or territorial integrity of a Member State, irrespective of their origin, constitute a matter of concern for the Union as a whole;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and *its hostile actions* against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity *can no longer be taken for granted in* Europe;

Amendment

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and *state-sponsored terrorism, hybrid warfare, shaping operations and attacks* against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity *are existentially at stake and depend on the actions* Europe takes today; *whereas this conflict shows the urgent need for the Member States to define a common perception of threats and demonstrate genuine solidarity with the frontline Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 16

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and ***its hostile actions*** against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity ***can no longer be taken for granted in*** Europe;

Amendment

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and ***state-sponsored terrorism, hybrid warfare, shaping operations and attacks*** against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity; ***are existentially at stake and depend on the actions*** Europe takes today;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and its hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity can no longer be taken for granted in Europe;

Amendment

A. whereas Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and its ***growing*** hostile actions against Member States show that peace, democracy and territorial integrity can no longer be taken for granted in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Klára Dobrev

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas the security of Ukraine is

interlinked with European, transatlantic and global security; whereas European security is inextricably linked to the security of its immediate neighbourhood, including the Northern Neighbourhood, and in particular to Ukraine's ability to resist Russia's war of aggression;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Geadis Geadis, Marion Maréchal

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas the Republic of Cyprus, an EU Member State, has faced the continued occupation of part of its territory by Turkey since 1974, in violation of international law and of its sovereignty and territorial integrity;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Klára Dobrev

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas Ukraine is a European state whose future lies within the European Union, and whereas its accession to the Union would contribute significantly to lasting peace, security and stability across the European continent;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Hans Neuhoff, Stanisław Tyszka, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the *EU faces* conventional military and nuclear threats *and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;*

Amendment

B. whereas the *Member States face a broad and multi-dimensional spectrum of security threats, including uncontrolled illegal migration and its instrumentalization by state and non-state actors, Islamist and other forms of terrorism, organised crime, cyberattacks and cybercrime, the malicious use of artificial intelligence, sabotage and attacks against critical infrastructure, as well as* conventional military and nuclear threats; *whereas security and defence planning must be based on an objective and comprehensive 360-degree threat assessment rather than on a single threat scenario;*

Or. en

Amendment 22

Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid *attacks*, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and *persistent* hybrid *operations*, including cyberattacks, sabotage, *interference with satellite-based navigation and communication* and the spread of disinformation; *whereas such hostile activities may be conducted continuously, including in times of peace, and deliberately remain below the threshold of armed aggression;*

Or. en

Amendment 23

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation; ***whereas these threats and attacks intensify, both in scale and sophistication, undermining the security, resilience and strategic autonomy of the European Union and its Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 24

Geadis Gead, Marion Maréchal

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation, ***the instrumentalisation of illegal migration flows, airspace violations and attacks against critical infrastructure;***

Or. en

Amendment 25

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage **and the spread of disinformation;**

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage; **recurrent violations of airspace by drones and missiles at the eastern border, assassinations, and Foreign Information Manipulation and Interference;**

Or. en

Amendment 26

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage **and** the spread of disinformation;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage, the spread of disinformation, **the instrumentalisation of migration and coordinated pressure against the Union's external borders;**

Or. en

Amendment 27

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU faces conventional military and nuclear threats, **state sponsored terrorism** and hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage and the spread of disinformation;

Amendment 28
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas threats to Member States' security are not limited to the eastern flank; whereas the southern flank, in particular the Mediterranean, North Africa and the Sahel, poses serious challenges to Member States' security; whereas the deliberate exploitation of illegal immigration by third countries and other actors is a hybrid threat; whereas effective protection for the external borders in the south and in outermost regions, as well as the territorial integrity, sovereignty and national security of the Member States concerned, should be a key priority in any European security and defence cooperation;

Or. es

Amendment 29
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas the security of the Union's external borders is an integral part of European security; whereas coordinated attempts to destabilise, overwhelm or exert political pressure on a Member State through its external borders may have consequences for the security of the Union as a whole and would thus require an all-Union response

possibly under Article 42 (7) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. *whereas numerous Western intelligence agencies have stated that Russia has the capability and intent to attack an EU Member State much earlier than 2030, including by a ground incursion, as soon as months after a cessation of hostilities in Ukraine;*

Or. en

Amendment 31
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. *whereas numerous Western intelligence agencies have stated that Russia has the capability and intent to attack an EU Member State much earlier than 2030, including by a ground incursion, as soon as months after a cessation of hostilities in Ukraine;*

Or. en

Amendment 32
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas the United States has stated that Europe should take primary responsibility for its own conventional defence as soon as 2027, has already initiated major force and capability withdrawals, and will soon present its force posture review, likely announcing further withdrawals; whereas recent actions and statements by the United States administration, including with regard to Greenland and with regard to the security of digital and financial infrastructure of European countries, have further underlined the unpredictability of the transatlantic security relationship;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas the escalating Russian sabotage operations in Europe do not constitute a ‘hybrid warfare’ campaign intended merely to pressure governments into decreasing their support for Ukraine, but state-sponsored terrorism fulfilling the role of a deliberate shaping operation, testing weak spots and identifying response thresholds ahead of a future direct attack; whereas this implies that the Russian Federation is now engaged in a preparatory phase of military operations against the EU and other European countries supporting Ukraine;

Amendment 34

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bc. whereas the United States has stated that Europe should take primary responsibility for its own conventional defence as soon as 2027, has already initiated major force and capability withdrawals, and will soon present its force posture review, likely announcing further withdrawals; whereas recent actions and statements by the United States administration, including with regard to Greenland and the security of allies' digital and financial infrastructure, have further underlined the unpredictability of the transatlantic security relationship;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bd. whereas Europe's reliance on the United States as its principal security provider under the NATO umbrella must shift, and that geopolitical circumstances, in particular Russia's imperialist aggression against Ukraine as well as threats against Europe, have made the shift towards European responsibility more urgent than ever before;

Amendment 36

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Be. whereas the Parliament has previously noted that NATO remains a crucial component of collective transatlantic territorial defence, and that the EU and NATO play complementary and mutually reinforcing roles in enhancing international security; Whereas strengthening Europe's autonomy in defence and deterrence can and should go hand in hand with strengthening NATO and Euro-Atlantic cooperation; whereas the Union, especially in light of Article 42 TEU, has a critical role to play in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bf. whereas many Member States and other European countries attach great importance to NATO and wish to avoid unnecessary duplication and hollowing out NATO; whereas the reliability of NATO, or its constituent parts, as a provider of collective defence has become less predictable, and not as a result of European actions;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital B h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bh. whereas EU-NATO cooperation has been governed since 2016 by three successive Joint Declarations, covering hybrid threats, operations, cyber defence, capabilities, defence industry and research, exercises, and partner resilience-building; whereas staff-to-staff cooperation between the two organisations has produced concrete results, but political-level consultation between the North Atlantic Council and the Political and Security Committee has remained irregular and under-institutionalised; whereas this institutional relationship continues to be constrained by the unresolved political disagreement between Cyprus and Türkiye, which prevents the full application of the 2003 EU-NATO Security of Information Agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Alexandre Varaut

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and

deleted

strengthening NATO's European pillar;

Or. fr

Amendment 40

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership ***and strengthening*** NATO's European pillar;

Amendment

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security ***and strengthen its strategic autonomy in defence by developing credible European capabilities***, while preserving the transatlantic partnership; ***establishing*** NATO's European pillar ***through unified decision-making and capabilities***; ***whereas NATO remains the foundation of collective defence for allied Member States***;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar;

Amendment

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU ***and Member States, supported by the Union***, to assume greater responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar;

Amendment 42
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security, ***while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar***;

Amendment

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the EU to assume greater responsibility for European security;

Amendment 43
Stanisław Tyszka, Hans Neuhoff, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the ***EU to assume greater responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar***;

Amendment

C. whereas the EU is a peace project committed to protecting its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is increasingly necessary for the ***Member States to preserve*** the transatlantic partnership and ***strengthen*** NATO's European pillar;

Amendment 44
Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the EU **is** a peace project committed to **protecting** its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is **increasingly** necessary for the EU to **assume greater** responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar;

Amendment

C. whereas the EU **was created as** a peace project **and has always been** committed to **protect** its citizens and defending its values; whereas it is necessary for the EU to **take** responsibility for European security, while preserving the transatlantic partnership and strengthening NATO's European pillar;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas NATO remains the cornerstone of collective defence for its members; whereas a stronger and more capable European Union in security and defence, able to act autonomously where necessary and with partners wherever possible, would strengthen Europe's capacity to take responsibility for its own security and reinforce NATO's European pillar;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cb. whereas greater European strategic autonomy should be based on stronger European capabilities, resilient infrastructure, reduced strategic dependencies and a more integrated European defence technological and industrial base;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the ***EU is committed to progressively establishing a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen*** deterrence and defence capabilities, ***prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;***

Amendment

D. whereas the ***Member States are committed to progressively strengthening European defence cooperation in order to reinforce*** deterrence and defence capabilities, ***while respecting the Member States' primary responsibility for their armed forces and strengthening the European pillar of NATO;***

Or. en

Amendment 48
Stanisław Tyszka, Hans Neuhoﬀ, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the ***EU is committed to progressively establishing a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;***

Amendment

D. ***whereas Article 42(2) TEU provides for the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy; whereas the establishment of common defence requires a unanimous decision of the European Council and subsequent adoption by the Member States in accordance with their respective***

constitutional requirements; whereas the Treaties do not refer to a ‘Common European Defence Union’;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas *the EU is committed to progressively establishing a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;*

Amendment

D. whereas *Europe’s security depends first on capable national armed forces, on NATO as the cornerstone of collective defence and on practical European cooperation that closes capability gaps; whereas Article 42(2) TEU makes any move towards a common defence subject to a unanimous decision of the European Council and to the constitutional requirements of the Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 50
Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the EU *is committed* to progressively *establishing* a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;

Amendment

D. whereas the EU *may decide, in accordance with the political will of its Member States,* to progressively *establish* a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;

Or. fr

Amendment 51

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the EU is committed to ***progressively establishing*** a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;

Amendment

D. whereas the EU is committed to ***establish*** a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the Union's own capability-planning cycle, run through the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence and the Capability Development Plan, and NATO's Defence Planning Process, which sets Alliance capability targets, continue to run on separate timelines and with limited mutual visibility, so that Union financing decisions can be taken before it is clear whether they close a gap NATO has also identified, duplicate a capability another framework is already funding, or leave a genuine NATO target unaddressed; whereas the standing NATO-EU Capability Group, established to prevent exactly this kind of divergence, remains under-used relative to the scale of the coordination problem;

Amendment 53

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas Article 42(2) TEU provides that the common security and defence policy shall lead to a common defence when the European Council, acting unanimously, so decides; whereas, read together with Articles 42(1), 42(7) and 43 TEU, which confine CSDP missions to peace-keeping, conflict prevention and strengthening international security outside the Union, common defence must be understood as encompassing the territorial defence of the Union, which until now has been the exclusive domain of NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas, pursuant to Article 42(7) TEU, national security remains the sole responsibility of each Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Db. whereas the recent agreement within NATO for European four-star officers to assume command of all three Joint Force Commands — Brunssum, Naples and the renamed North (formerly Norfolk) — is a first step in the Europeanisation of NATO that should be built upon; whereas sub-regional coalitions of states sharing a common threat environment can reach agreement, and delegate authority to each other, faster and further than the Alliance as a whole; whereas European leadership over the Component Commands could be a next step to continue this trend for the theatre level;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ultimately be complemented by common defence;

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ultimately be complemented by common defence; ***whereas in 1952 the first attempt at post-war European integration came as a defence project in the form of the proposed European Defence Community, which would have created a European pillar within the transatlantic alliance with a single command and force structure, but which was never ratified by all its Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 57

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ultimately be complemented by common defence;

Amendment

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ultimately be complemented by common defence;
whereas the Treaty on Defence Community signed in 1952 provided for the establishment of a European Defence Community, reflecting an early ambition to develop a common European defence framework;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ***ultimately*** be complemented by common defence;

