European Parliament
2024-2029

Committee on Legal Affairs

2026/2103(INI)

20.10.2026

AMENDMENTS
1 - 255

Draft report
Jörgen Warborn
(PE790.903v01-00)

European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2025 and 2026
(2026/2103(INI))

Amendment 1
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
– having regard to ***Article 5*** of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the principles of subsidiarity ***and*** proportionality enshrined therein,	– having regard to ***Articles 1(2), 5, 10(3), 11(1), 11(2) and 15(1)*** of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the principles of subsidiarity***,*** proportionality***, openness and participatory democracy*** enshrined therein,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
– having regard to Article ***5*** of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality enshrined therein,	– having regard to Article ***2, Article 5 Article 11 and Artile 13(2)*** of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality enshrined therein,

Or. en

Amendment 3
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to its resolution of 9 June 2016 for an open, efficient and independent European Union

administration,

Or. en

Amendment 4
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the Commission Communication of 29 April 2021 entitled 'Better Regulation: Joining forces to make better laws' (COM(2021)0219),

Or. en

Amendment 5
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the Commission communication of 12 November 2025 on an EU Strategy for Civil Society,

Or. en

Amendment 6
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– Having regard to the European Ombudsman's Recommendation on the

European Commission's compliance with 'Better Regulation' rules and other procedural requirements in preparing legislative proposals that it considered to be urgent (983/2025/MAS - the "Omnibus" case, 2031/2024/VB - the "migration" case, and 1379/2024/MIK - the "CAP" case)

Or. en

Amendment 7
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the Commission communication of 11 February 2025 entitled 'A simpler and faster Europe: Communication on implementation and simplification' (COM(2025)0047),

Or. en

Amendment 8
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the annual report 2025 of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board, published on 24 April 2026,

Or. en

Amendment 9

Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the European Ombudsman Decision of 23 June 2026 on the European Commission's compliance with its 'Better Regulation' rules and other procedural requirements in preparing legislative proposals that it considered to be urgent (983/2025/MIK - the "Omnibus" case, 2031/2024/VB - the "migration" case, and 1379/2024/MIK - the "CAP" case)

Or. en

Amendment 10
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the European Ombudsman's Decision on the European Commission's compliance with its 'Better Regulation' rules and other procedural requirements in preparing legislative proposals that it considered to be urgent in case 983/2025/MIK - the "Omnibus" case

Or. en

Amendment 11
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to its resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024,

Or. en

Amendment 12
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the non-paper on simplification submitted by Austria, Czechia, Denmark, Germany, Estonia, Greece, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Slovakia and Finland to the General Affairs Council of 22 September 2026,

Or. en

Amendment 13
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	– having regard to the European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (2025/2015(INI))

Or. en

Amendment 14
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
A. whereas, in accordance with Article 5 TEU, the limits of EU competences are governed by the principle of conferral***, that is to say*** the EU ***must act only*** within the limits of the competences conferred upon it by the Member States in the Treaties to attain the objectives set out therein; whereas the use of EU competences is governed by the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality; whereas competences not conferred upon the EU in the Treaties remain with the Member States;	A. whereas, in accordance with Article 5 TEU, the limits of EU competences are governed by the principle of conferral ***and*** the EU ***acts accordingly*** within the limits of the competences conferred upon it by the Member States in the Treaties to attain the objectives set out therein; whereas the use of EU competences is governed by the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality; whereas competences not conferred upon the EU in the Treaties remain with the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Aa. whereas the principle of conferral of competences is the founding principle of any international organisation, including the EU; whereas, under Article 5(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the EU may act only within the limits of the competences conferred upon it by the Member States; whereas, therefore, compliance with the legal bases in legislative acts is fundamental for better law-making at EU level; whereas all too often there are legislative acts based in particular on Article 114 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

while it is settled case-law of the Court of Justice that it does not confer a general competence to regulate the internal market; whereas the EU thereby grants itself ultra vires competences that undermine the sovereignty of the Member States, infringe the Treaties and contribute to the legal uncertainty of European legislation;

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Aa. whereas, in accordance with Article 5 TEU, under the principle of subsidiarity, in areas which do not fall within its exclusive competence, the Union is to act only if and in so far as the objectives of the proposed action cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, either at central level or at regional and local level, but can rather, by reason of the scale or effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at Union level; whereas, under the principle of proportionality, the content and form of Union action must not exceed what is necessary to achieve the objectives of the Treaties;

Or. ro

Amendment 17
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Aa. whereas the Commission has made it clear in its different communications that better law-making requires ensuring that EU law and policymaking is based on sound evidence, involves stakeholders, including citizens and businesses and brings tangible and sustainable benefits for EU citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Aa. whereas the rule of law, one of the values enshrined in Article 2 TEU, requires that all EU action, including legislative and regulatory processes, be grounded in legality, transparency and effective judicial review;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness and societal trust ***within the EU***; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the	B. whereas better law-making is not a mere ***administrative or*** bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, ***legal certainty,*** single market integration, industrial competitiveness***, transparency of the decision-making process*** and societal trust ***in EU institutions and policies;***

Member States, requiring a commitment to transparency, proportionality ***and accountability***;	***whereas a clear, consistent, predictable and proportional legislative framework contributes to the proper functioning of the single market, the stimulation of innovation and investment and the reduction of unnecessary administrative burdens for businesses, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises***; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States, requiring a commitment to transparency, ***sincere cooperation, democratic accountability and a rigorous assessment of the necessity for and the*** proportionality ***of the proposed measures, in compliance with the principle of conferral of powers and institutional balance established by the Treaties***;

Or. ro

Amendment 20
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States***, requiring a commitment to*** transparency, ***proportionality and*** accountability;	B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness***, sustainability, social justice, gender equality, intergenerational fairness*** and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making ***is a common goal for all EU institutions and*** is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States ***and should be achieved by increasing*** transparency, accountability ***and cooperation between the institutions and Member States, citizens, civil society and stakeholders, ensuring full respect of all fundamental European values, including democracy, the rule of***

	law and human rights, as enshrined in Article 2 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States, requiring a commitment to transparency, proportionality and accountability;	B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness***, well-being of people*** and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States, requiring a commitment to ***rule of law,*** transparency, proportionality***, civic conslutations*** and accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States, requiring a commitment to	B. whereas better law-making is not a mere bureaucratic procedure, but a fundamental prerequisite for ensuring democratic legitimacy, single market integration, industrial competitiveness and societal trust within the EU; whereas better law-making is a shared responsibility between the EU institutions and the Member States, requiring a commitment to

transparency, proportionality and accountability;	transparency, ***public participation,*** proportionality and accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ba. whereas, in accordance with Article 2 TEU the Union is founded on the values of democracy and the rule of law, that is to say that the better law making should be grounded in these values and Article 11 TEU require to maintain an open, transparent and regular dialogue with representative associations, trade unions and other social partners and civil society as well as to carry out broad consultations with parties concerned in order to ensure that the Union's actions are coherent and transparent what is also repeated in Article 2 of Protocol No 2 to the TFEU that stresses that before proposing legislative acts, the Commission shall consult widely;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ba. Whereas in November 2025, over a hundred authoritative law professors and lawyers in a legal analysis shared with the

members of the Legal Affairs Committee cautioned that the Omnibus I, if adopted, would face a high risk of legal challenges in national or EU courts, and could set a dangerous precedent for the bloc's legislative and constitutional processes in the view of other omnibus proposals; whereas they indicate that the Omnibus I violates the principle of proportionality under Article 5(4) TEU and undermines the constitutional architecture of evidence-based, participatory lawmaking enshrined in Article 11 TEU and Article 296 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ba. Whereas the European Commission committed to an EU Youth Check in the context of the European Year of Youth 2022 and applied it to the 2025 Commission Work Programme; whereas intergenerational fairness calls for the effects of legislation on young people and future generations to be assessed systematically;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ba. whereas the quality of Union

legislation must also be assessed in terms of its Union added value, its effectiveness, applicability and economic, social and institutional impact, in full compliance with the values and objectives enshrined in the Treaties;

Or. ro

Amendment 27
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Bb. whereas, according to the European Parliament Research Service, the vast majority of Commission proposals for the ordinary legislative proposal in 2025 were not accompanied by an impact assessment, including the first 10 "Omnibus" proposals, and the European Ombudsman found that the Commission had committed maladministration when it did not prepare an impact assessment for a number of proposals, including the first Omnibus;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
C. whereas the EU faces ***unprecedented*** geopolitical, technological and economic ***shifts***, requiring a legislative framework that is agile, resilient, future-oriented and able to react swiftly to	C. whereas the EU faces ***societal,*** geopolitical***, environmental***, tech nological and economic ***developments***, requiring a legislative framework that is ***inclusive,*** agile, resilient, future-

emerging challenges without sacrificing legal certainty or thorough democratic debate;	oriented and able to react swiftly to emerging challenges ***to effectively benefit citizens and stakeholders*** without sacrificing legal certainty or thorough democratic debate;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
C. whereas the EU faces unprecedented geopolitical, technological and economic shifts, requiring a legislative framework that is agile, resilient, future-oriented and able to react swiftly to emerging challenges ***without sacrificing*** legal certainty ***or*** thorough democratic debate;	C. whereas the EU faces unprecedented geopolitical, technological and economic shifts, requiring a ***consistent*** legislative framework that is agile, resilient, future-oriented and able to react swiftly ***and effectively*** to emerging challenges***, with a view to ensuring*** legal certainty ***and*** thorough democratic debate;

Or. ro

Amendment 30
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
C. whereas the EU faces unprecedented geopolitical, technological and economic shifts, requiring a legislative framework that is ***agile,*** resilient, future-oriented and able to react swiftly to emerging challenges without sacrificing legal certainty or thorough democratic debate;	C. whereas the EU faces unprecedented geopolitical, technological and economic shifts, requiring a legislative framework that is resilient, future-oriented***, stable, democratic*** and able to react swiftly to emerging challenges without sacrificing legal certainty or thorough democratic debate;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
D. whereas excessive regulatory burdens hinder the competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, with particularly detrimental effects on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength and resilience, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value added but face disproportionate administrative and regulatory burdens;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 32
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
D. whereas excessive regulatory burdens hinder the competitiveness, innovation and growth of ***EU*** companies, with particularly detrimental effects on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength ***and resilience***, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value added ***but face disproportionate*** administrative and regulatory burdens;	D. whereas excessive regulatory burdens hinder the competitiveness, innovation and growth of ***European*** companies ***and undermine the economic prosperity of the Member States***, with particularly detrimental effects on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic ***development and*** strength, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value added***; whereas these SMEs face European legislation that stifles them with an accumulation of energy and environmental constraints, increasing***

	production costs, and growing administrative and regulatory burdens;

