European Parliament
2024-2029

Committee on Fisheries

2026/0111(COD)

23.10.2026

AMENDMENTS
24 - 60

Draft report
Thomas Bajada
(PE796.153v01-00)

Implementation into Union law of the latest measures adopted by regional fisheries management organisations

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2026)0198 – 2026/0111(COD))

Amendment 24
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(1 a) Union legislation should strictly be limited to implementing the recommendations adopted by ICCAT and should not impose requirements going beyond those agreed at international level, so as to prevent Union fishermen from being placed at a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis their third country counterparts and to ensure that the rules can be accepted by all.

Or. en

Amendment 25
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(2a) The Union should duly take into account the socio-economic consequences of fisheries management measures, including, where appropriate, their effects on the fishing sector and coastal communities in line with the objectives set out in the Common Fisheries Policy."

Or. en

Amendment 26
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(3 a) Union legislation should strictly be limited to implementing the recommendations adopted by SPRFMO and should not impose requirements going beyond those agreed at international level, so as to prevent Union fishermen from being placed at a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis their third country counterparts and to ensure that the rules can be accepted by all.

Or. en

Amendment 27
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(4) The SPRFMO Commission adopted at its 2025 annual meeting further measures prohibiting subsidies to a vessel or operator of a vessel listed on SPRFMO Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Vessel list and the Regulation (EU) 2018/975 should therefore be amended to implement new SPRFMO measure.	(4) The SPRFMO Commission adopted at its 2025 annual meeting further measures prohibiting subsidies to a vessel or operator of a vessel listed on SPRFMO Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Vessel list and the Regulation (EU) 2018/975 should therefore be amended to implement new SPRFMO measure. ***That prohibition contributes to fair competition for Union vessels active in the SPRFMO Convention Area, in particular in the jack mackerel fishery, and for the Union processing sector, and should be complemented by measures preventing products of IUU fishing from entering the Union market.***

Or. en

Justification

Recital supporting the amendment to Article 38(3) of Regulation (EU) 2018/975.

Amendment 28
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(8) Certain provisions of the CEM are likely to be amended at future NAFO annual meetings following the introduction of new measures in relation to the technical specifications for a compliant electronic observation programme. In order to implement swiftly into Union law such future amendments to the CEM, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the TFEU ***of the*** should be delegated to the Commission in respect of Member State duties related to derogations from ***to*** the observer programme.	(8) Certain provisions of the CEM are likely to be amended at future NAFO annual meetings following the introduction of new measures in relation to the technical specifications for a compliant electronic observation programme. In order to implement swiftly into Union law such future amendments to the CEM, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the TFEU should be delegated to the Commission in respect of Member State duties related to derogations from the observer programme. ***Such delegated acts should be strictly limited to the implementation of amendments formally adopted by NAFO and should not impose on Union operators or Member States any obligations going beyond those adopted by NAFO. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including with experts designated by each Member State and with the Advisory Councils concerned.***

Or. en

Justification

The delegation of powers must not become a channel for introducing, without the involvement of the co-legislators, obligations for fishers and national administrations that go beyond what the Union has agreed in NAFO. The amendment also corrects drafting errors in the Commission text.

Amendment 29
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(8a) Electronic observation is, under the conditions provided for in this Regulation, an alternative to the presence of human observers on board and should be able to be used effectively by Union operators. To that end, it is appropriate to ensure its consistency with the electronic monitoring requirements provided for in point (c), with a view to preventing duplication of equipment and reporting obligations, and to providing for appropriate procedural safeguards for masters and vessel owners. Member States may, in accordance with the applicable rules of Regulation (EU) 2021/1139, use the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund to help to pay for the procurement and installation of systems of that kind.

Or. es

Amendment 30
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(15a) Union fishing operators and the Union fish processing sector, including in Member States with a limited number of distant-water vessels, should operate under conditions of fair competition. Strict implementation of RFMO measures by the Union should be matched by equivalent implementation by all other contracting parties, in particular those operating the largest fleets in the relevant convention areas. The Commission should systematically monitor such

implementation and make full use of the instruments available under Regulation (EU) No 1026/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008 where third countries fail to comply, so that fishery products placed on the Union market, including raw material intended for processing, originate exclusively from fisheries conducted in compliance with internationally agreed rules.

Or. en

Justification

Union operators bear the full cost of compliance with RFMO measures, while some competing fleets operating on a far larger scale, for example in the SPRFMO area, do not. The processing sector, which depends largely on imported raw material, must be protected from products of non-compliant fishing. Existing Union instruments should be used consistently.