Amendment

E. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would be complemented by common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the founders of European integration envisaged that political and economic integration would ultimately be complemented by common defence; whereas the attempted European Defence Community preceded the European Coal and Steel Community as the first serious attempt to build an integrated Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas in 1998, at St Malo, after the experience of the Balkan Wars, a second attempt to establish a European pillar in NATO was made, including a 60 000-strong rapid reaction force, but which was ultimately called off after the intervention of the US Secretary of State;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas the Lisbon Treaty already provides the legal foundations for a CEDU, including Articles 42(7) and 44 TEU, the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA);

F. whereas the Lisbon Treaty already provides the legal foundations for a CEDU, including Articles 42(7) and 44 TEU, the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA); *whereas, since the Lisbon Treaty, the EU is committed to*

progressively establishing a Common European Defence Union (CEDU) to strengthen deterrence and defence capabilities, prevent conflict and promote peace, in accordance with Articles 3(5) and 42(2) TEU; whereas any strengthening of the CSDP must be carried out in full consistency with Member States' NATO commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Stanisław Tyszką, Hans Neuhoff, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas the *Lisbon Treaty already provides the legal foundations for a CEDU*, including *Articles 42(7) and 44 TEU*, the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA);

Amendment

F. whereas the *existing Treaties provide instruments for defence cooperation*, including *Article 42(7) TEU*, Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA); *whereas these instruments do not in themselves establish common defence; whereas a decision to establish common defence is subject to the procedure laid down in Article 42(2) TEU*;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas the Lisbon Treaty already provides the legal foundations for a CEDU,

Amendment

F. whereas the Lisbon Treaty already provides the legal foundations for a CEDU,

including Articles 42(7) and 44 TEU, the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA);

including Articles 42(7) and 44 TEU, the Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Agency (EDA); *whereas this framework is due for a revision in light of the rapidly changing security context;*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas the Court of Auditors has repeatedly identified the fragmentation of European defence spending and capability planning as a structural obstacle to the effectiveness of Union defence investment, and has called for stronger coordination between Union instruments and Member States' capability-planning processes;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fb. whereas neither the Council nor the Commission has defined the scope of what is to be encapsulated in a Common European Defence Union, nor has this progressed in practice since the adoption of the Lisbon Treaty; whereas the term 'European Pillar of NATO' has also remained undefined, including in terms of its membership and how this relates to EU

membership, its decision-making and governance, and its operational capabilities; whereas European members of NATO comprise 23 EU Member States, four accession countries, two associated countries and one other European state; whereas several accession countries are not NATO members and are not seeking, or do not have a credible perspective of, NATO membership; whereas the Parliament has previously noted the need to strengthen security and defence ties with accession countries with sufficient CFSP alignment and implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas ***these*** instruments remain fragmented and lack a coherent institutional framework for rapid decision-making, strategic direction and democratic accountability;

Amendment

G. whereas ***existing*** instruments, ***chiefly in the CSDP***, remain fragmented and lack a coherent institutional framework for rapid decision-making, strategic direction and democratic accountability, ***including due to the unanimity bottleneck; whereas this fragmentation extends to the industrial and capability-planning domain, where the European Defence Agency, operating along intergovernmental lines under Article 45 TEU, and the Commission's Directorate-General for Defence Industry and Space, operating along supranational lines, risk developing into parallel rather than complementary centres of gravity, in particular through the Defence Industrial Readiness Board established under the European Defence Industrial Programme; whereas the Parliament has repeatedly called for measures for a more integrated European defence industrial base, capable of reducing fragmentation,***

promoting standardisation and interoperability and supporting joint procurement and long-term production, supported by dedicated financing tools; whereas the Parliament has repeatedly called for the removal of unjustified barriers in the internal defence market and the development of common standards and procedures enabling faster procurement, production, maintenance and delivery within the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas these instruments **remain** fragmented and **lack** a coherent institutional framework for rapid decision-making, strategic direction and democratic accountability;

Amendment

G. whereas ***the utilisation of*** these instruments ***remains*** fragmented and ***based on a lack of political will of the Member States' governments to make use of them;*** ***whereas*** a coherent institutional framework for rapid decision-making ***with*** strategic direction and democratic accountability ***should be developed;***

Or. en

Amendment 68
Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the European defence market remains fragmented; whereas European armed forces operate more than 170 different major weapon systems,

compared with around 30 in the United States; whereas less than one fifth of defence equipment is procured collaboratively, far below the objective of at least 40 % by 2030 set out in the European Defence Industrial Strategy; whereas almost 80 % of Member States' defence procurement between June 2022 and June 2023 went to suppliers outside the Union; whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service estimates the efficiency loss caused by insufficient European cooperation at close to 20 % of defence spending;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas Union defence instruments should strengthen security of supply across the Union and avoid excessive geographical concentration of production; calls for transparent criteria supporting cross-border supply chains and the effective participation of SMEs and mid-caps, including in Member States with less developed defence industrial ecosystems and in regions most exposed to security threats;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution

Recital G b (new)

Gb. whereas Article 346(1)(b) TFEU allows a Member State to take the measures it considers necessary for the protection of the essential interests of its security which are connected with the production of or trade in arms, munitions and war material; whereas, according to settled case-law of the Court of Justice, that provision constitutes an exception which must be interpreted strictly and whereas the extensive and insufficiently justified use of that provision, including for primarily industrial or economic purposes, continues to undermine the proper functioning of the internal market for defence and the development of the European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB);

Or. en

Amendment 71

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture; ***whereas Article 42.7 does not specify collective action, the reality of the threats faced by Europe must imply a capacity for collective defence if Article 42.7 is triggered;***

Or. en

Amendment 72

Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism ***rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements***, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism ***and be further operationalised through predictable and effective cooperation among Member States***, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***should trigger a permanent EU mechanism rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements***, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***triggers an obligation among Member States of aid and assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State***, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Stanisław Tyszką, Hans Neuhoř, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***should trigger*** a permanent ***EU*** mechanism ***rather than ad hoc intergovernmental***

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***establishes an obligation between the Member States and does not provide a***

arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

legal basis for a permanent Union mechanism;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should **trigger** a permanent EU **mechanism** rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should **be further implemented by** a permanent EU **strategy** rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism **rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements**, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism **facilitating cooperation and coordination among Member States**, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism ***rather than*** ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU should trigger a permanent EU mechanism, ***which can complement*** ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***should trigger a permanent EU mechanism rather than ad hoc intergovernmental arrangements, while complementing Article 222 TFEU through a coherent institutional architecture;***

Amendment

H. whereas Article 42(7) TEU ***provides a basis for mutual assistance between Member States in the event of armed aggression and remains a national decision of each Member State;***

Or. en

Amendment 79
Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the CEDU should reinforce NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, capability development, military mobility and crisis management, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations;

Amendment

I. whereas the CEDU should reinforce NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, capability development, military mobility, ***operational planning, command structures*** and crisis management, while

respecting the autonomy of both organisations; *whereas the requirement in Article 42(2) TEU that the common defence be compatible with the policy established within the NATO framework is an open legal concept calling for complementarity and coordination, not for the absence of a European capacity to defend the Union's territory;*

Or. en

Amendment 80

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the CEDU should **reinforce** NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, capability development, military mobility and crisis management, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations;

Amendment

I. whereas the CEDU should **establish** NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, **joint decision-making**, capability development **and procurement, command and control, force structure, sustainment and generation**, military mobility and crisis management, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations; **and taking into account the specific needs of Member States geographically exposed on the Eastern Flank;**

Or. en

Amendment 81

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the CEDU should reinforce NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, capability

Amendment

I. whereas the CEDU should reinforce NATO's European pillar through closer strategic coordination, capability

development, military mobility and crisis management, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations;

development, military mobility and crisis management, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations ***and enabling European Member States to assume greater responsibility for their own security and defence, in full complementarity with the Alliance;***

Or. en

Amendment 82
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the Secretary-General of NATO Mark Rutte stated in the European Parliament on 26 January 2026, that: '(...) a European pillar is a bit of an empty word. I guess what you mean is a sort of, next to each nation, to have a sort of European Defence Force, but of course that's up to the European countries to decide, but I think there will be a lot of duplication. I wish you luck if you want to do it, because you have to find the men and women in uniform, it will be on top of what is happening already, and it will make things more complicated. I think Putin will love it. So think again. (...);'

Or. en

Amendment 83
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas PESCO is open to third-country participation in individual

projects, subject to conditions established by Council Decision (CFSP) 2020/1639, including that the third State shares the Union's values and objectives, brings substantial added value to the project concerned, and does not create undue dependency; whereas Canada, Norway, the United States and the United Kingdom already participate in PESCO projects on this basis;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the CEDU should enable Member States, and especially those who are not part of NATO, to collectively defend each other in a geopolitical reality in which the US as the largest NATO partner is not reliable anymore and Ukraine as an EU candidate country is defending itself against Russia;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas the Parliament has previously underlined that the United States has so far remained the EU's most important strategic ally and is essential to NATO's collective defence and European

security, resilience and deterrence; whereas the United States is strategically reorienting towards the Indo-Pacific, which includes European force reductions, and has also adopted a National Security Strategy which makes its positioning towards Europe and collective security less predictable; whereas the Parliament has recognised the need for the EU and its Member States to be ready to act autonomously on the basis of Article 42(2) and Article 42(7) TEU, in particular in the unlikely event of paralysis of NATO decision-making, and, second, the need to prevent any competition with, and avoid any unnecessary duplication of, NATO's defence mechanisms; whereas the Parliament underlined that a stronger and more capable EU in the field of security and defence would reinforce European interests, contribute to a stronger transatlantic partnership with the United States and achieve shared security objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital I c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ic. whereas the resolution of 21 January 2026 on the implementation of the Common Security and Defence Policy – Annual Report 2025 calls on the Commission and the HR/VP to ‘make better use of PESCO projects and propose new ways for the EU and its Member States to acquire joint assets to support military use, such as strategic enablers, including in space, to be deployed by Member States on the understanding that “military or defence implications” (Article

41 TEU) arise out of the use of assets for military purposes, not their mere ownership or maintenance’;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital I d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Id. whereas the resolution of 12 March 2025 on the White Paper on the Future of European Defence stated that Strategic Enablers ‘provide a genuine EU added value in order to address most extreme military contingencies’, supported the European Defence Projects of Common Interest, ‘particularly in space and European air defence, to act on the whole spectrum of threats, military mobility, in particular strategic and tactical air transport, deep strikes, drone and anti-drone technologies, missiles and munitions, and artificial intelligence, in order to develop sovereign infrastructure and critical enablers’, and that ‘for the SAFE instrument to be financially efficient, it should primarily be a vehicle for jointly procuring, maintaining and operating strategic enablers, especially those providing intelligence surveillance and reconnaissance’;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital I e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ie. whereas the Commission has proposed enhanced gradual integration as a method to advance the European integration of, and speed up the accession process for, candidate countries; whereas enhanced gradual integration is also meant to serve the geopolitical goals of the Union by strengthening defence and security ties with accession countries; whereas enhanced gradual integration of accession countries needs to be grounded in the Union's legal framework;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz, Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties puts forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 90

Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties puts forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

deleted

Amendment 91

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties puts forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

deleted

Amendment 92

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties puts forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties puts forward important proposals for developing a CEDU, including the establishment of military units under operational command of the Union, financed by a dedicated budget, fully subject to co-decision by the European Parliament;

Amendment 93

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties ***puts*** forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

Amendment

J. whereas its resolution of 22 November 2023 on its proposals for the amendment of the Treaties ***and subsequent annual reports on the implementation of the CSDP and the resolution on the White Paper put*** forward important proposals for developing a CEDU;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas the Secretary-General of NATO Mark Rutte stated in the European Parliament on 26 January 2026, that: '(...) if anyone thinks here that the European Union, or Europe as a whole, can defend itself without the US, keep on dreaming. You can't. We can't. We need each other'; whereas he added that 'if you really want to go it alone, forget that you can ever get there with 5 per cent. It will be 10 per cent (...)';

Or. en

Amendment 95

Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties and, if required, targeted Treaty changes;

deleted

Amendment 96

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties and, if required, targeted Treaty changes;

Amendment

K. ***whereas a credible institutional set-up of the CEDU must enable and empower EU Defence Readiness, meaning it must enable progress across the gamut of aspects that define Europe's ability to deter and defend against aggression, including institutions and organisation, decision-making and governance, command and control coupled with intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance, military capabilities and capability planning, defence industrial capacity and innovation, military training and education, and military mobility;*** whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties and, if required, targeted Treaty changes; ***whereas the Commission, in response to geopolitical developments, has developed or amended a range of instruments in relation to defence capabilities, but corresponding governance structures have not evolved;***