Or. fr

Amendment 33
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
D. whereas ***excessive*** regulatory ***burdens*** hinder the competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, with particularly detrimental effects on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength and resilience, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value added but face disproportionate administrative and regulatory burdens;	D. whereas ***lack of*** regulatory ***stability and lack of evidence-based law-making*** hinder the competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, with particularly detrimental effects on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength and resilience, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value added but face disproportionate administrative and regulatory burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
D. whereas excessive regulatory burdens ***hinder*** the competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, ***with particularly detrimental effects*** on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength and resilience, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and	D. whereas excessive regulatory burdens ***risks hindering*** the competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, ***especially*** on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) which are central to the EU's economic strength and resilience, representing 99.8 % of the total business population, two thirds of employment and half of the EU's value

half of the EU's value added but face disproportionate administrative and regulatory burdens;	added but face disproportionate administrative and regulatory burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Da. whereas the Draghi report, as well as numerous economic studies and analyses by international institutions, including the OECD and the IMF, highlight the declining competitiveness of the European Union in the global economy; whereas persistent productivity gaps, high energy costs, insufficient investment in innovation and excessive regulatory burdens undermine the ability of European businesses to compete internationally; whereas reversing this trend requires urgent action to simplify EU legislation, reduce unnecessary regulatory costs and create a more favourable environment for investment, innovation and economic growth;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Da. whereas the 'think small first' principle, widely endorsed by the institutions, may be applied even more systematically when defining obligations

on the basis of companies' size; whereas European SME representative organisations point out that rules designed primarily for large companies, which account for only 0.2 % of the total, must also be applied by around 24.3 million micro-enterprises and that the exemptions provided for are not always easy to use in practice;

Or. it

Amendment 37
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Db. whereas, despite repeated declarations by the EU institutions acknowledging the serious deterioration of the Union's competitiveness and the urgent need for structural reforms, these declarations have largely remained political rhetoric, with the Union failing to deliver the fundamental legislative and policy reforms necessary to reverse the decline in its competitiveness; whereas the measures undertaken so far have largely consisted of superficial adjustments and corrective interventions rather than a fundamental reassessment of the Union's regulatory framework and policy priorities; whereas, even more concerningly, the Union continues to maintain and introduce policies and regulatory requirements that aggravate the very structural problems it claims to address, increase production and consumption costs, discourage investment, undermine industrial competitiveness and perpetuate the conditions responsible for Europe's economic decline;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Db. whereas investors, funds and private banking operators are showing a growing interest in SMEs, where they see significant room for growth; whereas a clear, stable and proportionate regulatory framework may help to harness that interest, supporting the objectives of the savings and investments union;

Or. it

Amendment 39
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Recital D c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Dc. whereas, according to the EIB Investment Survey 2025, 83% of EU firms identify uncertainty as an obstacle to investment, compared with 68% in the United States, while 69% of EU firms identify business regulations as an investment barrier; whereas the estimated cost of bureaucracy amounts to 1.1% of EU firms' turnover, rising to 1.8% for SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution

Recital D d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Dd. whereas 62% of EU firms perceive the single market as fragmented for their main product;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Recital D e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	De. whereas, according to the Commission's State of the Digital Decade 2026 report, the EU had only 324 unicorn companies in 2025, compared with 1 886 in the United States and 452 in China; whereas the United States had nearly six times as many unicorns as the EU in absolute terms and four times as many relative to the size of its economy; whereas these disparities highlight the need to create a more favourable regulatory environment for innovation, investment and business growth, including through the removal of unnecessary regulatory burdens, greater legal certainty and the simplification of existing Union legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*

E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and gold-plating practices undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 43
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Ernő Schaller-Baross

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
E. whereas ***lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and*** gold-plating practices undermine ***not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also*** citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;	E. whereas gold-plating practices ***at EU level, including in areas falling within the limits of the competences conferred upon it by the Member States, and the overly frequent adoption of texts as a matter of urgency, without an impact assessment or qualitative or quantitative evidence demonstrating the need for and added value of EU intervention,*** undermine ***adherence to the principles of conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality, undermine*** citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and gold-plating	E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and gold-plating

practices undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;

practices undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens; ***whereas the European Council, in its conclusions of 19 March 2026, called on the Member States to avoid gold-plating and disproportionate national requirements;***

Or. en

Amendment 45
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and ***gold-plating practices*** undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;	E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition and ***uneven implementation of EU law can*** undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition ***and gold-plating practices*** undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;	E. whereas lack of or uncoordinated national transposition undermine not only the uniform application of EU legislation but also citizens' trust in the EU, and create additional regulatory burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ea. whereas a lack of legal certainty and predictability stemming from changes to existing legislation can also lead to unnecessary regulatory burden and compliance costs;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Eb. whereas competitiveness, innovation and growth of EU companies, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), shall not be unnecessarily hindered by regulatory burdens; whereas quality law-making cannot be reduced to quantitative targets for the short-term reduction of burdens and costs alone, but should deliver for all European Union citizens as a long-term investment in the shared prosperity of our societies and our future;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital E c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ec. whereas, in accordance with Article 4 TEU, the Union and its Member States are bound by the principle of sincere cooperation to assist each other in carrying out the tasks which flow from the Treaties; whereas this includes the task of Member States to take any appropriate measure to ensure the fulfilment of the obligations arising from the Treaties or resulting from acts of the institutions of the Union; whereas the timely transposition of directives and implementation of EU law still vary greatly; whereas further support to build Member States' administrative and technical capacity and resolute enforcement by the Commission when cooperation fails is needed;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital E d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ed. whereas the transparent, accurate and uniform application of EU legislation is essential for citizens' trust in the EU, and avoids the creation of unnecessary burdens; whereas under Article 197 TFEU, such implementation must be regarded as a matter of common interest for the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are balanced by doubling the number of rules removed or simplifying current rules of equivalent cost;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 52
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are balanced by doubling the number of rules removed or simplifying current rules of equivalent cost;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 53
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are ***balanced by doubling the number of rules removed*** or simplifying ***current rules of*** equivalent cost;	F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ***in particular SMEs,*** ensuring that any new obligations are ***offset by removing*** or simplifying ***existing obligations of twice the*** equivalent cost; ***whereas the application of this principle should be based on a transparent and verifiable methodology that enables cost comparison and the demonstration of a real net reduction in the regulatory burden, without compromising the public policy objectives pursued by Union legislation;***

Or. ro

Amendment 54
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
F. whereas the 'one in, ***two out' principle*** should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a ***cost-based*** mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative,	F. whereas the 'one in, ***one out' approach*** should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a ***cost-benefit-based*** mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs ***and improvements in the functioning of the EU market and***

compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are ***balanced by doubling the number of rules removed or simplifying current rules of equivalent cost***;

society; whereas the focus must remain on the ***added value of the new law and*** total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses ***as well as on the social, economic and environmental impacts of law-making***, ensuring that any new obligations are ***thoroughly evaluated by the expected added value for the parties involves and society as a whole***;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are ***balanced by doubling the number of rules removed*** or simplifying ***current rules of*** equivalent cost;	F. whereas the 'one in, two out' principle should not be understood as a numerical target for legislative acts, but rather as a cost-based mechanism aimed at achieving a tangible net reduction in regulatory costs; whereas the focus must remain on the total administrative, compliance and implementation costs imposed on businesses, ensuring that any new obligations are ***offset by removing*** or simplifying ***existing obligations of twice the*** equivalent cost;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*

Fa. whereas better lawmaking must aim to serve the EU's political ambitions, especially its long-term objectives; whereas well carried out ex-ante and ex-post impact assessments, including in respect of social, economic and environmental impacts, and public consultations are essential tools for well-informed, better, efficient, accountable and transparent lawmaking; whereas the Regulatory Scrutiny Board is responsible for assessing the quality of impact assessments and of fitness checks and major evaluations to support political decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fa. whereas the Commission communication of April 2026 on the EU Rulebook introduces five key pillars aimed at modernising law-making through "simplicity by design", improvements to the better regulation framework, regulatory deep cleaning, tackling gold-plating and strengthened enforcement;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fb. whereas the Commission aims to introduce a two-tier approach to impact assessments, in which the Commission will conclude an initial screening and categorise an initiative as 'major' or 'targeted', based on a newly developed 'matrix of key impacts'; whereas that screening lies in the discretion of the Commission and the Parliament should be informed about the findings as well as the indicators used for the screening;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fc. whereas the Commission plans for the Regulatory Scrutiny Board to attach a qualification (positive, positive with reservation or negative) only to its opinions on impact assessments accompanying major initiatives; whereas its opinions on targeted and urgent initiatives would contain recommendations without qualification; whereas the absence of such a qualification risk the Board's findings will not be followed upon;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fd. whereas one of the three pillars of the Better Regulation framework, namely ex-post evaluation, plays only a limited role in the Commission communication, despite the Regulatory Scrutiny Board having identified shortcomings in the Commission's evaluation practices in its annual report for 2025;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fe. whereas, under point 48 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, the Commission undertook to present annually an overview including an annual burden survey; whereas the annual burden survey was discontinued after its 2023 edition and the annual progress reports on simplification, implementation and enforcement introduced in 2025 do not provide comparable public reporting on the aggregate regulatory costs added and removed by Union legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Emil Radev, Daniel Buda, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F f (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Ff. whereas the Commission has announced a revision of the Better Regulation Guidelines and the accompanying Toolbox, which will determine how the commitments in the communication are translated into practice;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F g (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fg. whereas the current number of proposals amending recently adopted Union legislation before it has entered into force suggests that shortcomings and excessive regulatory burden, which could and should have been identified during the preparation of the legislation, were not addressed in time or properly;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F h (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fh. whereas delegated and implementing acts are non-legislative acts adopted by the Commission under Articles 290 and 291 TFEU on the basis of powers conferred on it by the legislative act;

whereas such acts increasingly lay down detailed obligations with significant consequences for businesses, while being adopted through procedures that offer less transparency and fewer opportunities for stakeholder participation than the ordinary legislative procedure, and without systematic impact assessment; whereas the late adoption of such acts, and of the standards and guidance needed for compliance, has in several cases left businesses without sufficient time to prepare for obligations that already applied;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Recital F i (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Fi. whereas, in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making, the three institutions committed, inter alia, to taking full account of the Commission's impact assessments, to carrying out impact assessments of their own substantial amendments where they consider this appropriate and necessary, to the presentation by the Commission of an annual burden survey, and to calling on the Member States to make identifiable any additions unrelated to Union legislation when transposing directives; whereas, ten years after its conclusion, several of those commitments remain largely unimplemented;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls that EU intervention is justified only when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 67
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls that EU intervention is justified only when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;	1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls that EU intervention is justified only when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses; ***emphasises that the Commission should duly take into account the specific socio-economic circumstances and local conditions of individual Member States, recognising that these may differ significantly across the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 68
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls that ***EU*** intervention is justified only when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;	1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of ***conferral of powers,*** subsidiarity and proportionality***, enshrined in Article 5 TEU,*** is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls that***, in areas that do not fall within the exclusive competence of the Union, this*** intervention is justified only when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level ***due to the scale or effects of the proposed action***; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;