Amendment 31
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(15a) This Regulation should be limited to incorporating the RFMO measures which are binding on the Union. It should not impose on operators or Member States obligations, administrative burdens or deadlines other than or additional to those provided for in those measures.

Or. es

Amendment 32
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(15b) Although the measures adopted by RFMOs are binding on the Union, their implementation may entail significant costs and administrative burden for Union operators, national administrations, the fish processing sector and coastal communities dependent on fisheries. The Commission should therefore, when preparing the positions to be taken on behalf of the Union in RFMOs, assess the socio-economic impact of the proposed measures on the Union fleet and processing sector, broken down by Member State, and consult all Member States, including those with smaller distant-water fleets, as well as the relevant Advisory Councils, in particular the Long Distance Advisory Council and the Market Advisory Council.

Or. en

Justification

The explanatory memorandum states that an impact assessment is 'not relevant'. However, the real impact of RFMO measures is determined at the negotiating stage, which is precisely when Member States, the sector and coastal communities should be heard and the consequences assessed.

Amendment 33
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(15b) Investments by operators in electronic monitoring systems and in observation programmes may, where relevant under Regulation (EU) 2021/1139, receive support from the European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund. The Commission

should take these costs into account when evaluating this Regulation.

Or. es

Amendment 34
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(15c) The use of electronic monitoring systems (EMS) on board fishing vessels should fully respect the fundamental rights of crew members, in particular the right to privacy and to the protection of personal data. Data collected by means of EMS should be used solely for the purposes of control and enforcement and of the work of NAFO bodies, as provided for in the NAFO measures. The use of EMS as an alternative to the presence of a human observer should remain an option available to the flag Member State and the operator, the approval of EMS should remain the competence of the flag Member State, and the costs of the installation and operation of EMS should be eligible for support under Regulation (EU) 2021/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Or. en

Justification

Cameras on board are workplaces and, on long trips, living spaces of crews. Their introduction must be accompanied by clear safeguards, by respect for the competence of the flag Member State and by financial support, so that small operators are not pushed out of distant-water fisheries.

Amendment 35
Emma Ytterell

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2017/2107
Article 5a – paragraph 3

Present text	*Amendment*
	(1 a) In Article 5a, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:
3. Member States shall not increase ***their*** number of support vessels from the ***numbers*** recorded in ***June 2023***.	"3. Member States shall not increase ***the*** number of support vessels from the ***number*** recorded in ***2019***."

Or. en

(02017R2107)

Amendment 36
Emma Ytterell

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3
Regulation (EU) 2017/2107
Article 28

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(3) Article 28 is ***deleted***.	(3) Article 28 is ***replaced by the following:***
	"Article 28
	Landing of blue marlin, white marlin and roundscale spearfish beyond the fishing opportunities.
	When a Member State has exhausted its quota, it shall ensure that landings of blue marlins, white marlins, and roundscale spearfish that are dead when brought alongside the vessel may be retained. Those landings shall not count against that Member State's catch limit as set out in relevant EU law, provided that:
	(a) the fish are not sold or placed on the market; and

	(b) the Member State notifies the Commission of its intention to use that exception and includes, in its annual report, information on its retention requirements and the measures in place to prohibit the sale and the placing on the market of the fish.".

Or. en

Amendment 37
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1
Regulation (EU) 2018/975
Article 38 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
3. Member States shall not grant or maintain any subsidy to vessels on the SPRFMO IUU Vessel List, or to the owner of such vessels, or to any person who is in charge of or directs or controls such vessels.	3. Member States shall not grant or maintain any subsidy to vessels on the SPRFMO IUU Vessel List, or to the owner of such vessels, ***including the beneficial owner,*** or to any person who is in charge of or directs or controls such vessels. ***Member States shall ensure that fishery products derived from catches taken by such vessels are not imported into the Union, processed or placed on the Union market.***

Or. en

Justification

IUU operators frequently hide behind front companies and flags of convenience. Covering the beneficial owner closes this loophole. Excluding their catches from the Union market protects law-abiding Union vessels active in the SPRFMO jack mackerel fishery and the Union processing sector from unfair competition.