Or. en

Amendment 97

Reinier Van Lanschot

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires

the full use of existing ***Treaties and, if required***, targeted Treaty changes;

the full use of existing ***Treaty provisions and*** targeted Treaty changes; ***whereas the EU's ability to take decisive action in response to external threats has been repeatedly hampered by the requirement for unanimity, with certain Member States blocking or delaying critical military aid to Ukraine and hence undermining European security;***

Or. en

Amendment 98
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties and, if required, targeted Treaty changes;

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties and, if required, targeted Treaty changes, ***in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 48 TEU; whereas the passerelle clause under Article 48(7) TEU cannot be used for decisions with military implications or in the area of defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 99
Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a ***credible*** CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties ***and, if required***, targeted Treaty changes;

Amendment

K. whereas a ***genuine*** CEDU requires the full use of existing ***flexibility instruments under the*** Treaties, ***including PESCO, constructive abstention and qualified majority voting where already provided for, combined with*** targeted

Treaty changes;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires *the* full use of existing Treaties *and, if required*, targeted Treaty *changes*;

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires *making* full use of *the possibilities provided for by the* existing Treaties *while allowing for* targeted Treaty *amendments where necessary to address identified institutional needs*;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz, Marta Weisło

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties *and, if required, targeted Treaty changes*;

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties;

Or. pl

Amendment 102

Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires

the full use of existing Treaties *and, if required, targeted Treaty changes*;

the full use of existing Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties *and, if required, targeted Treaty changes*;

Amendment

K. whereas a credible CEDU requires the full use of existing Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Cristian Terheş

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas national security remains the sole responsibility of each Member State under Article 4(2) TEU, and the specific character of the security and defence policy of certain Member States is protected by Articles 42(2) and 42(7) TEU; whereas these safeguards are the foundation of trust on which deeper defence cooperation can be built, as Article 42(2) TEU itself provides for the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, leading to common defence once the European Council, acting unanimously, so decides and Member States adopt that decision in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas effective theatre-wide deterrence and defence requires political unity, so that command arrangements and political cohesion are two sides of the same coin; whereas until Europe builds theatre-wide political and military coordination, its way of war will be defined less by what it wants to do than by what it is able to do;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the Union, through its broad competences, regulatory powers and financial and civilian instruments, has a particular responsibility and added value in strengthening preparedness and resilience against such threats, in complementarity with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. Whereas there is currently no legally established definition of the concept of a Common European Defence Union (CEDU), creating uncertainty as to its scope, objectives and institutional implications;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Reinier Van Lanschot

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas there is an urgent need to strengthen parliamentary oversight of European defence in order to guarantee a sound democratic basis for this crucial policy area;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas ambitious integration of Europe's armed forces and related expenditure and procurement would free up significant resources for investment in healthcare, education and a sustainable, competitive economy;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas credible European security and defence require a whole-of-society approach to preparedness and resilience and the ability to maintain vital societal functions in times of peace, crisis and conflict;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas the President of the Commission announced during the 2026 State of the Union address the creation of a European Security Council without specifying its purpose, decision-making, or composition;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas the interest, impact and reach of European security is not confined to the territory of the European

Union but the whole European continent;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas the Union's Eastern border has strategic importance for the security of the Union and, for those Member States that are Allies, of NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Recital K d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kd. whereas Europe's defence problem should not be framed primarily as a capability gap but as a deterrence gap; whereas money can close a capability gap but cannot on its own buy doctrine, strategic culture, confidence or unity; whereas deterrence rests on three mutually reinforcing pillars, namely capability, being the tangible means to impose cost or defend, credibility, being the adversary's belief that Europe will actually act, and communication, being the clear signalling of thresholds and consequences; whereas even a fully resourced Europe deters no one if credibility and cohesion are absent;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Recital K d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kd. whereas the Arctic and the High North are increasingly important for the security of the Union and Europe as a whole; whereas the fundamental transformation of the security environment in the region as a result of Russia's military build-up, China's growing strategic and economic interest, the increasing accessibility of maritime routes;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Recital K e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ke. whereas increased strategic, economic and security activity in the Arctic must fully respect the rights, culture and traditional livelihoods of the Sámi, the only Indigenous people of the European Union; whereas the Sámi must not be treated merely as objects of security policy but as active participants in shaping policies affecting their regions;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital K e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ke. *whereas the Union's Common Security and Defence Policy shall be guided by the fundamental principles and values of the Union, including strict adherence to international law, and shall further the implementation of United Nations Security Council Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace and Security;*

Or. en

Amendment 118

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital K f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kf. *whereas, as is the case within NATO, it is up to EU Member States, notwithstanding pre-delegated authority, to decide on the deployment of military troops and capabilities and on what executive action those troops and capabilities may take;*

Or. en

Amendment 119

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital K g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kg. *whereas the President of the Commission announced during the 2026 State of the Union address the creation of a European Security Council without*

specifying its purpose, decision-making or composition;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 121
Stanisław Tyszcza, Hans Neuhoﬀ, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Believes that the *time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU* citizens and *ensure* peace and stability in Europe; calls *on* the European Council *to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;*

1. Believes that the *security of the* citizens *of the Member States* and peace and stability in Europe *are best ensured through strong national defence capabilities, voluntary cooperation between Member States and a robust European pillar of NATO; recognises that no Member State alone is technically and financially able to develop and sustain all capabilities required in modern warfare, in particular in the space, cyber and artificial intelligence domains, and that*

close cooperation is therefore indispensable; calls for such cooperation to be organised on an intergovernmental basis among sovereign states and to focus on military capabilities, defence production, military mobility and the protection of the external borders and critical infrastructure of the Member States; rejects the transfer of defence competences to the Union institutions; recalls that the establishment of common defence requires a unanimous decision of the European Council and its subsequent adoption by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements, pursuant to Article 42(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. *Believes* that the time has come to establish a genuine **CEDU** to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to **urgently advance towards** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Amendment

1. *Underlines* that the time has come to establish **and operationalise** a genuine **Common European Defence Union (CEDU)** to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe **in light of shifting geopolitical circumstances**; calls on the European Council to **immediately take steps to establish** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, **with a political centre of gravity**, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making; **underlines that this genuine CEDU should enable theatre-wide collective territorial deterrence and defence through four functional pillars: political governance, command and control, standing capabilities and forces, and the logistical and industrial**

backbone. Adds that the CEDU should strengthen the Union's ability to act while reinforcing its contribution to North Atlantic collective security and global peace;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to **urgently** advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making; ***stresses that any decision to move towards a common defence under Article 42(2) TEU must be taken by the European Council acting unanimously and must be adopted by Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements;***

Or. en

Amendment 124
Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to ***establish a genuine CEDU*** to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the ***European Council to***

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to ***strengthen European defence cooperation in order*** to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the

urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Member States to make full use of existing Treaty instruments to strengthen defence capabilities, readiness, interoperability and cooperation, while fully respecting their national responsibilities and competences in the field of defence;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Judita Laššáková, Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that *the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU* to protect *EU* citizens and *ensure* peace and stability *in Europe*; calls on the European Council to *urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;*

Amendment

1. Believes that *stronger European defence cooperation is necessary* to protect citizens and *preserve* peace and stability; *stresses that defence remains primarily the responsibility of the Member States and that national security remains their sole responsibility under Article 4(2) TEU*; calls on the European Council to *prioritise practical cooperation within existing Treaty competences, respecting conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality, national constitutional requirements and parliamentary oversight;*

Or. en

Amendment 126

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to

establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to ***urgently advance towards*** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, ***establishing*** a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to ***adopt without further delay the decision provided for in Article 42(2) TEU establishing the common defence of the Union, and to set up*** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, ***with Parliament's participation, with*** a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, ***command and control***, financing and decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to ***establish a genuine CEDU*** to protect ***EU*** citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on ***the European Council to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and*** decision-making;

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to ***strengthen the European pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of collective defence for its Members, in order*** to protect ***European*** citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on ***Member States to strengthen their national defence capabilities, enhance strategic coordination and optimise existing treaty-based instruments, while strictly preserving national sovereignty and the principle of unanimity in*** decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 128

Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens, ***safeguard the sovereignty, sovereignty rights and territorial integrity of Member States*** and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to ***establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;***

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to ***significantly strengthen European defence capabilities and cooperation between Member States, with the objective of increasing military readiness, resilience, interoperability and deterrence, while fully respecting national sovereignty and strengthening NATO as the cornerstone of collective defence for its European Allies;***

Or. en

Amendment 130

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect **EU** citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; ***calls on*** the European Council ***to*** urgently ***advance*** towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect ***the EU, its citizens and its territory*** and ensure peace and stability in Europe; ***insists that*** the European Council urgently ***advances*** towards a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently ***advance towards*** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, ***establishing*** a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently ***activate Article 42(2) TEU and establish*** a CEDU under full democratic oversight, ***providing*** a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that ***the time has come*** to

Amendment

1. Believes that ***it is essential*** to

establish *a* genuine *CEDU to protect EU citizens and* ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to *urgently* advance towards *a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making*;

establish genuine *European autonomy in defence and to* ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to advance towards *legislative instruments that foster such autonomy while fully respecting the strategic autonomy of each of the Member States*;

Or. fr

Amendment 133
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the *time has come to establish a genuine CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe*; calls on the European Council to *urgently* advance towards *a CEDU under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common defence, governance, financing and decision-making*;

Amendment

1. *Stresses that any European defence cooperation must fully respect the sovereignty of Member States and their primary responsibility for national defence*; believes that the *EU should support and complement national capabilities, without replacing Member States' competences or creating supranational defence structures*;

Or. es

Amendment 134
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a *genuine* CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards *a CEDU* under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common

Amendment

1. Believes that the time has come to establish a CEDU to protect EU citizens and ensure peace and stability in Europe; calls on the European Council to urgently advance towards *this objective* under full democratic oversight, establishing a dedicated framework covering common

defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

defence, governance, financing and decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Stresses that, within the framework of the Treaties, the Union and its Member States should strengthen their capacity to contribute to the defence of European territory, in full complementarity with NATO, which remains the foundation of collective defence for its members;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

2. Underlines that the CEDU should meet the following parameters:

– respect national sovereignty and national constitutional orders in relation

to neutrality, parliamentary oversight over agreed common processes, and initial decisions on troop deployments, national contributions and the use of force by those troops; that supranational decision-making cannot compel a state to deploy national forces or authorise the use of force, unless previously decided;

– agree on a definition of what constitutes the European Pillar of NATO and how this European pillar decides, operates and cooperates with the EU;

– avoid unnecessary overlaps or duplication with NATO but rather strengthening it by building a European pillar that provides reliable contributions to existing capability targets and fulfils European New Force Model targets;

– while respecting applicable legal and constitutional orders, safeguard against deadlocked decision-making in NATO's North Atlantic Council by creating sufficient redundancy in capabilities, structures and decision-making to ensure NATO can function without the US if necessary and being practically 'separable but not separate' from NATO;

– counteract the effect of (potential) US force reduction in Europe, by generating an integrated European force structure greater than the sum of its parts;

– give practical effect to Article 42(7) TEU to operationalise collective European deterrence and defence;

– reduce and eliminate institutional fragmentation, complexity and lack of agility in governance and decision-making in the security and defence domain, including the CSDP;

– strengthen the Union's security ties with like-minded partners;

– learn from, build on, and where possible integrate, bilateral and minilateral defence cooperation, such as the Joint Expeditionary Force, Eurocorps, Nordic Defence Cooperation and the European

Amendment 137

Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; ***stresses that NATO remains the cornerstone of collective defence for its members***; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations; ***emphasises the particular added value of the Union in strengthening resilience, countering hybrid threats, protecting critical infrastructure, improving military mobility and using its regulatory, financial and civilian instruments to strengthen European preparedness; calls for close EU-NATO coordination, complementarity and interoperability while fully respecting the autonomy of both organisations***;