Or. ro

Amendment 69
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls ***that EU intervention is justified only*** when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;	1. Reaffirms that adherence to the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality is the bedrock of EU law-making and recalls ***the EU has an obligation to legislate*** when objectives cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can be better achieved at EU level; stresses that the aim of this obligation is to legislate as closely as possible to EU citizens and businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	1a. Calls on the Commission to strengthen its dialogue with national parliaments and relevant national, regional and local stakeholders, including social and economic actors, at the earliest stages of legislative preparation, ensuring their meaningful involvement in the legislative process; stresses that these stakeholders are best placed to understand their specific needs and local circumstances, as they are often directly responsible for implementing Union legislation or ensuring its practical application, and are therefore particularly well positioned to identify practical shortcomings, regulatory inconsistencies and unintended consequences arising from its implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal, Ernő Schaller-Baross

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	1a. Welcomes the fact that, in a joint declaration to the Council on 21 September 2026, 11 Member States urged the Commission to refrain from tabling any new legislation for a period of one year in order to ensure effective simplification; notes also that these Member States deplore the lack of

subsidiarity, proportionality and necessity of certain pieces of European legislation and their failure to ensure economic competitiveness;

Or. fr

Amendment 72
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
2. Recalls that national parliaments ***are the natural guardians of the principle of subsidiarity and*** play a crucial role in ensuring compliance with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality through interparliamentary dialogue and the early warning system, which allows them to submit a reasoned opinion if they believe that a legislative proposal does not respect the principle of subsidiarity;	2. Recalls that national parliaments play a crucial role in ensuring compliance with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality through interparliamentary dialogue and the early warning system, which allows them to submit a reasoned opinion if they believe that a legislative proposal does not respect the principle of subsidiarity;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	2a. Urges the institutions to introduce a 'red card' procedure that would allow a majority of national parliaments to block a European legislative proposal; considers that such a procedure would finally give practical effect to the principle of subsidiarity, the implementation of which since the Maastricht Treaty has been largely unsatisfactory; recalls that

the inclusion of national parliaments in the European legislative process is a fundamental element of democratic legitimacy; considers that such a 'red card' mechanism could take the form of a Council decision to put an end to discussions on a European legislative proposal, and that its implementation therefore does not require a reform of the Treaties;

Or. fr

Amendment 74
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	2a. Notes that in 2025, national parliaments submitted … reasoned opinions, …;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	2b. Recalls that, in addition to the subsidiarity control mechanism established by Protocol No 2, the Commission put in place a political dialogue in 2006, through which … opinions were submitted in 2025; notes, furthermore, that in those submissions, national parliaments focused on …;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means; recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant non-regulatory alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 77
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means***; recalls that impact assessments*** should ***properly examine*** the baseline scenario and relevant ***non-regulatory*** alternatives ***before legislation is proposed***; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this	3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether ***drawing up*** new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means***, in the sense that the need for a new legislative intervention by the Union*** should ***be demonstrated through a rigorous assessment of problems identified,*** the baseline scenario and relevant alternatives***, including non-***

requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;	***legislative measures and solutions involving a lower regulatory burden; calls on the Commission to present, in its impact assessments, the reasons why the preferred option is necessary and proportional, as well as the main costs, benefits, risks and distributional effects of the preferred option***; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;

Or. ro

Amendment 78
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
3. ***Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means;*** recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant ***non-regulatory*** alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement ***and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board***;	3. recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario***, the costs of action and inaction, including due to social, environmental and consumer protection impacts,*** and relevant alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means; recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant non-regulatory alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;	3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means; recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant non-regulatory alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to ***systematically produce an impact assessment of the potential negative impacts of the proposed text on national legislation,*** properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;

Or. fr

Amendment 80
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation is necessary ***or whether the objective pursued could be achieved by less burdensome means***; recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant ***non-regulatory*** alternatives before legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;	3. Considers that more attention should be paid to whether new EU legislation***, be it to provide new rules or to repeal already existing rules,*** is necessary; recalls that impact assessments should properly examine the baseline scenario and relevant alternatives before ***legislation or repealing existing*** legislation is proposed; calls for the revised guidelines to strengthen this requirement and for the Commission to properly explain why legislative action is necessary and proportionate***, provide the evidence based proposals*** and to have this checked by the Regulatory Scrutiny Board;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3a. Calls on the Member States to ask the Commission to submit proposals to repeal a legislative act where it has harmful effects on the Member States or European businesses, in accordance with Declaration No 18 on the delimitation of competences annexed to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union; recalls, in this regard, that Article 241 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union stipulates that such a request may be submitted by a simple majority of the Council; considers that such a repeal mechanism is necessary in the context of the normative inflation observed at European level;

Or. fr

Amendment 82
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3a. Recalls that better lawmaking is based on interinstitutional balance among the co-legislators and a shared commitment to sincere and transparent cooperation throughout the entire legislative cycle; notes that this is to be reflected in the setting or reviewing of multiannual priorities, and the yearly joint declaration on annual

interinstitutional priorities; recalls that any intention by the Commission to withdraw pending legislative acts as announced in its annual work programmes needs to be duly reasoned and substantiated, allowing for the proper consultation of the EU's co-legislators;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3a. Stresses that simplification efforts must result in a genuine reduction of administrative and compliance burdens across all levels of governance, rather than merely transferring obligations from EU institutions or national administrations to regional and local authorities; calls on the Commission to systematically assess the administrative, financial and compliance consequences of new legislative proposals and simplification initiatives for the authorities responsible for their implementation, particularly smaller municipalities;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3a. Calls on the Commission, before

proposing new rules in a field already covered by Union law, to assess whether the identified shortcoming stems from the rules themselves or from their application, and to set out in the explanatory memorandum what has been done to ensure the effective enforcement of the rules already in force;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3b. Calls for more systematic use of the evidence collected by the European Committee of the Regions and its RegHub network in the evaluation and simplification of EU legislation; stresses that the practical experience of regional and local authorities responsible for implementing EU law should contribute to identifying unnecessary administrative burdens, inconsistencies in implementation and disproportionate compliance costs; calls for structured cooperation between the Commission's Simplification Platform and the Committee of the Regions, ensuring that contributions from regional and local authorities are duly considered to improve the clarity, proportionality and effective implementation of EU legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3b. Recalls that, in accordance with the principle of conferral of competences and Article 2(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Member States may again exercise their competence when the Union ceases to exercise its competence; calls, therefore, on the Member States to carry out an assessment of the shared competences that should be repatriated in the field of national legislation due to the Union's inability to meet the objectives set;

Or. fr

Amendment 87
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3b. Stresses that the Commission must systematically inform and consult Parliament and the Council, and, where appropriate, national parliaments throughout the entire lawmaking cycle, in order to guarantee democratic accountability, institutional balance and transparency in EU policymaking;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	3c. Recalls that recourse to other legal

bases than the ordinary legislative procedure is carefully framed in the Treaties; warns against any use of legislative processes specifically provided for emergency situations based on Article 122 TFEU beyond specific situations that necessitate swift reactions to unfolding crises;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
4. Notes that following ***high-profile diagnostic*** assessments – ***most notably*** the Draghi report on EU competitiveness and the Letta report on the single market – the EU has placed regulatory burden reduction and legislative streamlining at the core of its policy agenda;	4. Notes that following assessments – ***of*** the Draghi report on EU competitiveness and the Letta report on the single market ***and amid sustained lobbying by corporate interests*** – the EU has placed regulatory burden reduction and legislative streamlining at the core of its policy agenda ***undermining investor confidence, regulatory stability and to the detriment of social and environmental safeguards***;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
4. Notes that ***following high-profile diagnostic assessments – most notably the Draghi report on EU competitiveness and the Letta report on the single market –*** the EU has placed regulatory burden reduction	4. Notes that the EU has placed regulatory burden reduction and legislative streamlining at the core of its ***current*** policy agenda; ***emphasises the need to focus on improving EU legislation to***

and legislative streamlining at the core of its policy agenda;	***ensure it remains fit for purpose, rather than pursuing deregulation as an end in itself;***

Or. en

Amendment 91
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing corporate administrative obligations ***by 25 %*** overall and ***up to 35 %*** for SMEs***, its ongoing work on targeted omnibus legislative proposals to streamline, simplify and harmonise overlapping legislation***, as well as the competitiveness check and SME filter in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity;	5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing corporate administrative obligations overall and ***especially*** for SMEs, as well as the competitiveness check and SME filter in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity; ***continues to be concerned about the Commission's strict and numerical pledge to reduce reporting obligations; points out that simplification requires focusing first and foremost smart implementation strategies, taking a pragmatic, legally sound and efficient approach to alleviating some of the administrative burden of companies and SMEs in particular, and simplify as much as possible the implementation of agreed rules; calls on the Commission to focus on supporting SMEs and provide them with the tools to reach EU objectives instead of pushing blind deregulation; urges the Commission to focus on further approximation of national rules, without leading to deregulation nor cutting back on the EU acquis; calls in this context in particular to follow-up and implement the three 2024 recommendations of the "Fit for Future Platform" on automated sustainability reporting, measures to avoid***

unnecessary/duplicate reporting and sustainability related disclosures;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing corporate administrative obligations by 25 % overall and up to 35 % for SMEs, its ongoing work on targeted omnibus legislative proposals to ***streamline, simplify and harmonise overlapping*** legislation, as well as the competitiveness check and SME filter in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity;	5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing corporate administrative obligations by 25 % overall and up to 35 % for SMEs, its ongoing work on targeted omnibus legislative proposals to ***deregulate existing*** legislation, as well as the competitiveness check and SME filter in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing ***corporate*** administrative ***obligations by 25 % overall and up to 35 %*** for SMEs**,** its ongoing work on targeted omnibus legislative proposals to streamline, simplify and harmonise	5. Recalls, in that respect, that the Commission has committed itself to reducing administrative ***burdens by 25%, and by 35%*** for SMEs***; acknowledges*** its ongoing work on targeted omnibus legislative proposals to streamline, simplify and harmonise overlapping legislation, as

overlapping legislation, as well as the competitiveness check and SME ***filter*** in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity;	well as the competitiveness check and SME ***test*** in impact assessments for new EU legislative proposals, which must, in principle, explicitly evaluate impacts on competitiveness and SME compliance capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	5a. Considers that the Commission's commitments to reducing administrative burdens by 25%, and by 35% for SMEs, should have been more ambitious; calls on the Commission to pursue greater reductions in administrative burdens; Reiterates that the burden reduction goal is a net target and that new burdens are to be reduced from the achieved simplification gains. Reminds the Commission, that the burden reduction targets were assigned in the mission letters of all commissioners;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing the administrative	***deleted***

burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals ***which have*** already ***been*** put forward ***are reducing*** the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission;	6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals already put forward ***should make it possible to reduce*** the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year***,*** according to the ***Commission's estimates; considers these efforts insufficient and urges the*** Commission ***to go beyond mere administrative cuts by proposing the repeal of all legislation that undermines Member States' interests or business competitiveness, in particular legislation adopted under the European Green Deal***;