Amendment 38
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Regulation (EU) 2018/975
Article 45 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	Article 45a
	Report on implementation and on the level playing field
	By … [two years after the date of entry into force of this amending Regulation] and every two years thereafter, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on:
	(a) the implementation of SPRFMO conservation and management measures, including the prohibition laid down in Article 38(3), by the other Contracting Parties and cooperating non-Contracting Parties, with particular regard to those operating the largest fleets in the SPRFMO Convention Area;
	(b) the measures taken by the Union, including under Regulation (EU) No 1026/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (EC) No 1005/2008, in respect of parties that fail to comply with those measures;
	(c) the socio-economic impact of SPRFMO measures on the Union fleet, the Union fish processing sector and coastal communities dependent on fisheries, broken down by Member State.

Or. en

Justification

Union vessels active in the SPRFMO Convention Area compete with fleets of a far larger scale. Parliament needs regular, hard data to assess whether third countries comply with the same rules as Union fishers, including the new prohibition of subsidies to IUU vessels, and how SPRFMO measures affect the Union fleet, the processing sector and coastal communities in individual Member States.

Amendment 39
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point a
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 1 a

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(1a) All observers' data, electronic reports and the data collected under the electronic observation program under this Chapter and supporting documentation shall be treated as confidential.	(1a) All observers' data, electronic reports and the data collected under the electronic observation program under this Chapter and supporting documentation shall be treated as confidential ***and shall be processed in accordance with Regulations (EU) 2016/679 and (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council***. ***Such data shall be used solely for the purposes of control and enforcement and of the work of NAFO bodies, as provided for in the CEM. Access to such data shall be limited, to the extent necessary for the performance of their tasks, to the competent authorities of the flag Member State, the Commission, EFCA, the NAFO Secretariat and other NAFO bodies, and the competent authorities of other NAFO Contracting Parties for control and enforcement purposes.***

Or. en

Justification

Video footage from vessels contains personal data of crew members. Confidentiality alone is not enough: the purpose of processing and the circle of authorised recipients must be defined clearly. The amendment mirrors the purposes and recipients laid down in Article 30 of the NAFO CEM.

Amendment 40
Siegbert Frank Droese, Volker Schnurrbusch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point a a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Artikel 27 – paragraph 1aa (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(aa) The following paragraph is inserted:
	"1aa. Data obtained through an electronic monitoring system shall be assessed in accordance with objective and transparent procedures. Before an infringement is established on the basis of such data, the operator concerned shall be afforded the opportunity to access the relevant evidence, provide an explanation and challenge the accuracy or interpretation of the data, in accordance with applicable Union and national law.
	Technical failures, incomplete recordings or apparent inconsistencies in electronic monitoring data shall not, in themselves, constitute conclusive proof of an infringement where the operator demonstrates that reasonable precautions were taken and that the circumstances were beyond the operator's reasonable control.
	Member States shall ensure that enforcement measures are proportionate to the nature and seriousness of the infringement, taking into account its actual circumstances, any resulting harm and the conduct of the operator concerned."

Or. en

Amendment 41
Emma Ytterell

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point b a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 6 – introductory wording

Present text	*Amendment*

	(ba) in paragraph 6, the introductory part is replaced by the following:
6. Upon the receipt of an observer report from an observer reporting ***discrepancies with the CEM or an incident, including any instances of obstruction, intimidation, interference, or otherwise prevention of the observer from performing his or her duties***, concerning a vessel flying its flag, a Member State shall:	"6. Upon the receipt of an observer report from an observer reporting ***information in according to paragraph 11 point (c)***, concerning a vessel flying its flag, a Member State shall:"

Or. en

(02019R0833)

Amendment 42
Emma Ytterell

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point c a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 11 – point c

Present text	*Amendment*
	(c a) in paragraph 11, point (c) is replaced by the following:
(c) report without delay to the FMC any ***discrepancy*** with this Regulation and any instances of obstruction, intimidation, interference with or otherwise prevention of the observer from performing their duties;	"(c) report without delay to the FMC any ***potential non-compliance*** with this Regulation and any instances of obstruction, intimidation, interference with or otherwise prevention of the observer from performing their duties;"

Or. en

(02019R0833)

Amendment 43
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point f
Regulation (EU) 2019/833

Article 27 – paragraph 11 a – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(ia) electronic monitoring systems already installed on board are recognised for the purposes of this paragraph, provided that they comply with the technical requirements of points (c) to (e), so that the same vessel is not required to duplicate equipment.

Or. es

Amendment 44
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point f
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 11a – point ca (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(ca) the cameras are not installed in, and do not record, crew accommodation, sanitary facilities, mess areas or other spaces intended for rest, and are positioned so as to limit the recording of identifiable images of crew members to what is strictly necessary for the purposes of this Regulation;

Or. en

Justification

A clear limit protecting the dignity and privacy of fishers, who spend weeks or months on board.