Amendment 138

Alice Teodorescu Măwe

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations; ***underlines that the EU and NATO do not have identical memberships, responsibilities or geographical scope and that the EU therefore needs its own instruments to address security challenges affecting the Union and its Member States; underlines that not every security interest or security situation affecting the EU is necessarily a matter for the Alliance as a whole and that enhanced cooperation at EU and regional levels can therefore complement NATO's collective defence;***

Or. sv

Amendment 139

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders

of both organisations;

of both organisations; *calls, to that end, for a revision of the Berlin Plus arrangements enabling the Union to make use of NATO's Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE) as operational headquarters where NATO as a whole does not act, without the de facto right of first refusal that grants non-EU allies a veto over such operations; suggests that such a revision could be accompanied by the association of non-EU allies with Union defence instruments;*

Or. en

Amendment 140
Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations; *considers that, in such cases, European-led operations should be able to draw on NATO common assets, planning capabilities and command arrangements, including under the Deputy Supreme Allied Commander Europe; calls, therefore, for the EU-NATO arrangements providing for such access, including the Berlin Plus framework, to be reviewed, updated and made operationally reliable, and for the political obstacles to their use to be overcome;*

Amendment 141**Željana Zovko****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 2***Motion for a resolution*

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations; ***stresses that the development of the CEDU should ensure full interoperability and coherence with NATO defence planning, standards and command structures, avoiding unnecessary duplication and ensuring that EU initiatives generate capabilities that also strengthen the European pillar of NATO;***

Amendment 142

Stanisław Tyszka, Hans Neuhoﬀ, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 2***Motion for a resolution*

2. Underlines that the ***CEDU*** should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar ***and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should***

Amendment

2. Underlines that the ***defence cooperation of the Member States*** should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar; ***recalls that the obligation of aid and assistance*** under Article 42(7)

be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, *complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response* where NATO consensus cannot be reached, *while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations*;

TEU is incumbent upon the Member States and not upon the Union, and that, for those Member States which are members of NATO, the Alliance remains the foundation of their collective defence and the forum for its implementation, with which commitments under Article 42(7) TEU must be consistent; rejects the creation of parallel Union command and decision-making structures intended to act where NATO consensus cannot be reached, as this would duplicate existing structures, weaken the Alliance and tie up scarce national resources;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that *the CEDU* should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the *EU's capacity to act*; considers that, *under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached*, while respecting the autonomy *and legal orders* of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that *any enhanced coordination at EU level* should *strictly* complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar, *without creating unnecessary parallel military command structures* and the *duplication of existing allied planning and command arrangements*; considers that *NATO remains the primary framework for collective defence for its members, and that any implementation of Article 42(7) TEU must be fully coordinated with NATO planning to ensure unity of command and avoid strategic decoupling*, while respecting the autonomy of both organisations *and the sovereign decisions of Member States*;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Engin Eroglu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, ***in close coordination and consultation with its NATO partners***, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations; ***stresses, meanwhile, that Article 5 of the NATO Treaty must remain the primary legal basis for European defence***;

Or. de

Amendment 145

Judita Laššáková, Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that ***the CEDU*** should ***complement NATO by strengthening its*** European pillar and the ***EU's capacity to act***; ***considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act*** under Article 42(7) TEU, ***complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations***;

Amendment

2. Underlines that ***European defence cooperation*** should ***strengthen NATO's*** European pillar; ***recalls that NATO remains the basis of collective defence*** and the ***principal forum for its implementation for Member States belonging to the Alliance***; ***supports EU action where it provides demonstrable added value, while avoiding duplication of NATO planning and command structures***; ***stresses that assistance under Article 42(7) TEU must remain consistent with NATO commitments and respect the specific security and defence policies of all***

Amendment 146

Cristian Terheş

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO ***and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;***

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO;

Amendment 147

Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, ***under the 'separable but not separate' principle,*** the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO ***and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;***

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing ***NATO's actions and mandate;***

Amendment 148
Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the **CEDU** should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the **EU** should be able to **act** under Article 42(7) TEU, **complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response** where **NATO consensus cannot be reached**, while respecting the **autonomy and legal orders of both** organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the **EU** should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the **Member States** should be able to **use the instruments available** under Article 42(7) TEU where **appropriate**, while **ensuring full complementarity with NATO, avoiding duplication of NATO structures and capabilities, and** respecting the **different responsibilities and decision-making procedures of the two** organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. **Recalls that NATO remains the cornerstone of collective defence for its European Allies;** underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy

and legal orders of both organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 150

Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that ***the CEDU*** should complement NATO by strengthening ***its*** European ***pillar and the EU's*** capacity ***to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response where NATO consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;***

Amendment

2. Underlines that ***European efforts*** should complement NATO by strengthening European ***contributions through capabilities, interoperability and industrial*** capacity; ***recalls that Article 42(7) TEU is an obligation of aid and assistance among Member States, to be acted upon by those States with the means they choose, and is not a legal basis for an autonomous Union*** response;

Or. en

Amendment 151

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response ***where NATO consensus cannot be reached***, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, complementing NATO and being able to provide an EU response, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment 152

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU, **complementing** NATO and **being able to provide an EU response** where **NATO** consensus cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Amendment

2. Underlines that the CEDU should complement NATO by strengthening its European pillar and the EU's capacity to act; considers that, under the 'separable but not separate' principle, the EU should be able to act under Article 42(7) TEU **both in complementarity with** NATO and where consensus **within NATO** cannot be reached, while respecting the autonomy and legal orders of both organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. **Underlines that issues of fragmentation, governance, decision-making, lack of unity and institutional coherence have not been tackled and are lagging behind the pace at which financial instruments are being created; recalls that the Union's defence and deterrence must be viewed from a broader perspective, in which capabilities are only one, albeit important, aspect, complemented by credibility and communication; underlines that additional expenditure, while necessary,**

cannot on its own resolve issues of doctrine, strategic culture, confidence or political unity and decision-making, all of which are crucial components of effective deterrence; stresses that a CEDU should address these issues in order to arrive at effective European deterrence and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Merja Kyllönen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. *Supports the development of a stronger and more European pillar within NATO, with European Allies assuming greater responsibility and leadership for the defence of Europe and contributing a greater share of the capabilities, forces, infrastructure and industrial capacity required for collective defence; supports a progressively stronger European role within NATO's structures and decision-making, commensurate with Europe's growing contribution to collective defence; emphasises that a stronger European contribution within NATO and a more capable European Union in security and defence are mutually reinforcing objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 155
Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2a. Considers that a genuine European pillar of NATO requires European allies to assume primary responsibility for the conventional defence of Europe and a greater share of senior civilian and military positions, including the leadership of NATO's Joint Force Commands, while the United States continues to provide key strategic enablers during a transition period and the Alliance's ultimate nuclear deterrent; stresses that the Union must at the same time have its own planning and command capacity should the United States be unwilling or unable to take part in the defence of Europe;

Or. en

**Amendment 156
Cristian Terheş**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)**

2a. Reaffirms that NATO remains the main framework for the collective defence of Europe and the cornerstone of transatlantic security; stresses that all EU defence initiatives, including any future CEDU structures, must be consistent with commitments under NATO, complementary to its planning and standards, and designed to reinforce rather than duplicate the Alliance; underlines that strengthening the European pillar through higher national defence spending, better capabilities and improved military mobility is the most effective contribution the Union and its Member States can make to NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Salvatore De Meo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls for the development of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability into a permanent EU Military Strategic Headquarters (MSHQ), designed to better support the Political Security Committee, improve C2 functions for CSDP missions and operations, and enable the EU to employ its military instrument more effectively; stresses that this development must not duplicate NATO's command prerogatives for deterrence and collective defence, but provide effective EU C2 for the full spectrum of CSDP missions and operations;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Vasile Dîncu, Thijs Reuten, Lucia Annunziata, Javi López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls for NATO–EU cooperation to be deepened on the basis of each organisation's comparative strengths, ensuring coordination rather than competition; calls for the removal of the obstacles to information-sharing between NATO and the corresponding EU structures, in particular the EDA, the Commission and the EU Military Committee, notably in capability development; calls for a review of the Berlin Plus arrangements to ensure more effective support for EU-led operations;

Amendment 159
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Reaffirms that the United States remains an indispensable security partner for Europe and that the transatlantic bond, expressed first through NATO, is irreplaceable for deterrence, collective defence, nuclear assurance, intelligence, and reinforcement; insists that Member States must become more capable allies by adding capabilities rather than attempting to substitute for the United States by decoupling through institutional projects;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Stresses that closer EU–NATO cooperation must be based on full respect for the autonomy, decision-making procedures and respective membership of both organisations and must not result in the exclusion or unequal treatment of any EU Member State; considers that no third country should be able to use its position within NATO to obstruct cooperation between the Union, NATO and an EU Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on European Allies to assume greater responsibility for European defence, while maintaining a strong transatlantic partnership and developing the capabilities necessary for Europeans to act effectively whenever required;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. underlines that there is a credible risk that, in the event of a military threat to Europe, NATO decision-making may be blocked or frustrated and capabilities previously relied on may not be available for NATO deployment; recalls that the Berlin Plus arrangements currently have limited application in practice and do not cover Europe's territorial defence; emphasises that this implies that NATO's assets, enablers, systems and procedures, particularly those in relation to unified, theatre-wide Command and Control, may not be available to aid Europe's (territorial) defence if NATO decision-making is blocked or frustrated; strongly underlines that this constitutes a risk Europe has no choice but to mitigate, since failing to do so would be an

*abdication of constitutional duties
towards citizens;*

Or. en

Amendment 163
Salvatore De Meo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. *Considers that the creation of regional plans under Article 42(7) TEU is an urgent priority for non-NATO Member States; considers that the preparation of such plans should be established as a key deliverable of the future MSHQ; considers that such regional plans should set out clear procedures for the rapid activation of the MSHQ or of a pre-identified Operational Headquarters made available by a Member State, without the requirement for further approval at political or military level; stresses that Article 42(7) TEU cannot serve as a reliable collective defence guarantee if the territorial defence of any non-NATO Member State lacks a pre-established defence plan and a proper EU command structure;*

Or. en

Amendment 164
Vasile Dîncu, Thijs Reuten, Lucia Annunziata, Javi López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. *Supports the European pillar of NATO, building on recent decisions to entrust European Allies with leadership of*

the Joint Force Commands, including at the operational level, thereby strengthening European responsibility and leadership within the Alliance; welcomes the increased political and strategic responsibility assumed by European Allies within NATO, including through enhanced European leadership in the Alliance's command structure, and stresses the importance of ensuring that European defence efforts remain fully coherent, complementary and mutually reinforcing with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. Calls for the conclusion of a formal EU–NATO framework agreement, going beyond the existing Joint Declarations, covering capability planning, operational planning, intelligence-sharing, military mobility, an operational cooperation arrangement between SHAPE and the MPCC, and the coherent articulation of Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty and Article 42(7) TEU; invites the VP/HR to assess the legal and political options for a closer institutional links;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. *Calls for strengthened EU-NATO arrangements aimed at enhancing operational cooperation, interoperability, information-sharing and complementarity between the two organisations;*

Or. en

Amendment 167

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2c. *Proposes that the Chair of the EU Military Committee be appointed, through coordinated decisions of the Union and NATO, as Deputy Supreme Allied Commander Europe (DSACEUR), who acts as Operation Commander for EU-led operations under the Berlin Plus arrangements; recognises that this post has traditionally been held by the United Kingdom and that such an arrangement should therefore be agreed as part of a comprehensive EU–NATO understanding, including with the United Kingdom;*

Or. en

Amendment 168

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for collective defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that some Member States **and Union accession countries** are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes, **now or in the future**, the primary basis for collective defence; **underscores the geopolitical imperative for the Union to deepen security and defence cooperation with accession countries as part of its reinvigorated approach to enlargement through enhanced gradual integration; considers that adequate CFSP alignment and practical implementation should be a guiding factor in this regard; calls especially for closer security and defence cooperation with, and the integration of, Ukraine; calls moreover specifically for closer defence and security ties between the Union and Norway and the United Kingdom;**

Or. en

Amendment 169

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, **for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for** collective defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members **and maintain specific security and defence policies;** stresses that, **while respecting the specific status and arrangements of non-NATO Member States, the EU institutional framework should not be integrated into a supranational collective defence system that would undermine national sovereignty or create parallel military commitments overlapping with NATO responsibilities;**