Or. fr

Amendment 97
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission;	6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission; ***stresses, however, that these simplification measures remain insufficient to address the scale and cumulative impact of regulatory burdens faced by European businesses, and that more ambitious and***

	far-reaching legislative reforms are needed;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission;	6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission***, but only if the level of ambition is preserved during the legislative process***; ***calls on the Commission to verify ex post the savings actually achieved and to report on them to Parliament;***

Or. en

Amendment 99
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward ***are reducing the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year according to the Commission***;	6. Acknowledges that the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward ***dismantle the carefully negotiated regulatory framework for sustainable development and threaten the legal predictability and legal certainty that are preconditions for the business investment*** ;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
6. ***Acknowledges*** that the ***12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward are reducing*** the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year ***according to the Commission***;	6. ***Notes*** that the ***Commission claims a reduction of*** the administrative burden by around EUR 17 billion per year ***through the 12 omnibus proposals which have already been put forward***;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6a. Stresses that despite institutional mechanisms and targets various stakeholders identify several deep-seated challenges in how EU legislation is designed, implemented or transposed, and enforced:
	- regulative overload and cumulative impact: while individual acts may have clear merits their cumulative burden creates severe compliance friction, overlapping definitions, conflicting timelines, and duplicated reporting obligations,
	- transposition divergence (the so-called national gold-plating) with additional national layers, stricter rules or reporting requirements, and uneven enforcement hindering the Single Market,
	- proliferation of secondary legislation measures (delegated and implementing

acts and technical standards), and granular complexity,

- scrutiny limitations of the RSB;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6a. Calls on the Commission to put forward further omnibus proposals to simplify existing EU legislation and reduce regulatory burdens, including a Social Omnibus addressing EU labour market and employment legislation; considers that legislation such as the Pay Transparency Directive (EU) 2023/970 and the Working Time Directive 2003/88/EC imposes significant administrative and compliance obligations on businesses, diverting resources from their core activities, innovation and growth; stresses the need to reduce unnecessary regulatory burdens in order to strengthen European competitiveness and allow businesses to focus their resources on productive activities;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6a. Notes that the European Ombudsman found that the European Commission's procedures and

justifications regarding so-called "urgent" legislative proposals were not sufficiently reasoned, transparent, evidence-based and inclusive; expresses concern that, in light of the Ombudsman findings, the Commission is in breach of its own Better Regulation rules;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6a. Considers that simplification in view of competitiveness and further internal market integration requires an overarching approach to relevant EU and national legislation; points out that also divergences between national rules of different Member States can cause legal uncertainty and additional administrative costs;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6a. Calls on the Commission, in its regular reports on simplification, to include information on progress towards the 35 % burden reduction target for SMEs, separately, if possible, for micro, small and medium-sized enterprises, to

enable Parliament to track progress;

Or. it

Amendment 106
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6b. Notes that Art. 6 (4) of the European Climate Law requires an effective `climate consistency assessment` before the adoption of "any draft measure or legislative proposal"; is deeply concerned by the European Ombudsman´s finding that the Commission breached its obligations in the "Omnibus I" and the "Common Agricultural Policy" (2024) procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6b. Reiterates concerns over the regulatory burden and administrative complexity accumulated over the years, which disproportionately impacts EU citizens, businesses and public administrations;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	Stakeholder engagement

Or. en

Amendment 109
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6c. Calls on the Commission to assess, in its impact assessments, the cumulative regulatory burden on the sectors and types of undertakings most affected, taking into account obligations already adopted and those in the pipeline, including delegated and implementing acts; considers that the competitiveness check should give greater weight to international competitiveness and innovation capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6b. Highlights with regard to the

Commission communications of April that, despite progress made under the better regulation agenda, improvements can be made to ensure that the EU legislative process is more transparent, inclusive to all stakeholder input and easier to follow;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6c. Recalls that the Commission in its earlier Communications already highlighted the importance of closely involving citizens and stakeholders, and being open to their feedback, at every stage of the process – from the first idea, to when the Commission makes a proposal, through to the adoption of legislation and its evaluation;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6d. Acknowledges that the choice of legal instrument can affect regulatory fragmentation; stresses that this choice must respect the principles subsidiarity and proportionality; agrees that a more systematic use of exhaustive regulations

can limit top-up obligations and regulatory fragmentation;

Or. en

Amendment 113
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6d. Calls on the Commission to ensure public and targeted consultations in relation to all legislative initiatives, including the initiatives it considers those considered "targeted"; urges the Commission to rely on the EU Strategy on Civil Society, including when identifying relevant stakeholders for targeted consultations; considers that all stakeholder consultations and meetings with interest representatives, whatever their format, must comply with the standards set out in the Better Regulation guidelines and applicable transparency rules and commitments;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6e. Reiterates its call on the Commission to carry out impact assessments on every legislative proposal likely to have a significant economic, competitiveness or budgetary impacts, and

to be published together with the legislative proposal thus ensuring that every proposal is evidence-based; stresses that recourse to an analytical staff working document should remain a genuine exception and cannot be considered a substitute for a full impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6e. Is highly concerned about the European Ombudsman's findings that the Commission committed maladministration regarding the Omnibus I, migration and CAP cases brought before her, by not preparing impact assessments; welcomes the Commission's commitment to prepare "more impact assessments", but remains concerned that the Commission has failed to address all of the Ombudsman's recommendations and insists a proper implementation of all these recommendations by the Commission; furthermore welcomes the commitment of the Ombudsman to continue to monitor the situation accordingly and commits itself as co-legislator to monitor this as well;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 f (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6f. Calls on the Commission, in accordance with the recommendation of the European Ombudsman, to further define in the Better Regulation guidelines the concept of "political context" as a justification for urgent action that would allow deviations from normal impact assessment and consultation practice; insists that urgency must be based on clear, verifiable, transparent and publically available reasons as well as on objective and external developments, rather than mere political priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 g (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	6g. Calls on the Commission to clearly define what the Commission considers to be a so-called "targeted initiative" and how it will assess and indicate for each proposal how it does not "significantly alter the policy objectives of existing legislation", as stated in its communication of 28 April 2026; considers that legislative proposals that impact the achievement of both primary as well as any ancillary objectives of existing legislation should never be considered "targeted";, urges the Commission to publish a clear explanation as to why the attainment of none of the objectives of the legislation will be impacted, together with any "targeted initiative", as well as an indication of the aspects not considered in

the more limited version of the impact assessment, in order to allow the co-legislators to fill any gaps;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development of a common methodology for assessing the impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory cost, including compliance and implementation costs; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology;	7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development of a common methodology for assessing the impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory cost, including compliance and implementation costs; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology; ***considers that an effective methodology must be accompanied by sufficient staffing and financial resources to enable the swift and effective impact assessment of substantial amendments; notes that an impact assessment of substantial amendments should not hinder or limit the the tabling of amendments;***

Or. en

Amendment 119
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development of a common methodology for assessing the	7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development***, based on cooperation between the European***

impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory cost, including compliance and implementation costs; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology;	***Parliament, the Council and the Commission,*** of a common***, proportional and transparent*** methodology for assessing the impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory cost, including compliance and implementation costs***, as well as the cumulative impact on existing regulatory obligations***; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology;

Or. ro

Amendment 120
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development of a common methodology for assessing the impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory ***cost***, including compliance and implementation costs; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology;	7. Suggests that further consideration should be given to the development of a common methodology for assessing the impact of substantial amendments, one that covers the full regulatory ***impact***, including compliance and implementation costs ***and benefits***; calls for clear criteria to determine what constitutes a substantial amendment and stresses that Parliament and the Council should apply the same methodology;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7a. Calls for the establishment of an interinstitutional mechanism to assess the impact of substantial amendments introduced by the European Parliament and the Council during the legislative process, using a methodology consistent with that applied to Commission proposals; stresses that this mechanism should provide independent analytical support to the co-legislators and assess the cumulative impact of amendments on regulatory costs, competitiveness and the achievement of legislative objectives; further calls for systematic post-adoption assessments to identify differences between the impacts originally anticipated in Commission proposals and those resulting from the legislation ultimately adopted;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7a. Recalls the commitment of Parliament and the Council, under point 15 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, to carry out impact assessments of their substantial amendments where they consider this appropriate and necessary for the legislative process; regrets that, according to EPRS records, Parliament has carried out only 17 such assessments, covering 68 amendments, since 2005, the most recent in 2017, and that the Council has never done so; considers that Parliament should establish a streamlined internal procedure enabling its committees to obtain such

assessments within the timetable of the legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7a. Stresses the need for increased transparency on the authors of impact assessments and the assumptions made within the impact assessments; highlights the need for impact assessments on all legislation adopted by the co-legislators as well as proper ex-post evaluations of Union initiatives;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7a. Highlights the need for appropriate, independent and transparent impact assessments of legislative proposals as well as proper ex post evaluations of Union initiatives; nevertheless, recalls that impact assessments help to inform but do not replace political decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 125
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7b. Welcomes the non-paper on simplification presented in September 2026 by 15 Member States, which calls for regulatory restraint, an 'evaluate first' approach and a review of existing obligations before new ones are added; welcomes, in particular, their call for a Council procedure to address the regulatory, administrative and compliance costs of substantial amendments introduced during the legislative process, and calls on the Council to put such a procedure in place without delay, in line with point 15 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7b. Stresses that impact assessments and ex-post evaluations should consider an initiative's social, environmental, economic and administrative impact, as well as the impact on gender equality and on children, young people and future generations; calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests;

Or. en

Amendment 127
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7c. Stresses that proposals should only be presented without a full impact assessment in highly exceptional situations; supports the recommendations of the Ombudsman that the Commission should define the notion of 'urgency´ and should justify any use of this derogation with internal recording and timely external communication; prop oses that the Commission should establish the procedure for urgent legislative proposals recommended by the Ombudsman in close cooperation with the EU institutions and stakeholders;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7c. Welcomes the Commission's commitment to accompany more initiatives with impact assessments and to extend the Regulatory Scrutiny Board's quality control to assessments it did not previously review; stresses, however, that the two-tier approach must not lead to lower analytical standards;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7d. Considers that impact assessments should be informed by full and public consultation which ensures comprehensive democratic participation by actively seeking a balanced range of views to enable a real-world testing of ideas; calls on the Commission to use the knowledge and representation provided by existing EU bodies instead of creating new bodies or procedures; suggests, as a supplement to the consultations, an interinstitutional, regularly organised civil dialogue, as provided for in Article 11(2) TEU, in order to gain a general understanding of real-world interactions and challenges of envisioned initiatives and to achieve a meaningful involvement of civil society in policymaking;