Or. en

Amendment 170

Alice Teodorescu Măwe

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for collective defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for collective defence; ***calls for Article 42(7) TEU to be further operationalised through clearly defined activation procedures and regular exercises, so that the mutual assistance obligation is both clear and can be implemented swiftly and effectively;***

Or. sv

Amendment 171

Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for collective defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that some Member States are not NATO members; stresses that, for these countries, Article 42(7) TEU constitutes the primary basis for collective defence; ***recognises the neutrality of certain Member States and affirms that no action should be taken which would compromise such neutrality;***

Or. en

Amendment 172

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should ***provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that, ***regardless of the institutional pathway chosen for its establishment, the CEDU should establish four functional pillars to be effective, which would together form an integrated system of collective deterrence and defence and not merely the sum of its individual parts;***

(a) The political governance that provides the CEDU with a clear mandate for decisive action with qualified majority voting, such as through a European Security Council cooperating with stronger EU bodies, as well as parliamentary control and oversight over that mandate, while never undermining the core principle of national sovereignty over troop deployment, national contributions; and the use of force;

(b) The command-and-control structure that provides an effective theatre-wide deterrence and defence, coordinated by a European Military Headquarters that can act alongside pre-approved rules of engagement by operationalising article 42 (7) TEU, with quality decision-making enabled by joint intelligence fusion;

(c) The standing capabilities and forces, including strategic enablers, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets, and a rapid reaction force, that together constitute an integrated force package;

(d) The logistical and industrial backbone that enables the CEDU's force sustainment, (re)generation, mobility as well as interoperable, massed and high-quality equipment through a defence industrial authority;

Amendment 173

Stanisław Tyszk, Hans Neuhoﬀ, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that ***the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that ***cooperation between Member States on*** strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial ***projects can strengthen European security within the*** framework of the existing Treaties; ***stresses that such cooperation must respect the decision-making powers of the Member States, their commitments within NATO and their ability to choose the equipment and suppliers needed for their defence, including from allies and partners outside the Union; underlines however that strengthening the industrial and technological base of the Member States should be one of the goals of defence cooperation between Member States; recalls that the establishment of common defence is subject to Article 42(2) TEU and that any transfer of additional competences to the Union would require a change to the Treaties;***

Or. en

Amendment 174

Vasile Dîncu, Lucia Annunziata

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should

provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary; ***stresses that the CEDU should connect and build on existing EU, NATO and multinational structures rather than create an additional superstructure, avoiding unnecessary duplication;***

Or. en

Amendment 175 **Carolina Morace**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU ***should*** provide a ***permanent framework*** for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, ***in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU ***could*** provide a ***coordinated structure*** for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that ***coordination of these activities must respect the legal bases, decision-making procedures, institutional powers and financing rules applicable to each activity, including the mutual non-encroachment required by Article 40 TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 176 **Emmanouil Kefalogiannis**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; ***stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Alice Teodorescu Măwe

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary; ***underlines that the structure of the CEDU needs to be responsive, allowing for swift decisions and effective implementation;***

Or. sv

Amendment 178

Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a ***permanent*** framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; ***stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework***, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, ***subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary***;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation, based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy, ***while fully respecting the sovereignty and constitutional competences of Member States***; ***stresses that*** the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties ***and should not lead to an extension of Union competences or undermine Member States' responsibility for national security and defence***;

Or. en

Amendment 179
Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, ***in order to create a coherent constitutional framework***, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, ***subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary***;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the **CEDU** should provide **a permanent** framework for **strategic planning**, capability development, crisis management, **mutual assistance** and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and **democratic legitimacy**; stresses that, **in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary**;

Amendment

4. Considers that the **EU** should provide **an effective** framework for capability development, crisis management and defence industrial cooperation, based on solidarity, shared responsibility and **intergovernmental cooperation**; stresses that **defence matters must remain strictly within the limits of the existing Treaty provisions, based on the principle of unanimity, rejecting any targeted Treaty amendments that would lead to centralisation or a loss of national sovereignty in defence decision-making**;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance, **a genuinely European defence industrial and technological base (EDTIB)** and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that *the CEDU* should *provide a permanent framework for strategic planning*, capability development, *crisis management, mutual assistance and defence* industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that *European defence cooperation between sovereign Member States* should *concentrate on* capability development, industrial *surge, improving the internal market for defence products and technologies, standardisation to* existing NATO norms, *joint procurement where Member States freely choose it, and practical mutual support*;

Or. en

Amendment 183
Engin Eroglu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy, *whilst respecting the principle of subsidiarity*; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Or. de

Amendment 184

Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz, Marta Weislo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, ***subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties;

Or. pl

Amendment 185

Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance ***and*** defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance, defence industrial cooperation ***and a genuine single market for defence*** based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Vangelis Meimarakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, ***subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, ***subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties ***and, where necessary, be supported by targeted Treaty amendments;***

Or. en

Amendment 188

Michał Szczerba

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Amendment

4. Considers that the CEDU should provide a permanent framework for strategic planning, capability development, ***military mobility***, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Considers that ***the CEDU*** should provide ***a permanent*** framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation based on solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy; ***stresses that, in order to create a coherent constitutional framework, the CEDU should build on the existing Treaties, subject to targeted Treaty amendments, if necessary;***

Amendment

4. Considers that ***European defence cooperation*** should provide ***an effective*** framework for strategic planning, capability development, crisis management, mutual assistance and defence industrial cooperation, based on ***voluntary cooperation between Member States***, solidarity, shared responsibility and democratic legitimacy, ***without prejudice to national responsibility for the organisation and deployment of armed forces;***

Or. en

Amendment 190

Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Reaffirms that the CEDU should strengthen the European pillar of NATO and remain fully complementary to the Alliance; stresses that, for Member States which are Allies, NATO remains the foundation and principal framework for territorial collective defence and the forum for its implementation, and that CEDU capability development, planning and command arrangements should remain fully interoperable with NATO standards, defence-planning processes and command structures; recalls that NATO remains a nuclear alliance and that nuclear deterrence, together with conventional and missile-defence capabilities, constitutes an essential element of Allied deterrence and defence; stresses that the CEDU should strengthen European contributions to this framework and avoid unnecessary duplication;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Vasile Dîncu, Lucia Annunziata

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Notes the proliferation of bilateral and minilateral defence arrangements among European states since 2014; considers that such formats can generate political momentum and act as incubators for cooperation later absorbed by the Union; calls for these arrangements to be mapped and connected with Union frameworks, in particular through Article 44 TEU and PESCO, so that they reinforce rather than fragment European

defence; recalls, in this respect, the precedents of Eurocorps and the European Air Transport Command, which are available to both NATO and the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 192
Michał Szczerba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses that military mobility is an essential enabler of a credible CEDU and of rapid assistance between Member States; calls for coordinated action to remove physical, administrative and regulatory barriers to cross-border military movements, including for NATO deployments; underlines the need for adequate and predictable EU financing for resilient dual-use transport and logistics infrastructure, particularly under the next multiannual financial framework, with particular attention to bottlenecks along the eastern and northern flanks;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Insists that the upcoming European Security Strategy, pre-enlargement institutional reforms and the

enlargement strategy should set out clear guidance and criteria for preparing candidate countries for integration into the CEDU, given that these countries' security and resilience are integral to the Union's own security and their inclusion in the Union's collective security and defence system, will strengthen European security;

Or. en

Amendment 194
Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Considers that the CEDU must be built step by step and from a solid base upwards, starting with the actions that only the Union can deliver, namely a European procurement of strategic enablers, swift military mobility and future-oriented defence innovation, and completing it with strategic capabilities, a common military doctrine, civil preparedness, and operational and institutional reform;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Considers that the strategic direction of the CEDU must rest on a common threat assessment shared by all Member States and regularly updated;

calls, to that end, for a revision of the Strategic Compass that reflects the radically changed threat environment and translates the flagships of the Readiness Roadmap 2030 into binding, dated and funded deliverables;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Underlines the importance of maintaining close strategic dialogue and defence cooperation with key Allies and partners, in particular the United States, in order to preserve and strengthen the transatlantic security relationship; calls for the CEDU to build on and further develop the Union's existing strategic partnerships and agreements with trusted partners, including Strategic Partnership Agreements, as well as dedicated Security and Defence Partnerships, in order to foster structured political dialogue, practical defence cooperation and, where appropriate, participation in mutually beneficial defence initiatives; stresses that such partnerships should contribute to strengthening European security, resilience, interoperability, security of supply and defence-industrial cooperation, while remaining fully coherent with NATO and the security interests of the Union and its Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Insists that the CEDU should be open to non-EU Member States, such as Canada, Norway and the United Kingdom and other allies, with which the Union has long-standing cooperation and close associated partnerships in the areas of peace, security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Petras Auštrevičius, Charles Goerens, Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann, Sandro Gozi, Urmas Paet, Lucia Yar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4c. Is of a position that neutrality of some Member States should not in any way delay or stall the creation of CEDU; recalls that all Member States share a responsibility to safeguard the security of the Union and are bound, pursuant to Article 42(7) TEU, by an obligation to provide aid and assistance to a Member State that is the victim of armed aggression on its territory;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Loránt Vincze

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

5. *Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil the mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work in support of ‘a true European Defence Union’;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 200

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

5. *Calls on* the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the *Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR)* to fulfil the *mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work in support of ‘a true European Defence Union’;*

5. *Notes that* the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the *VP/HR have not yet presented a credible roadmap* to fulfil the *tasking of* the Commission President *on ‘a true European Defence Union’ by the end of the current mandate, as mandated in their mission letters and requested by the Parliament; emphasises that in the context of the establishment of a CEDU, their respective roles and duties should be clarified; proposes, in light of the urgency, a prescriptive vision of the parameters, functions and structures for a CEDU, while noting that various pathways exist;*

Or. en

Amendment 201

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil the mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work in support of ***‘a true European Defence Union’***;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil the mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters, to complete their work in support of ***intergovernmental cooperation in the field of defence and the strengthening of national capabilities, while strictly avoiding any institutional duplication with NATO structures***;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to ***fulfil*** the mandate assigned to them by the ***Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work in support of ‘a true European Defence Union’***;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to ***adhere to the competences bestowed on them by those same Treaties, and to refrain from taking any initiatives that go beyond the mandate assigned to them by the Member States on the basis of both the content of the Treaties and their current political will, as expressed through the Union's decision-making mechanisms***;

Or. fr

Amendment 203

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on ***the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil the mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work in support of ‘a true European Defence Union’;***

Amendment

5. Calls on the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to, ***in close cooperation with the Commissioner for Defence and Space, to lead the work towards the CEDU in accordance with the division of competences laid down in the Treaties, and in particular Articles 17(1), 18, 24 and 42 TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 204
Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil ***the mandate assigned to them by the Commission President in their mission letters to complete their work*** in support of ***‘a true European Defence Union’;***

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commissioner for Defence and Space and the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) to fulfil their ***respective mandates*** in support of ***stronger European defence capabilities, enhanced cooperation between Member States and a stronger European pillar of NATO, while respecting the competences of the Member States in the field of defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 205
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Calls for a clear division of responsibilities between the HR/VP and the Commissioner for Defence and Space, fully respecting the HR/VP's responsibilities under the Treaties in CFSP and CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 206
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Calls for greater strategic coherence and coordination among EU defence initiatives and instruments, including EDA, ASAP and EDIP, while respecting their respective legal bases and institutional responsibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 207
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5c. Calls on the Commission to examine appropriate financing instruments, including Joint Undertakings where relevant, and further incentives for Member States investing in agreed European defence capabilities;

Amendment 208
Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in the CEDU; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 209
Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in the CEDU; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 210
Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on *the* Member States to fulfil the constitutional *requirements* under Article 42(2) TEU *necessary for their*

6. Calls on Member States to fulfil the constitutional *requirement*; under Article 42(2) TEU *to progressively frame a common Union defence policy, leading to*

participation in the CEDU;

a common defence union; recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant but underused opportunities for defence integration; is of the opinion that unanimity voting in foreign and security policy in the Council is the main inhibitor of such progressive framing; strongly underlines that the institutional and legislative work on a CEDU is a complex undertaking, long overdue owing to Member States' resistance and wishful thinking, and stresses that, while this work is urgent, it should not get in the way of operational readiness to tackle changing geopolitical circumstances;

Or. en

Amendment 211

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in the CEDU;