Or. en

Amendment 130
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7e. Recalls that the need for new legislation should not automatically imply that current legislation is no longer needed; is of the opinion, in this regard, that a thorough impact assessment must equally be conducted for any potential repeal to avoid unexpected consequences and undesirable effects; calls for this approach to be based on a transparent and evidence-based methodology;

Or. en

Amendment 131
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 f (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	7f. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' and businesses' trust in EU legislation; is of the opinion that in situations where no impact assessment is undertaken, a cost-benefit analysis must be prepared at a later stage and accompany the proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 133
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed;	8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed; ***calls on the Commission to ensure that the Regulatory Scrutiny Board's recommendations are systematically addressed, with particular attention to the definition of problems, the assessment of alternative policy options and their respective impacts;***

Or. en

Amendment 134
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed;	8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board ***on the impact assessment*** and that a proposal should not proceed where the Board's concerns ***regarding the impact assessment*** remain unaddressed; ***calls on the Commission to enable the Board to issue qualified opinions also on impact assessments accompanying targeted and urgent initiatives;***

Or. en

Amendment 135
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed;	8. Considers that effective scrutiny requires clear outcomes; takes the view that Commission proposals should, as a rule, be supported by a positive opinion of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board and that a proposal should not proceed where the board's concerns remain unaddressed; ***highlights in this regard the importance of publishing comprehensive impact assessments when they are submitted to the Regulatory Scrutiny Board to allow for proper scrutiny of the whole process;***

Or. en

Amendment 136
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Considers that the legal scrutiny of legislative amendments should be strengthened throughout the legislative process, particularly with regard to their interaction with existing EU legislation, potential regulatory overlaps, inconsistencies and unintended legal consequences; calls for stronger mechanisms to ensure that legislators have access to timely and systematic legal assessments of proposed amendments, while underlining that such assessments should remain advisory in nature and must not undermine the political

discretion of the legislators;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. stresses that lack of impact assessment and broad public consultations, including lack of any social dialogue, undermines the quality of law and its effective implementation; lack of public consultations or replacing them with new "targeted" consultation formats like "reality checks" or "implementation dialogues" with unclear and untransparent rules on the selection of participants, risks exacerbating democratic concerns and the criticism of corporate capture of the EU institutions and an EU machinery removed from its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Considers the SME test a key tool for better regulation; encourages the Commission to apply it to all proposals with a significant impact on businesses, assessing, where possible, their different effects for micro, small, medium and small mid-cap companies; calls on the Regulatory Scrutiny Board to pay

particular attention to the quality of that analysis in its opinions;

Or. it

Amendment 139
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Stresses that efforts to streamline legislative procedures must not come at the expense of the quality and rigour of impact assessments; emphasises that impact assessments must remain comprehensive and evidence-based, adequately examining economic, social and environmental impacts, including cumulative regulatory burdens, effects on competitiveness and SMEs, and interactions with existing legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Insist that a 'targeted' initiative accompanied by a 'lighter' impact assessment must remain a genuine impact assessment; calls on the Commission to define, in the revised Better Regulation Guidelines, binding minimum standards covering at least the problem definition, the options considered, a quantified cost-benefit analysis and impacts on competitiveness and SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that impact assessments pay particular attention not only to SMEs in general, but also specifically to micro-enterprises, recognising their limited administrative, financial and human resources and their particular vulnerability to regulatory burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8a. Calls on the European Commission to embed the Youth Check as a standing element of the Better Regulation framework, by integrating it into the guidelines and toolbox and into the matrix of key impacts, so that the impact of "youth relevant" initiatives on young people is assessed;

Or. en

Amendment 143
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8b. Calls on the Commission, when preparing legislative proposals and considering further harmonisation, to duly take into account the specific local conditions of individual Member States and regions, particularly those with GDP per capita below the EU average; stresses that differences in levels of economic development and administrative capacities must be adequately reflected in impact assessments to prevent disproportionate regulatory burdens and ensure that Union legislation supports economic convergence, balanced development and economic, social and territorial cohesion across all Member States, in line with the objectives enshrined in Articles 174 and 175 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8b. Calls on the Commission to complement ex ante impact assessments and periodic evaluations with more continuous monitoring of the practical effects of EU legislation; encourages the systematic use of representative business surveys, stakeholder feedback and available statistical data to identify emerging compliance difficulties, cumulative regulatory burdens and unintended consequences; stresses that such evidence should inform timely adjustments to existing legislation and future simplification priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8b. Calls on the Commission to publish the 'matrix of key impacts' for each initiative together with the call for evidence, to set out clear criteria for the categorisation of initiatives in the revised Better Regulation Guidelines;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8b. calls for independent mapping of potentially interested stakeholders for the purpose of public consultations that would ensure balanced representation;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8c. Calls on the Commission to define the notion of urgency more precisely in its

Better Regulation rules and establish clear and sufficiently precise criteria for derogations from impact assessment and public consultation requirements; stresses that political priorities alone should not constitute sufficient grounds for invoking urgency; calls on the Commission to ensure that all decisions granting derogations are duly recorded, including the identity of the requester, the grounds invoked and the authority granting the derogation, and that the reasons for each derogation are clearly explained;

Or. en

Amendment 148
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8c. Stresses that the SME test and the competitiveness check should apply to all initiatives, including targeted and urgent initiatives and those accompanied only by an analytical staff working document; calls for both to be published as identifiable annexes and for the SME test to quantify costs, especially for micro-enterprises separately, and for the specific situation of small mid-caps to be taken into account where relevant;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8d. Calls on the Commission to ensure that analytical documents replacing impact assessments in urgent cases contain sufficient information on the problem addressed, the measures proposed and their key expected impacts; calls for such documents to be published at the time of adoption of the legislative proposal, enabling the co-legislators and the public to examine the evidence underpinning the proposal before legislative negotiations begin;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8d. Calls for the Board to publish its opinions no later than the adoption of the proposal; stresses that the Board's independence must be preserved and that any additional tasks must be matched by adequate resources and must not weaken its core scrutiny function;

Or. en

Amendment 151
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 e (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8e. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the use of urgency procedures does

not undermine the principles of openness, inclusiveness and balanced stakeholder representation; stresses that where a full public consultation cannot be conducted, targeted consultations should nevertheless comply with clearly defined minimum standards, including transparent stakeholder selection, appropriate representation of affected interests and adequate opportunities to provide meaningful input;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 f (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	8f. Calls on the Commission to improve the quality, accessibility and transparency of public consultations, particularly for SMEs, smaller organisations and underrepresented stakeholders; stresses that consultation questionnaires should be designed in a neutral and balanced manner; calls for realistic consultation periods, better coordination of consultation timelines and the avoidance of overlapping deadlines and holiday periods; calls for the systematic publication of factual consultation summaries, clearly indicating how stakeholder contributions have been taken into account, and for consultation results to be made available in accessible digital formats;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Tobiasz Bocheński, Kosma Złotowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of ‘simplicity by design’ as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;	9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of ‘simplicity by design’ as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; ***stresses that harmonisation must not be pursued as an end in itself or used as a pretext for further centralisation of decision-making or the expansion of Union competences, but should serve to facilitate economic activity within the single market, remove unjustified regulatory barriers and deliver tangible reductions in administrative and compliance costs for businesses, in full respect of the principles of conferral, subsidiarity and proportionality;*** calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of ‘simplicity by design’ as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; ***supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on***	9. Welcomes ***in principle*** the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of ‘simplicity by design’ as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives;

the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives***; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors***; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;	9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
9. ***Welcomes*** the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; ***endorses*** the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; supports the launch	9. ***Notes*** the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; ***warns against blind following of*** the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future

of the action plan on regulatory ***deep cleaning*** targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from ***the deep cleaning*** exercise;	legislative initiatives; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory ***review*** targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on a biannual basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from ***that*** exercise;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on ***a biannual*** basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;	9. Welcomes the Commission communication of 28 April 2026; endorses the concept of 'simplicity by design' as a guiding mandate for the drafting of future legislative initiatives; supports the launch of the action plan on regulatory deep cleaning targeting fragmented legal frameworks across key sectors; calls on the Commission to report to Parliament on ***an annual*** basis regarding the specific legislative proposals for codification, recast and repeal stemming from the deep cleaning exercise;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9a. Calls into question the use of an "Omnibus" as a legislative technique, to

simplify the existing EU regulatory framework; points out that this method should be used only for technical consolidation and not for substantively amending existing legislation across different domains; stresses the concerns expressed by a multitude of legal experts that the first as well as further Omnibuses are becoming the preferred vehicle for implementing a comprehensive deregulatory agenda while bypassing procedural integrity and giving rise to conflicts with EU core constitutional principles; agrees with the legal experts that the Omnibus I violates the principle of proportionality under Article 5(4) TEU and undermines the constitutional architecture of evidence-based, participatory lawmaking enshrined in Article 11 TEU and Article 296 TFEU; calls on the Commission to properly take into account these legal counterindications and thoroughly reassess the use of such omnibus technique, including for future use;

Or. en

Amendment 159
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9a. Reaffirms its call on the Commission to explore ways of improving the independence, capacity, mandate and procedures of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board, including by ensuring that it is provided with adequate resources to review a greater proportion of the Commission's legislative initiatives; calls for the transparency of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board to be improved, including through swifter publication of its opinions and greater clarity on the follow-up to its

recommendations; underlines that the work of the Regulatory Scrutiny Board should not unduly delay the adoption of legislative proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9a. Stresses that legislation should be comprehensible and accessible to those required to comply with it, particularly SMEs and start-ups, and that legislative provisions should be sufficiently clear and precise to minimise the need for specialised legal assistance and reduce uncertainty regarding compliance obligations; calls on the Commission and the co-legislators to systematically apply good legislative drafting techniques and use clear and, accessible legal language, ensuring coherence across the EU acquis;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9a. Welcomes the Commission's commitment to make use of sunset clauses where appropriate, together with well-timed monitoring and evaluation clauses, and to make greater use of codification and recast; Calls for new legislation with

a significant impact on competitiveness to include, as a rule, a review clause requiring an evaluation at least every five years, in order to verify whether its objectives are being achieved and to identify provisions that have proven ineffective or disproportionate in practice;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9b. Stresses that Union legislation should be technology-neutral and innovation-friendly; calls on the Commission to make greater use of regulatory sandboxes and experimentation clauses allowing new products, services and business models to be tested under regulatory supervision;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9b. Takes the view that, in cases where the Regulatory Scrutiny Board issues a negative opinion on an impact assessment, Parliament should be properly informed and in a timely manner in order to review whether to proceed with the proposal or reject it;

Or. en

Amendment 164
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	9c. Calls on the Commission and the co-legislators to systematically apply clear and coherent legislative drafting techniques, including the revision of legal acts with a synopsis, and the use of clear and accessible legal language, ensuring coherence across the EU acquis and avoiding duplication or contradictions that create unnecessary complexity and hinder implementation; reiterates that clear and coherent drafting enhances legal certainty and reduces the administrative burden for citizens, businesses and public administrations; in the event of a revision, therefore, suggests to consolidate, as far as possible, several legal acts on the same subject into a single legal act without deregulating on the substance; furthermore proposes to introduce an accessible non-binding online legal dictionary to bring together the various definitions of the terms from the legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for regulatory burden	***deleted***

reduction to be one of the core objectives of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction to be one of the core objectives of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 167
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction to be one of the core objectives of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that	10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction to be one of the core objectives of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that

each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;	each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate; ***calls on the Commission to establish clear and measurable Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Members of the College to assess the results of regulatory deep cleaning, including reductions in unnecessary compliance costs, improvements in legal clarity and consistency, and benefits for competitiveness and SMEs; stresses that these indicators should cover both administrative and substantive compliance costs, be based on transparent methodologies, and be accompanied by regular progress reports; emphasises that progress should be assessed on the basis of measurable outcomes rather than solely the number of legislative acts or reporting obligations removed;***

Or. en

Amendment 168
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction to be one of the core objectives of the ***deep*** cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;	10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction to be one of the core objectives of the ***in-depth*** cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed ***while at the same time evaluating the impact of the simplification measures on public policy objectives and on the protection standards laid down in EU law, to ensure that the reduction of administrative burdens does not compromise the effectiveness of the legislative framework*** and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue

throughout the remainder of the current mandate;

Or. ro

Amendment 169
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction ***to be one of the core objectives of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens***; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed ***and*** calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;	10. Calls for regulatory burden reduction ***should not become the sole criterion for legislative decisions***; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed ***taking into account intended public benefits, protection for fundamental rights and the environment, legal certainty, and long-term economic and social resilience;*** calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
10. Calls for ***regulatory burden reduction*** to be ***one of*** the core ***objectives*** of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;	10. Calls for ***deregulation*** to be the core ***objective*** of the deep cleaning exercise, covering the full and cumulative regulatory costs borne by businesses and citizens; considers that each review should identify and quantify the costs to be removed and calls for the exercise to run beyond 2027 and continue throughout the remainder of the current mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10a. Stresses that regulatory deep cleaning should become a continuous and horizontal responsibility across the Commission rather than a series of isolated initiatives; calls for each Member of the College to report regularly on progress in reviewing the legislation falling within their respective portfolios, using common performance indicators; calls on the Commission to ensure that the regulatory deep cleaning covers all areas where unnecessary complexity, overlapping obligations or disproportionate compliance costs have been identified; considers that the review should not be limited to the initially selected priority sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 172
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10a. Notes that Annex I to the Commission Communication on the 28th of April identifies 12 priority areas but remain vague as regards to concrete actions, deliverables and timelines, thereby limiting its effectiveness as an actionable plan; calls on the Commission

to set out deliverables and timelines for each area and to extend the exercise progressively to all policy areas;

Or. en

Amendment 173
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10b. Calls on the Commission to develop a digital regulatory intelligence tool, making use of artificial intelligence and existing EU data infrastructure, to systematically identify overlaps, inconsistencies and unnecessary complexity across EU legislation; considers that such a tool could facilitate continuous monitoring of the cumulative impact of EU legislation on the wider economy, and regularly identify opportunities for legislative updates and simplification, while ensuring transparency, reliability and appropriate human oversight; suggests that its potential use by citizens and businesses, particularly SMEs, should also be explored, including to provide practical guidance on applicable regulatory requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*

10b. Points out that businesses are often required to provide the same or similar information under different Union acts and in different formats; calls on the Commission, as part of the regulatory deep cleaning exercise, to identify and streamline overlapping reporting and information requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10c. Supports the proposal made by 15 Member States, in a non-paper on simplification, for a European implementation and consolidation year, focusing on the implementation of existing legislation, the evaluation of cumulative burden and the reduction of duplicative reporting obligations, with new legislative initiatives limited to indispensable amendments; calls on the Commission to consider such a year in a forthcoming work programme, without prejudice to its right of initiative and to urgent action;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10c. Welcomes the establishment of the

Simplification Platform; stresses that it should be guided by a clear, long-term objective of reducing unnecessary regulatory burdens and contribute to identifying concrete opportunities for simplifying EU legislation; calls on the Commission to ensure that the Platform's recommendations are duly considered and given appropriate follow-up, including by providing information on the action taken or the reasons for not pursuing them;

Or. en

Amendment 177
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	10d. Underlines that frequent legislative changes may generate significant adjustment costs and legal uncertainty for businesses and national administrations; stresses the importance of allowing sufficient time for recently adopted legislation to be implemented and evaluated before proposing substantial revisions; calls on the Commission to systematically assess the practical application and effectiveness of existing rules, including their interaction with related legislation, before introducing additional regulatory requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 178
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
11. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' and businesses' trust in EU legislation; is of the opinion that in situations where no impact assessment is undertaken, a cost-benefit analysis must be prepared at a later stage and accompany the proposal;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 179
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
11. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' and businesses' trust in EU legislation; is of the opinion that in situations where no impact assessment is undertaken, a cost-benefit analysis must be prepared at a later stage and accompany the proposal;	11. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis***; Competitiveness should be assessed beyond immediate compliance-cost reductions and compare the cost of regulation with the cost of not regulating or de-regulating; while undertaking costs-benefit assessments, savings of some businesses should be balance with the benefits for people and resilience of the EU economy as such to the current global challenges should also be taken into account***; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' and businesses' trust in EU legislation; is of the opinion that in situations where no impact assessment is undertaken, a cost-benefit analysis must be prepared at a later stage and accompany the proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
11. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a ***cost-benefit analysis***; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' ***and businesses'*** trust in EU legislation; ***is of the opinion that in situations where no impact assessment is undertaken, a cost-benefit analysis must be prepared at a later stage and accompany the proposal;***	11. Reiterates that all major legislative and policy initiatives should be accompanied by a ***comprehensive impact assessment***; considers such analyses indispensable for evidence-based policymaking and for maintaining citizens' trust in EU legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation***; notes that there is scope to translate that principle more fully into obligations tailored to the size of companies; encourages the Commission to assess, as early as the design stage, graduated obligations for micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises and to make exemptions and simplified regimes as simple as possible to use, for example by limiting the burden of proof on businesses***; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full

compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. it

Amendment 182
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation***; emphasises that the legislation must, from the very outset, take into account the capacity for implementation, particularly by small enterprises and the impact the legislation will have on them***; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises ***the need to adapt regulatory and reporting obligations according to the size, resources and administrative capacity of an enterprise, as well as the need to provide for suitable transition periods, where justified; emphasises*** that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. ro

Amendment 183
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*

12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the '***think small first' principle*** at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic ***application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability***; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the '***people-first' approach*** at all stages of policy formulation ***and to EU law-making***; calls for the systematic ***consideration of the impacts of legislation on people, climate, the environment and long-term sustainability as well as on SMEs; stresses the systematic consideration of the impacts of legislation on SME and and start-ups, particularly those investing in sustainable solutions, contributing to strengthening Europe's economic and social resilience*** ; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; ***calls for SME-friendly provisions to be systematically considered in legislative proposals, including the 'once-only' principle, longer transitional periods, an 'advise first, sanction later' approach, and appropriate mitigating measures and implementation support;*** emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the ‘think small first’ principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the ‘think small first’ principle at all stages of policy formulation; ***suggests to evaluate impacts across SME sizes, sectors and value-chain positions,*** calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach ***and that SME exemptions or simplified regimes should only be applied where they do not jeopardise the objectives of a legislative initiative***;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the ‘think small first’ principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the ‘think small first’ principle at all stages of policy formulation***; stresses that legislation should be designed from the outset with the small undertakings in mind***; calls for the systematic application of SME exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of ***SME*** exemptions or simplified regimes where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of exemptions or simplified regimes ***for SMEs, startups and scaleups*** where full compliance presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability***, innovation or growth***; emphasises that cost-benefit analysis is indispensable for such an approach;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of ***SME exemptions or simplified regimes where*** full compliance ***presents a disproportionate obstacle to business viability***; emphasises that ***cost-benefit analysis is*** indispensable for such an approach;	12. Reiterates the vital importance of applying the 'think small first' principle at all stages of policy formulation; calls for the systematic application of ***targeted measures and support for SMEs to create a level playing field and support them to achieve*** full compliance; emphasises that ***impact assessments and consultations are*** indispensable for such an approach;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	12a. Considers that the effectiveness of Union legislation also depends on the timely availability of implementation tools; calls on the Commission, therefore, to ensure that guidelines, standard templates proportionate to the size of companies and tools, including digital tools, are available in all official languages before the application of the new obligations; suggests that future proposals consider mechanisms to adjust the time limits for application if those instruments or the implementing acts on which the application depends are not available in due time;

Or. it

Amendment 190
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	12b. Believes that a support-oriented approach may help SMEs and micro-enterprises to comply with the rules; calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess, in accordance with their respective competences and without prejudice to the requirements of health, safety and consumer protection, 'assisted compliance' mechanisms whereby, for businesses acting in good faith, an initial irregularity of a formal or minor nature may lead to a deadline for rectification and support from the competent authority before penalties are imposed;

Or. it

Amendment 191
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	12c. Stresses the importance of supporting businesses' growth; calls on the Commission to consider, in future proposals, appropriate transitional periods for companies that exceed a size threshold and, where appropriate, the extension of simplified regimes to small and medium-sized enterprises, to prevent the exceedance of the threshold from leading to sudden increases in administrative burden;

Or. it

Amendment 192
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories;	13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories; ***stresses that there is great potential in digital tools and solutions to help simplify compliance and reduce regulatory costs; underlines that this requires capacity building and accompanying measures to equip enterprises of all sizes, administrations***

and households with the necessary digital infrastructures;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories;	13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories; ***calls on the Commission and Member States to identify opportunities for reusing information already available to public administrations, subject to applicable data protection and confidentiality requirements, in order to avoid duplicate reporting and reduce compliance costs for businesses and citizens;***