Amendment

6. ***Calls on the VP/HR to submit to the European Council, no later than mid-2027, a proposal for a decision under Article 42(2) TEU establishing the common defence, accompanied by a White Paper on the Common European Defence, prepared jointly with the Commissioner for Defence and Space, setting out its meaning, its implications, its relationship with NATO and the institutional pathways to achieve it;*** calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in the CEDU;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. ***Calls on*** the Member States ***to fulfil the*** constitutional requirements ***under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation*** in the *CEDU*;

Amendment

6. ***Recalls that, pursuant to Article 42(2) TEU, any move towards a common defence requires a unanimous decision of the European Council and, subsequently, adoption by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements; stresses that cooperation in the field of defence must fully respect the specific character of the security and defence policies of the Member States and, for those Member States which are NATO Allies, their obligations under the North Atlantic Treaty;***

Or. en

Amendment 213

Judita Laššáková, Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. ***Calls on*** the Member States ***to fulfil the*** constitutional requirements ***under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in the CEDU;***

Amendment

6. ***Recalls that any move towards common defence under Article 42(2) TEU requires a unanimous decision of the European Council and adoption by the Member States in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements; stresses that national democratic consent cannot be presumed and that Member States must retain control over commitments of their armed forces;***

Or. en

Amendment 214
Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their *participation in the CEDU*;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to fulfil the constitutional requirements under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for *strengthening their defence capabilities and their contribution to European and transatlantic security with the objective of strengthening the European pillar of NATO*;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Member States to *fulfil the* constitutional requirements *under Article 42(2) TEU necessary for their participation in* the CEDU;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to *take necessary steps, in accordance with Article 42(2) TEU and their respective* constitutional requirements, *towards the establishment of* the CEDU;

Or. en

Amendment 216
Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Highlights that several pathways exist, each with its own advantages and

disadvantages, to implement an institutional solution for CEDU fulfilling the parameters and functional pillars set out above; considers that these pathways are not mutually exclusive but may be sequenced over time, while interim solutions may be necessary, but underlines that these should always allow for evolution and adaptation, and must not be used as a pretext to delay the delivery of the functional pillars set out in paragraph 6;

(a) European Pillar of NATO: a CEDU with NATO at its core, based on either an updated or re-envisioned Berlin Plus Agreement or a revision of the North Atlantic Treaty;

(b) European Defence Vanguard: a CEDU formed by a coalition of willing states, on the basis of either enhanced cooperation (Article 20 TEU and Article 329(2) TFEU), or Permanent Structured Cooperation (Articles 42(6) and 44 TEU), or entirely outside the EU's structures on the basis of a new treaty, taking guidance from the Schengen, Frontex and Economic and Monetary Union precedents; or

(c) Common European Defence: advancing the CEDU purely on the basis of the commitments made in Article 42(2) TEU, with or without Treaty amendment;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Salvatore De Meo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. *Considers that CEDU requires, beyond institutions, a genuine common European security culture; calls for joint personnel exchanges, common training*

and doctrine under EUMC and EUMS auspices and the European Security and Defence College (ESDC) in fostering a common European security and defence culture; calls, furthermore, for more frequent EU-led exercises covering both NATO interoperability and autonomous EU scenarios, and shared procurement and logistics under PESCO 3.0; considers that reducing the costs of fragmentation and building human networks of mutual trust are prerequisites for collective defence that no institutional architecture can substitute;

Or. en

Amendment 218
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that national parliaments retain the primary democratic mandate over armed forces, defence budgets and the decision to enter armed conflict; considers that the European Parliament cannot substitute for that mandate; stresses that any deepening of European defence cooperation can only be decided on through prior, not ex post, scrutiny in national parliaments;

Or. en

Amendment 219
Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that stronger European defence cooperation also requires a strong, competitive and resilient European defence industrial and technological base, including through joint procurement, common capability development, interoperability, increased production capacity and support for SMEs and mid-caps;

Or. en

Amendment 220
Engin Eroglu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that a European Defence Union will not be fully complete without the establishment of a single market for defence products, which promises efficiency gains of 30%;

Or. de

Amendment 221

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Raquel García Hermida-Van Der Walle, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Calls for the territorial defence of Europe to be explicitly recognised as a responsibility of the Union in the forthcoming European Security Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 222

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Underlines that the CEDU should assist in the protection of all EU territory, including outermost regions;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Considers that, should the unanimity required by Article 42(2) TEU not be reached within a reasonable timeframe, the Member States willing to move forward should not be prevented from doing so; calls, in that case, for: (a) the establishment of enhanced cooperation in the field of the CFSP under Article 20 TEU and Article 329(2) TFEU, with the participating Member States deciding, pursuant to Article 333 TFEU, to act by qualified majority voting; (b) a reinforced Permanent Structured Cooperation under Articles 42(6) and 46 TEU, with binding force commitments; and (c) where necessary to complete the command and force-generation architecture for the territorial defence of Europe, an intergovernmental agreement among the participating Member States, integrated in or coordinated with NATO, making use of the Union's institutions, open to all Member States and to the association of European partners such as Ukraine, the United Kingdom and

Norway, and designed to be incorporated into the Treaties at the earliest opportunity;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. *Stresses the importance of strengthening defence cooperation with trusted and like-minded allies and partners, including NATO Allies and other international partners that share European values, in particular through joint research, development, production and procurement, where this contributes to interoperability, resilience, supply-chain security and European defence readiness;*

Or. en

Amendment 225

Thijs Reuten, Reinier Van Lanschot, Vasile Dîncu, Charles Goerens, Sandro Gozi, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. *Notes that the four functional pillars, each of which is constituted by several building blocks, can be implemented and anchored along three institutional pathways; underlines that the building blocks provide generic functions and institutional forms, yet may have pathway-specific variants;*

Amendment 226

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Calls on the HR/VP, to present an assessment of the scope and practical implementation of Article 42(7) TEU by mid-2027, including procedures for coordination and assistance between Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 227

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6c. Calls for the next European Security Strategy to recognise the need for Europe to assume greater responsibility for the defence of its territory, in accordance with the Treaties and in full complementarity with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 228

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Amendment 229

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6c. Proposes that the core of political governance of the CEDU should be:

- CEDU decision-making must balance national and collective European sovereignty with the Union's need for timely and decisive action;***
- faster decision-making that, especially in relation to critical security and defence matters, cannot be blocked by the objection of a single Member State or ally, while preserving the legitimacy that comes from collective decision-making;***
- action based on pre-approved triggers and pre-delegated authority, agreed in peacetime, so that the Union is not left negotiating its response while a crisis is already under way;***
- a composition inclusive of the full range of relevant actors and interests, including accession countries and the Union's closest security and defence partners, rather than a narrow group of the largest Member States;***
- Clarity on the relationship between CEDU structures and EU CSFP, CSDP and other institutions, who's role should be clarified, simplified and empowered;***
- full respect for the sovereignty of Member States over the deployment of their own forces and the use of force, without allowing that respect for***

sovereignty to become, in practice, a veto over the Union's ability to act collectively;
- democratic oversight of any strengthened executive decision-making and meaningful involvement in the creation of the CEDU's processes, exercised jointly through national parliaments and the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6d. *Calls on the HR/VP, together with the Member States concerned, to assess the use of the PESCO framework to develop further multinational forces and capabilities in accordance with Articles 42(6) and 46 TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 231

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6d. *envisages, to this end, a small but empowered standing political body whose core functions would be to: (a) provide overall strategic direction on security strategy, threat assessment and the Union's collective response; (b) decide on the activation of the pre-agreed triggers; (c) serve as the political bridge between EU and NATO decision-making*

structures; and (d) refer to the Council, or to the North Atlantic Council where relevant, any decision falling outside what has been pre-delegated; considers that these functions should be discharged through a European Security Council at the strategic level, a permanent Defence Ministerial Council at the implementation level, and a strengthened bridge between the Political and Security Committee and the North Atlantic Council; stresses that this architecture must compel early political engagement ahead of foreseeable crises rather than rely on reactive crisis management;

Or. en

Amendment 232

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Making full use of the existing Treaties

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 233

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

European Security Council

Or. en

Amendment 234

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. ***Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant but underused opportunities for defence integration;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 235

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant ***but underused*** opportunities for defence ***integration***;

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant opportunities for ***voluntary Members States led cooperation in the field of defence, while underlining that any such cooperation must fully respect national sovereignty the specific character of Member States' security and defence policies and the principle of unanimity***;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides ***significant but underused*** opportunities for defence ***integration***;

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides opportunities for defence ***cooperation, while safeguarding Member States' sovereignty and their primary responsibility for national security and***

defence;

Or. en

Amendment 237
Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty *provides significant but underused opportunities for defence integration;*

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty *deliberately kept decisions having military or defence implications intergovernmental and subject to unanimity;*

Or. en

Amendment 238
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant *but underused* opportunities for *defence* integration;

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant opportunities for *further* integration *in the field of defence;*

Or. en

Amendment 239
Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz, Marta Wcisło

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant *but underused* opportunities for defence integration;

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Lisbon Treaty provides significant opportunities for defence integration;

Amendment 240

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Notes the calls for a European Security Council; underlines that an ESC can perform vital functions if designed properly; provides below an outline of the functioning of a potential ESC that could have added benefit in the institutional set-up; a European Security Council (ESC), as the CEDU's permanent strategic body, responsible for, on behalf of the CEDU members, coordinating Article 42(7) TEU responses, providing a mandate for the functional pillars of the CEDU including operations, representing its members as the European pillar of NATO, and ensuring coherence between the EU's external, security and defence policies; calls for the ESC to be supported by the relevant EU institutions, including the Council Secretariat and the EEAS in order to create one connected system of collective deterrence and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 241

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Recalls that Article 46 TEU provides for qualified majority voting for the establishment of PESCO and in the specific cases provided for therein, while

*respecting the decision-making
procedures established by the Treaties;*

Or. en

Amendment 242

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. *Calls for such an ESC to at minimum be composed of the Heads of State or Government of Europe's largest military powers, currently France, Germany, Italy, Poland the United Kingdom and Ukraine , the President of the European Commission, and Commissioner responsible for Defence and the High Representative/Vice President of the Commission, the President of the European Parliament and chaired by the President of the European Council ; call for the ESC to have an open door policy to other European countries, possibly including through rotating membership; notes that in particular, membership from the Nordic, Eastern Flank and Black Sea region is critical for a well-functioning CEDU; considers that participation of accession countries and the EU's strategic security and defence partners, including Ukraine, the United Kingdom, Norway and Canada, should be provided for; underlines that eligibility for membership of the ESC should not be conditional on NATO membership, given that Ukraine, among others, would otherwise be structurally excluded from full participation despite being central to European deterrence and defence;*

Or. en

Amendment 243

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7c. Calls for such an ESC to make decisions by a form of qualified majority voting among its members, while encouraging constructive abstention in case of disagreement; considers that, in order to give the ESC real operational weight, its decisions should constitute the legal and political trigger releasing the assets and mandates of the CEDU's own command and capability structures referred to below, rather than merely providing political cover for decisions taken elsewhere; underlines that depending on the specific legal pathway, this may have to include revision of the EU Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Empowered EU structures

Or. en

Amendment 245

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 246

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU;

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU, ***without waiting for unanimity within the European Council where the Treaties do not require it;***

Or. en

Amendment 247

Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to ***generate continued political will***, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, ***in particular*** PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU;

8. Calls on the Member States to ***strengthen practical defence cooperation, where appropriate***, making use of ***the existing provisions of the Treaties, including*** Articles 42 to 46 TEU, PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU, ***while***

*respecting Member States' sovereignty,
national competences and the voluntary
nature of participation;*

Or. en

Amendment 248

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Elena Donazzan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU, *provided that these mechanisms remain strictly intergovernmental and fully complementary to NATO planning and structures;*

Or. en

Amendment 249

Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to generate continued political will, making full use of Articles 42 to 46 TEU, in particular PESCO, the EDA, Article 42(7) TEU, Article 44 TEU and Article 222 TFEU; *respects, however, their decision to abstain from this, if it is in their best interest to do so;*