Or. en

Amendment 194
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in	13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in

interoperable and secure digital repositories;	interoperable and secure digital repositories; ***believes that national business registers and chambers of commerce can play a valuable role as gateways for SMEs, helping to ensure that data which is already available does not have to be provided again and to simplify compliance obligations for micro-enterprises;***

Or. it

Amendment 195
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the 'once-only' principle and 'digital-by-default' standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories;	13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the 'once-only' principle and 'digital-by-default' standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories; ***emphasises that digitalisation must lead to a tangible and measurable reduction in administrative costs and avoid introducing additional technical or financial burdens, especially for SMEs and micro-enterprises;***

Or. ro

Amendment 196
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. ***Stresses the imperative of operationalising*** the 'once-only' ***principle***	13. ***Believes that*** the 'once-only' and 'digital-by-default' ***principles should not***

and ‘digital-by-default’ ***standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories***;	***be systematically applied to EU administrative procedures, given the new forms of fraud their implementation can facilitate; stresses that their application must not weaken the controls needed to prevent fraud and verify the information reported, nor hinder the exercise of the controls provided for in national legislation***;

Or. fr

Amendment 197
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable and secure digital repositories;	13. Stresses the imperative of operationalising the ‘once-only’ principle and ‘digital-by-default’ standards across all EU reporting and compliance workflows, ensuring that data is submitted to public authorities only once and stored in interoperable***, fully accessible*** and secure digital repositories;

Or. en

Amendment 198
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	13a. Calls on Commission for annual public reporting on the aggregated regulatory cost of Union legislation, based on a measurable baseline and showing costs added and removed, benefits

achieved and progress towards the Commission's burden reduction targets; calls for full transparency on the methodology used;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	13b. Welcomes the Commissions commitment to realistic transposition and implementation deadlines and to the use of gradual phase-ins where appropriate; stresses that businesses should be given sufficient time to adapt before new obligations apply and calls on the co-legislators to ensure that implementation periods are proportionate, in particular for SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls for a transition from the 'one in, one out' principle towards a 'one in, two out' approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; insist that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction	***deleted***

in regulatory costs;

Or. en

Amendment 201
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls for a transition from the 'one in, one out' principle towards a 'one in, two out' approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; insist that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 202
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls for a transition from the 'one in, one out' principle towards a 'one in, two out' approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insist*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs;	14. Calls for a transition from the 'one in, one out' principle towards a 'one in, two out' approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insists*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs***, especially for SMEs***; ***calls on the Commission to report annually to Parliament on the net balance of costs introduced and offset under this***

	approach; stresses the need for simplification to pursue the removal of unnecessary burdens and duplication, without compromising the achievement of legislative objectives, the protection of fundamental rights, and health, environmental and consumer protection standards;

Or. ro

Amendment 203
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls ***for a transition from the 'one in, one out' principle towards a 'one in, two out' approach covering not only the direct*** administrative ***burden, but also regulatory compliance costs***, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; insist that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs;	14. Calls ***on the Commission to improve the quality and effectiveness of EU legislation by ensuring that regulatory measures are proportionate, evidence-based, and deliver clear added value for citizens and businesses; stresses that the focus should be on reducing unnecessary*** administrative ***burdens while maintaining high levels of social, environmental, and consumer protection; rejects the idea of arbitrary numerical targets***, ***which risk undermining the Union's policy objectives; Calls for*** on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; insist that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs;

Or. en

Amendment 204
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls for a transition from the ‘one in, one out’ principle towards a ‘one in, two out’ approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insist*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs;	14. Calls for a transition from the ‘one in, one out’ principle towards a ‘one in, two out’ approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insists*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring to achieve a net reduction in regulatory costs; ***calls on the Commission to report annually to Parliament on the net balance of costs introduced and offset under this approach;***

Or. en

Amendment 205
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
14. Calls for a transition from the ‘one in, one out’ principle towards a ‘one in, two out’ approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insist*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring ***to achieve a net reduction*** in regulatory costs;	14. Calls for a transition from the ‘one in, one out’ principle towards a ‘one in, two out’ approach covering not only the direct administrative burden, but also regulatory compliance costs, on the basis of a clear and operational distinction between the two; ***insists*** that such a system be underpinned by a clear methodology and monitoring***, including quantitative and financial indicators to measure withdrawals, actual savings and net changes*** in regulatory costs;

Or. fr

Amendment 206
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	14a. Recalls that the 'one in, one out' approach should not adversely affect political objectives or the principles of Better Regulation and emphasises that this approach should not lead to mechanical or mathematical decisions to repeal legislation, lower regulatory standards or result in a chilling effect on legislation; calls for this approach to be based on a transparent and evidence-based methodology, giving balanced consideration to all sustainability aspects, both in terms of benefits and costs, including the costs of non-compliance and inaction; stresses that the focus should be on reducing unnecessary administrative burdens while achieving the EU's policy objectives, including for social, environmental and consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 207
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	14a. Deplores the fact that European legislation is too difficult to challenge once adopted; urges the Commission to systematically introduce a review clause in its new legislative proposals; calls for that review to be based on a thorough evaluation report based on feedback from Member States and to make it possible to propose the amendment or repeal of the text where it is detrimental to Member States' interests, harms business

competitiveness or has a negative impact on national legislation;

Or. fr

Amendment 208
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. Deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 209
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. Deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of	***deleted***

the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;

Or. en

Amendment 210
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. Deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;	15. Deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating; ***encourages Member States to introduce systematic gold-plating tests during the preparation and transposition of EU legislation for identifying and assessing additional national requirements introduced during the transposition of EU directives, including their justification, proportionality and impact on the Single Market; calls for greater transparency regarding such national measures and for regular exchanges of best practices between Member States to prevent unnecessary regulatory fragmentation and facilitate the uniform application of EU law; stresses the importance of distinguishing requirements arising directly from EU law from additional national obligations and ensuring that national implementation does not create unjustified barriers to cross-border economic activity;***

Or. en

Amendment 211
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. Deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;	15. ***Stresses that the correct, consistent and timely transposition and implementation of Union legislation is essential for the functioning of the single market and legal certainty;*** deplores the practice of gold-plating by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition; ***stresses that this tool should enable an objective evaluation of additional national requirements, distinguishing between obligations under Union legislation and the measures adopted by the Member States in the exercise of their powers, with due regard for the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality;*** welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;

Or. ro

Amendment 212
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. ***Deplores*** the practice of gold-plating by the Member States***, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the***	15. ***Notes that*** the practice of gold-plating by the Member States ***can undermine the*** competitiveness ***of***

single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness***; requests that the Commission develop a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national gold-plating and to assist the Member States in 'clean' transposition***; ***welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against gold-plating;***	***European companies***;

Or. fr

Amendment 213
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
15. Deplores the practice of ***gold-plating*** by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission ***develop*** a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national ***gold-plating*** and to assist the Member States in ***'clean'*** transposition; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against ***gold-plating***;	15. Deplores the practice of ***uneven implementation of EU law*** by the Member States, as it is a barrier to the smooth functioning of the single market and impacts the EU's competitiveness; requests that the Commission ***develops*** a standardised reporting tool to flag instances of national ***uneven implementation of EU law*** and to assist the Member States in ***proper*** transposition ***that enhances convergence***; welcomes the commitment made by the President of the Commission to create a pact against ***uneven implementation of EU law***;

Or. en

Amendment 214
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*

15a. Notes that burden on SMEs may also be caused indirectly by the value chain, when large enterprises subject to reporting or due diligence obligations require their smaller suppliers to provide information that is not required of them under EU legislation; welcomes the limitations already introduced in this regard and calls on the Commission to assess their application, where relevant, to future proposals, including by referring to the voluntary standard for SMEs; encourages the Member States to preserve those simplifications when transposing legislation;

Or. it

Amendment 215
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15a. Stresses that effective and uniform enforcement of existing EU legislation is essential for the functioning of the Single Market and legal certainty; calls on the Commission to strengthen its capacity to monitor and address shortcomings in the application of EU law, including through timely and effective infringement procedures where necessary; emphasises that better enforcement should be systematically considered alongside legislative revision, particularly where identified problems result from inconsistent implementation rather than deficiencies in the EU legal framework itself;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15a. Notes that some Member States have established implementation councils to assess risks of gold-plating, consistency of application and the impact of new initiatives on businesses, and that some also carry out national evaluations of Union legislations; calls on the Commission to identify and promote best practices across the Union and encourages more Member States to establish similar mechanisms;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15a. Acknowledges the need for consistent and effective transposition of EU legislation across Member States to ensure legal certainty and a level playing field with upwards conversion; stresses, that tackling uneven implementation of EU law should not be misused to discourage Member States from maintaining or introducing higher social, environmental, or consumer protection standards;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15a. Urges the Member States to refrain from late, incomplete, or inaccurate transposition of directives, and calls on the Commission to comprehensively identify and publish instances of such practices in its annual implementation reports; calls on the Member States to avoid adding unnecessary additional administrative or compliance burdens when transposing EU legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 219
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15b. Encourages Member States to strengthen their administrative capacity and expertise to ensure the timely and effective examination of EU legislative proposals; stresses the importance of effective coordination between national ministries and authorities, particularly on cross-sectoral initiatives, and encourages the exchange of best practices in the use of digital tools to support legislative scrutiny and institutional coordination; calls for transparent and inclusive national consultation mechanisms enabling businesses, civil society and other relevant stakeholders to contribute to the formulation of national positions on major EU legislative initiatives at an early stage;

Or. en

Amendment 220
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15b. Welcomes the Commission's efforts to help Member States to prevent gold-plating; calls for closer monitoring of national transposition throughout the transposition period and for the priority cases to be followed up transparently; further calls on the Commission to distinguish clearly, in its reporting on regulatory burden, between costs stemming from Union legislation and those added at national level;

Or. en

Amendment 221
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	15c. Welcomes the Commission's work on a toolkit to help the Member States identify and prevent gold-plating, building on the commitment made by the European Council on 19 March 2026; ; stresses that the toolkit should draw on the experience of businesses, in particular SMEs and Small Midcaps;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4] regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; notes that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation, thus seemingly disregarding unnecessary costs incurred by companies; invites the Commission to present a comprehensive feasibility study on establishing mechanisms to offset regulatory costs incurred by companies for duly performed reporting tasks;	***deleted***

[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).

Or. en

Amendment 223
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4] regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; notes that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation, thus seemingly	***deleted***

disregarding unnecessary costs incurred by companies; invites the Commission to present a comprehensive feasibility study on establishing mechanisms to offset regulatory costs incurred by companies for duly performed reporting tasks;

---

[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).