Or. fr

Amendment 250
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Believes that the EU must acquire a clear understanding of what the actual gap is between the capability targets and defence capabilities of the Member States, and considers this assessment is a precondition to progress towards a European Defence Union; stresses the need to ramp up defence industrial production, as well as to have ever-ready production units that can be rapidly scaled up in response to a crisis, an act of aggression against a Member State, or urgent support needs of Ukraine and other strategic partners; stresses that such capacity should be treated as a shared European asset as a first practical step for a pooled industrial base; further highlights that reliance on ad hoc capability coalitions, while a necessary interim step, cannot substitute for the permanent, institutionalised cooperation that a Common European Defence Union implies;

Or. en

Amendment 251
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. Calls for a crisis response instrument for securing European sovereignty based on the model of the US Defense Production Act, so that the EU

has a tool which can be activated to react quickly to emergency wartime or crisis needs; calls for such a tool to include the following key components: (a) joint procurement of specific defence products, (b) prioritising the provision of critical materials, and prioritising orders, for specific supply chains, such as artillery munitions, (c) fast-tracking administrative and legal procedures for moving military equipment and troops, and where possible the construction of (infrastructure) projects critical for military mobility or other military readiness priority areas, while avoiding the circumvention of environmental protection provisions and building in necessary safeguards;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Reinier Van Lanschot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8c. Considers that the AGILE programme should serve as a starting point to build a fully-fledged Defence Innovation Accelerator for researching, developing and testing new breakthrough defence technologies, which would also contribute to economic competitiveness, bringing together industry, governments and the expert community; underlines that this organisation should nurture a risk-taking culture and be highly flexible by design;

Or. en

Amendment 253
Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;* *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 254
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 255
Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 256

Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Amendment

9. Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions; ***recalls that enhanced cooperation under Article 20 TEU and Articles 326 to 334 TFEU allows willing Member States to move ahead where unanimity cannot be reached;***

Or. en

Amendment 257

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for ***greater use of*** Treaty ***flexibility*** to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Amendment

9. Calls for ***optimising the existing*** Treaty ***provisions*** to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU ***civilian and military*** missions, ***while strictly maintaining the principle of unanimity and the voluntary nature of Member States' participation;***

Or. en

Amendment 258

Engin Eroglu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Amendment

9. Calls for greater use of Treaty flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, **joint procurement, the closing of strategic capability gaps**, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Or. de

Amendment 259

Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for greater use of **Treaty** flexibility to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Amendment

9. Calls for greater use of **the** flexibility **provided for by the Treaties** to accelerate capability development, military readiness, interoperability and the deployment of EU missions;

Or. en

Amendment 260

Rachel Blom, Marieke Ehlers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for **greater use of Treaty flexibility** to accelerate capability development, military readiness, **interoperability and** the deployment of **EU missions**;

Amendment

9. Calls for **practical cooperation** to accelerate capability development **and** military readiness; **stresses that** the deployment of **any EU mission remains a unanimous, intergovernmental decision**;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls for the CEDU institutional framework to support the rapid implementation, interoperability and operational coherence of the Union's defence-readiness initiatives, in particular the European Drone Defence Initiative, the Eastern Flank Watch, the European Air Shield and the European Space Shield, as well as relevant European Defence Projects of Common Interest, including integrated air and missile defence and early-warning capabilities; stresses the importance of complementarity with national and regional initiatives strengthening the Eastern Flank, including the Baltic Defence Line, and of full coherence with NATO regional defence plans and command structures; calls in particular for interoperable surveillance, early-warning, air and missile defence, counter-drone, electronic-warfare and command-and-control capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 262
Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Calls for military mobility and counter mobility to become an integral part of the CEDU's readiness, contingency-planning and mutual-assistance architecture; supports the development of pre-arranged cross-border

military mobility corridors, streamlined and digitalised permission and customs procedures, arrangements for priority access to rail and other strategic transport capacity in crisis situations, strengthened protection and resilience of critical dual-use bridges, ports, airports and other transport nodes, and pre-agreed host-nation support arrangements; calls for the development and modernisation of military mobility infrastructure across the Union, with special attention to the Eastern Flank, including cross-border transport infrastructure, logistical corridors, strategic rail and road connections and fuel infrastructure necessary for the rapid movement and reinforcement of military forces and equipment; stresses that such measures must fully respect Member States' territorial sovereignty and national security responsibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. *Calls for a permanent EU Security and Defence Ministerial Council, bringing together Ministers of Defence and chaired by the VP/HR with participation of the chair of Parliament's committee on security and defence, supported by an empowered Political Security Committee (including the bodies answering to it) as the primary ambassador level coordination body for security and defence issues, which is not the remit of COREPER II, to coordinate capability planning, military readiness, common procurement, defence industrial initiatives and the implementation of*

CEDU decisions that require distinct responsibility and implementation by the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 264

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Calls for a stronger coordinating role for the VP/HR in EU foreign, security and defence policy; calls for clarification of the respective roles of the VP/HR and the Commissioner for Defence and Space to avoid institutional overlap and escalating institutional competition;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9c. Recognises the particular security burden borne by Member States located on the Union's eastern external border, in particular those bordering Russia, Belarus and Ukraine, which constitute the first line of defence of the Union against military and hybrid threats; stresses their strategic importance for the security of the Union as a whole and calls for CEDU capability planning to provide enhanced support for deterrence, territorial defence, rapid reinforcement, air and missile defence, long-range fires, unmanned

systems, cyber defence and military mobility on the Eastern Flank, while ensuring full coherence with NATO defence planning and regional defence plans;

Or. en

Amendment 266

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9c. considers that Member States providing non-military contributions, in particular those with constitutional neutrality, should be able to participate in the CEDU's non-military-implication pillars and projects, including logistics, financing, cyber defence and humanitarian support, on the same voluntary and scoped basis, consistent with the flexibility already provided for by Article 42(7) TEU; suggests that the creation of a CEDU could be an opportune moment for neutral Member states to review how their neutrality relates to collective European territorial defence;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties;

deleted

encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Or. fr

Amendment 268

Riho Terras

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 269

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 270

Elena Donazzan, Alberico Gambino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 271

Vangelis Meimarakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 272

Ernő Schaller-Baross, György Hölvényi, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 273

Emmanouil Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 274
Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

10. Notes that the Treaties provide for qualified majority voting in limited areas of CFSP implementation under Article 31(2) TEU, including implementing decisions based on European Council strategic decisions, while Article 31(4) TEU explicitly excludes qualified majority voting for decisions having military or defence implications; considers that any extension of QMV to CSDP operational decisions would require Treaty change through the ordinary revision procedure under Article 48 TEU; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 275
Stanisław Tyszką, Hans Neuhoř, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Recalls that, pursuant to Article 31(4) TEU, the qualified-majority procedures laid down in Article 31(2) and (3) TEU do not apply to decisions having military or defence implications, and that the passerelle clause in Article 48(7) TEU does not extend to such decisions, without prejudice to the specific voting rules for PESCO under Article 46 TEU; considers unanimity an indispensable guarantee of the sovereignty of each Member State over its security and armed forces and rejects any attempt to erode or circumvent it; notes that constructive abstention under Article 31(1) TEU does not replace the unanimous decision of the European Council required by Article 42(2) TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 276

Judita Laššáková, Monika Beňová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Recalls that decisions relating to the common security and defence policy are subject to the applicable Treaty rules, including unanimity under Article 42(4) TEU, and that Article 31(4) TEU excludes the use of the mechanisms in Article 31(2) and (3) for decisions having military or defence implications; supports constructive abstention where permitted by the Treaties; opposes using procedural flexibility to circumvent national consent on military commitments;***

Or. en

Amendment 277

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Underlines that decisions having military or defence implications must remain strictly subject to the unanimity rule; firmly rejects any move towards qualified majority voting in the area of defence; notes that any use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU must remain a sovereign and entirely voluntary decision of each individual Member State and must never be used to exert pressure on Member States to comply;***

Or. en

Amendment 278

Klára Dobrev

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU; highlights that, in the current geopolitical context, it is of the utmost importance to improve the EU's capacity to act in order to respond rapidly and effectively to the challenges facing the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 279

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Rejects any attempt to replace unanimity voting with qualified majority voting on decisions with military or defence implications, in accordance with the Treaties; reaffirms that no Member State should be forced, against its will, to accept decisions affecting its national defence, armed forces or essential security interests;***

Or. es

Amendment 280
Alexandr Vondra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;***

Amendment

10. ***Calls on the Council to make full and effective use of the decision-making mechanisms provided for by the Treaties in the area of defence, while respecting Member State consensus on matters with direct implications for national security and the sovereign responsibility of Member States for decisions concerning the deployment and use of their armed forces;***

Or. en

Amendment 281
Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by the Treaties, ***except for CSDP military missions and operations with an executive mandate that should remain under unanimity decision***; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 282
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting ***in the area of defence, as*** provided for by the Treaties; encourages ***the wider*** use of ***constructive*** abstention ***under Article 31 TEU***;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make ***full*** use of ***the possibilities for*** qualified majority voting provided for by the Treaties, ***where applicable***; encourages ***Member States to make greater*** use of ***the possibility provided in Article 31(1) TEU to qualify their*** abstention ***by making a formal declaration***;

Or. en

Amendment 283
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Council to make use of qualified majority voting in the area of defence, ***as provided for by the Treaties***; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make ***full*** use of qualified majority voting ***where the Treaties already provide for it*** in the area of defence, ***notably under Article 45(2) TEU and Article 46 TEU***; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under

Amendment 284

Marta Wcisło, Kamila Gasiuk-Pihowicz, Bartłomiej Sienkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the Council to make use of ***qualified majority voting in the area of defence, as provided for by*** the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Council to make ***full*** use of ***the decision-making possibilities available under*** the Treaties; encourages the wider use of constructive abstention under Article 31 TEU;

Amendment 285

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls on interested Member States to explore, within the procedures laid down in Articles 42(6) and 46 TEU, the establishment of a PESCO initiative aimed at developing a multinational force composed of willing Member States;

Amendment 286

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 287

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

11. Notes that PESCO should focus on its primary objective of delivering concrete military capabilities and addressing identified capability shortfalls; calls on participating Member States to deepen their voluntary cooperation and align their projects with national and NATO capability shortfalls; stresses that the suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU must be applied strictly within the criteria and procedures established by the Treaties; opposes any attempt to create an autonomous EU military command-and-control mandate that would duplicate Alliance structures;

Or. en

Amendment 288

Wouter Beke

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants, ***including measurable targets on collaborative procurement and European content, linked to incentives under Union financing instruments, and to the full implementation of the existing binding commitment to raise defence investment to 20 % of total defence spending, a growing share of which should be spent collaboratively***; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 289

Sandro Gozi, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Lucia Yar, Hilde Vautmans, Charles Goerens, Brando Benifei, Andrea Wechsler, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants, ***including binding force commitments available for the territorial defence of the Union and for the Rapid Deployment Capacity***; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate, ***so that PESCO can become the core of a European force able to act under Article 42(7) TEU***;

Or. en

Amendment 290 Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate; ***calls for the new phase of PESCO, running from 2026 to 2030, to mark a step change, with PESCO used beyond capability development, including for operational cooperation in command and control, secure military communications and space-based intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance;***

Or. en

Amendment 291

Reinier Van Lanschot

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential ***due to unclarity about its overall objective***; calls for ***streamlined*** stricter ***and binding*** commitments for willing and capable participants ***focused at ramping up defence spending and increasing EU defence capabilities; further stresses that such commitments should be complemented by targets to measure implementation and provide for transparency***; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 292

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential ***despite its broader capacity to enable willing Member States to institutionalise common defence without delay, even in the absence of unanimity within the European Council***; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 293

Carolina Morace

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. ***Regrets*** that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for ***stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate***;

Amendment

11. ***Notes*** that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for ***enhanced transparency and parliamentary scrutiny of PESCO projects, including regular reporting to the European Parliament and national parliaments; underlines that PESCO should prioritise civilian and military capabilities that serve conflict prevention, crisis management, and humanitarian objectives, not only deterrence***;

Or. en

Amendment 294

Stanisław Tyszką, Hans Neuhoff, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; ***calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;***

Amendment

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants;

Or. en

Amendment 295
Alexandre Varaut, Pierre-Romain Thionnet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential; ***calls for stricter commitments for*** willing and capable participants; ***calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;***

Amendment

11. Regrets that ***permanent structured cooperation (PESCO)*** has fallen short of its potential; ***considers that*** willing and capable participants ***should be free to adopt stricter commitments; recognises that all decisions in this area should be taken by the Member States, and that Parliament cannot impose any direction, controls or sanctions on them;***

Or. fr

Amendment 296
Loránt Vincze

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. ***Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its*** potential; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

11. ***Considers that there is further potential to strengthen PESCO and make use of the opportunities it provides***; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Vangelis Meimarakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. ***Regrets that PESCO has fallen short of its potential***; calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Amendment

11. Calls for stricter commitments for willing and capable participants ***to PESCO***; calls for a genuine suspension mechanism under Article 46(4) TEU and an explicit command-and-control mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 298
Vasile Dîncu, Thijs Reuten, Lucia Annunziata, Javi López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Considers that Union financing should be used as a lever for defence industrial integration by conditioning support on multinational consortia and cross-border joint procurement; calls for a successor to the SAFE instrument with a larger envelope, stricter cross-border procurement conditions and grant-based components, open on fair terms to like-minded partners such as the United

Kingdom; calls for the EDA to be empowered to broker cooperative projects among Member States and to assess proposed projects against agreed capability priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 299

Charles Goerens, Joachim Streit, Petras Auštrevičius, Ľubica Karvašová, Lucia Yar, Sandro Gozi

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Reiterates its long-standing view that the “flexibilities” provided for by the Treaties are not made use of as they are inherently flawed and not fit for purpose, given that their operationalisation to a varying extent relies on decisions taken by unanimity; reiterates therefore that more structural solutions, including targeted Treaty amendments to expand qualified majority voting, must be pursued;

Or. en

Amendment 300

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Esteban González Pons

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Calls for PESCO commitments to become more effective and operational, including through clearer capability and force commitments as well as robust assessments of compliance with agreed obligations;

Or. en

Amendment 301

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Democratic and Parliamentary oversight

Or. en

Amendment 302

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Stresses that deeper defence integration must be matched by stronger democratic legitimacy, transparency, accountability and parliamentary scrutiny both at the Union level and by national parliaments, which remain the democratic backstop for decisions on the deployment of armed forces; Considers that all CEDU actions should be subject to parliamentary scrutiny; calls for the annual Article 36 TEU debate with the Parliament to pay specific attention to the state of the CEDU, based on a report by the European Council and the Commission, and include the relevant Commissioners in addition to the VP/HR;

Or. en

Amendment 303

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 b (new)

11b. Considers that Article 36 TEU, in its current form, reflects a framework primarily conceived for the EU's external crisis-management role and is no longer sufficient in the light of the EU's evolving responsibilities in the field of security and defence; considers, therefore, that Parliament must be able to exercise full democratic scrutiny over EU defence commitments and decisions with significant security and defence implications, including in connection with the activation and implementation of the mutual assistance clause under Article 42(7) TEU and co-design of its playbook involving a cascade of decision-making thresholds followed by automatic responses ; further proposes that decisions of the European Security Council should bind the participating national government to immediately initiate a corresponding mandating procedure in the respective national parliament; calls for an updated interinstitutional arrangement to reflect this;

Or. en

Amendment 304

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 c (new)

11c. Considers that stronger parliamentary oversight of the CEDU cannot rely on transparency alone, but must be strengthened, including through tighter controls in the Parliament; Proposes that the Parliament's President, Political Group Vice-Presidents and Coordinators responsible for the Security

and Defence Committee, along with designated senior support staff, undergo enhanced security vetting to a level equivalent to EU/NATO SECRET coupled with binding non-disclosure obligations, with meaningful consequences for breach, and that a secure facility is designated for accessing classified and sensitive information, and to allow briefings at that level; proposes further that upon security vetting they may receive the most sensitive briefings, including on the specifics of any Article 42(7) TEU activation, that cannot be shared with the full committee in camera or in plenary without redaction; underlines that national parliament should equally be empowered to receive classified information enabling them to exercise effective and informed oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 305

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11d. Considers it necessary for the European Court of Auditors to be provided with an audit mandate for all bodies and instruments that are involved in the implementation of EU defence policy, subject to appropriate security and confidentiality restrictions;

Or. en

Amendment 306

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2 d (new)

Pillar II: Operational Command and Control: ‘separable, not separate’, intelligence fusion, operationalising article 42.7

Or. en

Amendment 307

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 e (new)

11e. Proposes that the core of an operational command and control of the CEDU should be:

- The Europeanisation of the existing NATO command structure to the extent that a sudden and fully withdrawal of US personnel and assets would not critically affect the NATO force posture, while strengthening NATO with standing military capabilities from its combined European pillar;

- A European Military HQ that can credibly provide command and control functions for theatre-wide, territorial deterrence and defence for the CEDU as a ‘separable but not separate’ component within the NATO command structure, including the capability for strategic planning, operational coordination, intelligence fusion, force sustainment, force generation, logistics and command support; headed by a four star EMHQ Commander, who is simultaneously NATO Deputy SACEUR; An intelligence fusion that provides the command and control structure, as well as the political governance level, with quality information that empowers fast and effective decision making;

- The operationalisation of Article 42(7) TEU with a playbook defining activation procedures, assistance modalities and coordination mechanisms for all scenarios, clarifying who activates the mechanism, who is responsible for coordinating the response, what is available, and when specific responses are executed while establishing a graduated cascade of decision-making thresholds that lead to automatic ‘Separable, not separate, command structures’;

Or. en

Amendment 308

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11f. Proposes to build upon the recent NATO agreement for European four star officers assuming command of all three Joint Force Commands which has led to major European countries being responsible for their respective regional area of responsibility (North, South, Centre); proposes to continue this trend to the theatre level by assigning European officers to NATO’s Component Commands (land, air, sea) and opening up the possibility for a future European Supreme Allied Commander (SACEUR); underlines the need to ensure that SHAPE is staffed by a critical mass of European personnel while maintaining a credible North-American participation; stresses that to maintain the authority and double-hatted value of senior NATO command positions, there is a preference for those most senior positions to be held by countries on the basis of a criteria such as military capability, geography and relevant experience;

Amendment 309

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11g. Underlines that this requires new arrangements and the pre-delegation of authority to JFC commanders to activate specific pre-agreed forces and plans without requiring fresh political approval once a conflict has begun, reviving a practice that was standard NATO procedure during the Cold War;

Or. en

Amendment 310

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11h. Underlines that Europe's two nuclear-armed states should maintain a meaningful presence across all three sub-regional formations, to preserve the deterrent coherence of the structure as a whole, and that Ukraine should be integrated as fully as possible into the planning and exercises of the sub-region most relevant to it, given its front-line operational experience;

Or. en

Amendment 311

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11i. Proposes the establishment of a European Military HQ, building on the EU's Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC); underlines that the EMHQ should exercise direct operational command over its sub commands, including three European Joint Force Commands, a European Rapid Reaction Force, a European Strategic Enabler Unit and a European Sustainment and Force Generation Command, so as to ensure a single, unified and unambiguous chain of command over the CEDU's standing capabilities and logistical backbone alike;

Or. en

Amendment 312

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11j. Proposes that the EMHQ's commander should be a double-hatted four-star general, simultaneously serving as Deputy SACEUR; Considers that, under such a model, JFC commanders would remain within the NATO hierarchy while simultaneously holding responsibility for their sub-regional coalition's forces, in the same way that some national commanders already hold dual national and NATO roles;

Or. en

Amendment 313

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 k (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11k. Proposes that, directly under SACEUR and Allied Command Operations in the NATO command structure, its peacetime focus is on force generation, sustainment planning, and joint capability and force development; considers that it should form a theatre-level strategic reserve providing pre-assigned capabilities and forces to NATO's component and operational-level commands;

Or. en

Amendment 314

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 l (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11l. Proposes the creation of a European Military Intelligence Centre (EMIC), providing intelligence, surveillance, target acquisition and reconnaissance and intelligence fusion by combining the space, airborne, land and maritime intelligence assets of the CEDU into a shared situational-awareness capability, improving the speed, quality and sophistication of political-military decision-making; considers that the Ukrainian DELTA situational-awareness and battlefield-management system, with its focus on resilient decentralised networks, should be embraced and scaled up as a reference model; calls for strengthening the flow of information from the Member States to the EEAS and for reinforcing INTCEN, the EEAS Crisis

Response Centre and SatCen, with full respect for security and confidentiality requirements; calls for measures to allow exclusion of Member States who are subject to proceedings referred to in Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 315

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11m. Considers that the EMIC should, in an initial phase, operate on the basis of a federated mission network, under which CEDU Member States' intelligence contributions are federated while participants retain control over their own contributions and release decisions, and the system remains operational even where individual contributors withhold information or withdraw; underlines that, given the sensitivity of decisions taken on its output, the EMIC and its procedures should be subject to scrutiny by select committees of national parliaments of the CEDU Member States; suggest that over time, as an efficiency measure, the collection and analysis of Open Source materials could be performed by the EMIC, including through the use of AI tools;

Or. en

Amendment 316

Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 n (new)

11n. Proposes that the European Security and Defence College be turned into a full European Military Academy reporting directly to the EMHQ, in order to educate and train a generation of European staff officers with a common culture of trust and a distinct European way of war, which would also build common doctrines and operational concepts; proposes that the officers from that Academy could over time fill the senior Commands in NATO and CEDU structures, including the EMHQ commander as well as the standing units of the CEDU such as the ESEU, ERRF, EMIC and ESGC, with a common set of rules and procedures, directly employed by the EMHQ on the model of a '28th regime' structure;

Or. en

Amendment 317

Klára Dobrev

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU remains institutionally and operationally underdeveloped;

Amendment

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU remains institutionally and operationally underdeveloped; ***calls for strengthening the effective operationalisation of the mutual assistance clause enshrined in Article 42(7) TEU, including by developing clear procedures and arrangements for its activation and implementation, with a view to enhancing the Union's capacity to respond collectively to armed aggression against a Member State, as well as to hybrid attacks which fall short of a direct military invasion;***

Or. en

Amendment 318

Claudiu-Richard Târziu, Alexandr Vondra, Charlie Weimers, Elena Donazzan, Sander Smit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU *remains institutionally and operationally underdeveloped*;

Amendment

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU *must respect the sovereign responsibilities and specific security and defence policies of the Member States, and, for Member States which are NATO Allies, be implemented in full coordination with NATO planning and structures, ensuring that it does not lead to institutional or operational duplication*;

Or. en

Amendment 319

Stanisław Tyszką, Hans Neuhoﬀ, Roberto Vannacci
on behalf of the ESN Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU remains institutionally and operationally underdeveloped;

Amendment

12. Recalls that Article 42(7) TEU remains institutionally and operationally underdeveloped; *stresses that this article is clearly limited to the Member States, not the Union as such*;

Or. en

Amendment 320

Cristian Terheş

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

12a. Stresses that hybrid attacks, including cyberattacks, sabotage against critical infrastructure, and disinformation campaigns, are designed to remain below the threshold of armed conflict; considers that the Union should therefore adopt, in close coordination with NATO, a common and publicly known position that a sufficiently serious hybrid or cyber attack may trigger Article 42(7) TEU on a case-by-case basis, so as to strengthen deterrence and remove any doubt about solidarity among Member States; calls for the development of shared attribution procedures, based on Member States' intelligence, and of a graduated response ladder running from political consultation and the Cyber Diplomacy Toolbox and hybrid toolbox to sanctions and, where warranted, assistance under Article 42(7) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 321**Thijs Reuten, Vasile Dîncu, Krzysztof Śmiszek, Juan Fernando López Aguilar****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 13***Motion for a resolution*

13. Stresses that credible mutual defence requires sustained political will, permanent institutions, common planning, interoperable capabilities and predictable decision-making; calls on the Council, with the *Commission's* support, to establish **permanent** procedures for contingency planning, political consultation, operational planning, intelligence-sharing and logistical coordination;

Amendment

13. Stresses that credible mutual defence requires sustained political will, **a strategic culture of deterrence and defence**, permanent institutions, common planning, interoperable capabilities, **command and control, a force structure** and predictable decision-making; calls on the Council, with the support **of the Commission**, to establish **standing** procedures for contingency planning, political consultation, operational planning, intelligence-sharing and logistical coordination; **stresses that hybrid, cyber, space, subsea or FIMI operations may fall**

*within the scope of Article 42(7) TEU
where their scale and effects amount to
armed aggression;*

Or. en