Or. en

Amendment 224
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4] regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; ***notes*** that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation***, thus seemingly disregarding unnecessary costs incurred by companies***; ***invites the Commission to present a comprehensive feasibility study on establishing mechanisms to offset regulatory costs incurred by companies for duly performed reporting tasks;***	16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4] regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; ***welcomes*** that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation;
[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI:	[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI:

http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).	http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).

Or. en

Amendment 225
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4]regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; notes that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation, thus seemingly disregarding unnecessary costs incurred by companies; invites the Commission to present a comprehensive feasibility study on establishing mechanisms to offset regulatory costs incurred by companies for duly performed reporting tasks;	16. Revisits its suggestion from its previous report on better law-making covering 2023 and 2024[4] regarding a regulatory compensation scheme; notes that the Commission leans towards prevention and reduction rather than financial compensation, thus seemingly disregarding unnecessary costs incurred by companies; invites the Commission to present a comprehensive feasibility study on establishing mechanisms to offset regulatory costs incurred by companies for duly performed reporting tasks***, paying particular attention to micro-enterprises and SMEs, which are proportionally more affected by these costs, and also considering possible forms of non-financial compensation***;
[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).	[4] European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2026 on the European Union regulatory fitness and subsidiarity and proportionality – report on Better Law-Making covering 2023 and 2024 (OJ C, C/2026/4003, 26.8.2026, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2026/4003/oj).

Or. it

Amendment 226
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. Calls for a ***strategic de-prioritisation and*** reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that ***essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to*** delegated and implementing acts;	17. Calls for a reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that ***delegated and implementing acts be limited to technical aspects necessary for the application of the basic acts; calls for*** delegated and implementing acts ***to be published well in advance to enable stakeholders to prepare for compliance***; ***insists that, wherever possible, delegated and implementing acts be made available simultaneously with the entry into force of the basic act in order to guarantee legal certainty and enforceability; calls on the Commission to also consider the costs and benefits as well as the proper implementation and application of delegated and implementing acts in the overall assessment of the regulatory burden;***

Or. en

Amendment 227
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. ***Calls for a strategic*** de-prioritisation ***and*** reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;	17. ***Welcomes the*** de-prioritisation ***of approximately 30 % of the delegated and implementing acts planned for 2026; calls for a further strategic*** reduction ***of priorities and*** in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists ***on the fact*** that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts; ***stresses that the use of these tools must respect the distinction made in Articles 290 and 291 TFEU and that a reduction in their number should not prevent the adoption of necessary and***

	proportionate measures for the supplementing or uniform application of Union legislation, in accordance with the Treaties;

Or. ro

Amendment 228
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. Calls for a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;	17. Calls for a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts; ***calls on the Commission for greater scrutiny of the cumulative regulatory obligations arising from delegated and implementing acts and accompanying guidance, ensuring that these instruments do not introduce unnecessary complexity, create inconsistencies or exceed their respective legal mandates;***

Or. en

Amendment 229
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. Calls for a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be	17. ***Welcomes the de-prioritisation of approximately 30 % of the delegated and implementing acts planned for 2026;*** Calls for a ***further*** strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and

deferred to delegated and implementing acts;	implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;

Or. en

Amendment 230
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. Calls for a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices**,** must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;	17. Calls for a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices must ***systematically*** remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts***, in order to comply with the requirements laid down in Articles 290 and 291 of the TFEU***;

Or. fr

Amendment 231
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
17. Calls for ***a strategic de-prioritisation and reduction in the number*** of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;	17. Calls for ***an evaluation of the use of*** of delegated and implementing acts; insists that essential political choices, must remain within the legislative acts rather than be deferred to delegated and implementing acts;

Or. en

Amendment 232
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17a. Stresses the value of regulatory stability for businesses, especially for micro-enterprises and SMEs, where frequent changes to technical standards, templates and reporting requirements generate recurrent compliance costs; calls on the Commission to take this into account in the programming of delegated and implementing acts, giving priority, where possible, to providing a reasonable period of stability between changes, unless the changes are intended to reduce burden;

Or. it

Amendment 233
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17a. Calls on the Commission to introduce a more systematic and proportionate assessment of the costs arising from delegated and implementing acts; considers that clear criteria should determine when such assessments are necessary, taking into account expected compliance costs and concerns raised during stakeholder consultations, without automatically requiring a full impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 234
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17a. Points out that delegated and implementing acts are binding Union law adopted through procedures that are less transparent and more difficult for citizens and businesses to follow, in contrary to the ordinary legislative procedure; calls on the Commission to publish a single public overview of planned and pending delegated and implementing acts;

Or. en

Amendment 235
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17b. Recalls that the Commission's better regulation framework provides for impact assessments of delegated and implementing acts likely to have significant impacts; calls on the Commission to apply this requirement systematically, in particular where such acts are likely to have a significant impact on the Union's competitiveness and on SMEs; stresses that the impact assessment of the initial legislative act should also assess the envisaged use of delegated and implementing acts, and that the resulting costs should be included in the assessment of the overall regulatory burden and in any offsetting mechanism;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17c. Recalls its past observation that there is great potential in AI-based solutions and digital tools; urges the Commission, and the Council as co-legislator to scale up the deployment of AI and advance text-mining tools to help simplify and monitor regulatory compliance, detect legislative overlaps, automate reporting burden assessments, and run ex-post evaluation across the entire body of EU law;

Or. en

Amendment 237
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 d (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	17d. Calls on the Commission to assess mechanisms allowing competent authorities to refrain temporarily from enforcing obligations where the delegated or implementing acts, technical standards or guidance necessary for compliance have been adopted late;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 239
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities; ***stresses that such testing should include smaller businesses and start-ups with limited administrative resources and should assess the practical feasibility of compliance, including the cumulative impact of existing obligations; calls for the findings of reality checks to be systematically reflected in impact assessments and legislative drafting;***

Or. en

Amendment 240
Pascale Piera, Ton Diepeveen, Jaroslav Knot, Juan Carlos Girauta Vidal

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities; ***insists that the results of those tests be documented in a report attached to the impact assessment, including the opinion of the professionals involved as to the need for EU legislative intervention, as well as qualitative and quantitative elements;***

Or. fr

Amendment 241
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME and competitiveness tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities***, also involving, where possible, micro-enterprises from different sectors and Member States, SME representative organisations and chambers of commerce***; ***considers it useful for the results of those checks to be made public, together with the impact assessment;***

Or. it

Amendment 242
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for ***enhanced*** reality checks ***and standardised SME and competitiveness tests***; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	18. Calls for ***procedural safeguards to*** reality checks ***if they replace broad public consultations with narrowly defined and adequately substantiated grounds for urgency***; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual ***various stakeholders including but not limiting to*** business operators***, workers*** and local authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME ***and competitiveness*** tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with actual business operators and local authorities;	18. Calls for enhanced reality checks and standardised SME tests; recommends that draft legislation undergo a minimum level of real-world testing with ***relevant stakeholders, including*** actual business operators***, trade unions, civil society representatives*** and local authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 244
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18a. Calls in that regard on the

Commission to provide a mapping of all existing financial and non-financial legislation and administrative obligations for companies under European law as well as obligations under national company law rules; calls for the mapping to be the basis of a comprehensive assessment including regarding costs and benefits, the different public interest that legislation serves, overlaps between legislations, as well as opportunities for harmonisation and approximation; invites the Commission to use this assessment to put forward, if necessary and with effective participation of all relevant actors and stakeholders as well as based on a proper impact assessment, proposals to update outdated provisions and address unjustified barriers through proportionate measures in view of achieving upwards convergence;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Daniel Buda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18a. Stresses the importance of legal certainty and predictability of the regulatory framework for businesses; calls on the Commission, in cooperation with the Member States, to ensure the timely availability of clear and accessible guidance on the application of the new legislative requirements, especially when they entail significant changes for SMEs; stresses the need for a suitable timeframe for businesses to adapt their procedures and systems to the new obligations;

Or. ro

Amendment 246
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18a. Calls for the simplification Platform referred to in the Commission Communication on 'A Simpler, Clearer and Better Enforced EU Rulebook' to have a clear and long-term mandate, focused on reducing regulatory burdens for businesses, with a special focus on SMEs; stresses that its composition should reflect the companies affected by those burdens, including SMEs; considers that a clear mandate and effective follow-up are essential for the Platform to deliver concrete simplification;

Or. en

Amendment 247
Dainius Žalimas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18a. Calls on the Commission to systematically assess the scope for further harmonisation when preparing legislative proposals concerning the Single Market; stresses that divergent national regulatory requirements may create unnecessary compliance costs and barriers to cross-border activity, particularly for SMEs; calls for impact assessments to systematically examine the risks of regulatory fragmentation and the potential benefits of more uniform EU rules;

Or. en

Amendment 248
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18b. Encourages the Commission to enter into discussion with the Parliament and relevant stakeholders on the draft revised Better Regulation Guidelines and accompanying Toolbox before their adoption and to take due account of the positions set out in this resolution; stresses that the revised guidelines and toolbox should themselves be concise and easy to apply; asks the Commission to inform the Parliament within six months on the follow-up given to this resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Jörgen Warborn, Henrik Dahl, Daniel Buda, Emil Radev, Marion Walsmann, Maravillas Abadía Jover, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 c (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	18c. Calls for a shift in regulatory culture within all three institutions, whereby new legislation is proposed only where clearly necessary, its impact on competitiveness and SMEs is assessed before political choices are made, and simplicity is treated as a quality requirement rather than an afterthought;

Or. en

Amendment 250
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise new regulatory obligations and costs throughout the legislative process, and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 251
Kira Marie Peter-Hansen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise new regulatory obligations and costs throughout the legislative process, and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;	***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 252
Mario Mantovani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise new regulatory obligations and costs throughout	19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise new regulatory obligations and costs throughout

the legislative process, and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;	the legislative process, ***considers it useful, in this context, for the co-legislators to consider, to the extent possible, the impact of their substantial amendments on SMEs and micro-enterprises,*** and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;

Or. it

Amendment 253
Arash Saeidi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise ***new regulatory obligations and*** costs throughout the legislative process, and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;	19. Calls for a joint commitment from the three institutions to minimise ***unpredictability in law making, commit to transparency and all stakeholders consultations and take various costs into account including social and environmental*** costs throughout the legislative process, and calls on the Member States to follow accordingly in implementation phase;

Or. en

Amendment 254
Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	19a. Welcomes the initiative by Germany, Italy and Belgium to introduce an emergency brake mechanism for new EU legislation; calls for the establishment of a mechanism allowing Member States to request the suspension and reassessment of legislative proposals that

risk imposing disproportionate regulatory burdens on businesses, undermining investment or weakening European competitiveness; stresses that such a mechanism should serve as an additional safeguard against unnecessary regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution	*Amendment*
	19a. Calls on the European Commission to report back on the follow-up actions taken after the publication of the European Ombusdan's recommendations;

Or. en