Amendment 45
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point f

Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 11a – point f

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(f) a copy of the VMP ***and changes thereof are*** submitted to the Commission and EFCA ***for any vessels*** not carrying an observer ***before the beginning of a fishing trip in which the vessel*** will be subject to the electronic observation programme referred to in paragraph 3, point (b);	(f) a copy of the VMP ***is*** submitted to the Commission and EFCA ***before the first fishing trip in which a vessel*** not carrying an observer will be subject to the electronic observation programme referred to in paragraph 3, point (b)***, and any changes thereof are submitted before the beginning of the first fishing trip following those changes. The Commission or EFCA shall transmit the VMP in force to the NAFO Executive Secretary before the beginning of each such fishing trip, on the basis of the notification referred to in point (g)***;

Or. en

Justification

Article 30.9(g) of the NAFO CEM requires the VMP to reach NAFO before each trip. The amendment keeps that requirement but removes the duty of the operator to resubmit an unchanged plan before every trip: the Commission or EFCA, which already hold the plan and receive the 72-hour notification, forward it to NAFO.

Amendment 46
Siegbert Frank Droese, Volker Schnurrbusch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point f a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 11aa (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(fa) the following paragraph is inserted:
	"11aa. In implementing the requirements concerning electronic monitoring systems under this Article, Member States shall ensure that the administrative, technical and financial obligations imposed on fishing operators are proportionate to the

size, capacity and economic resources of the vessels concerned. Particular consideration shall be given to small and medium-sized fishing enterprises, including family-owned businesses and independent operators, in order to avoid imposing compliance costs that threaten their economic viability or undermine the continuity of fishing activities.

Member States may establish proportionate implementation arrangements, including transitional periods and simplified administrative procedures, provided that the applicable conservation, control and reporting requirements adopted by the competent regional fisheries management organisation continue to be met."

Or. en

Amendment 47
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point f a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 27 – paragraph 11ab (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(fa) the following paragraph is inserted:
	"11ab. Member States may support the purchase, installation and operation of EMS by operators of fishing vessels flying their flag, in particular micro, small and medium-sized enterprises, under Regulation (EU) 2021/1139. An EMS approved by the competent authorities of the flag Member State in accordance with paragraph 11a shall not be subject to additional certification or approval requirements at Union level."

Or. en

Amendment 48
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point s

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(s) failing to carry on board an EMS contrary to Article 27, paragraph 11a, or to tamper with the EMS;	(s) failing to carry on board an EMS contrary to Article 27, paragraph 11a, ***where required,*** or to tamper with the EMS;

Or. en

Justification

The amendment restores the words 'if required' contained in Article 38.1(s) of the NAFO CEM but omitted by the Commission, making clear that the infringement concerns only vessels that use electronic observation instead of an observer.

Amendment 49
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point t

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(t) failing to comply with the obligations of the master established in the VMP in a manner that prevents the EMS on board from being fully operational.	(t) failing to comply with the obligations of the master established in the VMP in a manner that prevents the EMS on board from being fully operational. ***Where a system failure occurs and the fallback procedures laid down in the VMP have been applied in accordance with Article 27, paragraph 11a, point (h), the master shall not be considered to have failed to comply with those obligations.***

Or. en

Justification

A serious infringement entails heavy consequences for the master and the operator. In line with Article 30.9(i) of the NAFO CEM, a master who applies the contingency plan laid down in the VMP in the event of a technical failure at sea should not be regarded as committing a serious infringement.

Amendment 50
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 35 – paragraph 1 – point t

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(t) failing to comply with the obligations of the master established in the VMP in a manner that prevents the EMS on board from being fully operational.	(t) failing to comply with the obligations of the master established in the VMP in a manner that prevents the EMS on board from being fully operational***, except in the event of a breakdown or force majeure not attributable to the master and managed in accordance with the VMP contingency plan***.

Or. es

Amendment 51
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 50 – paragraph 2 – point t

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
(t) the technical specifications of an electronic observation program set out in Article 27, paragraph 11a.	(t) the technical specifications of an electronic observation program set out in Article 27, paragraph 11a***, to the extent strictly necessary to implement amendments adopted by NAFO, without prejudice to paragraph 1a of that Article and to the competence of the flag Member***

State to approve EMS.

Or. en

Justification

Consistent with the amendment to recital 8: the empowerment must not be used to go beyond NAFO requirements or to weaken data protection and the role of the flag Member State.

Amendment 52
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6 a (new)
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Article 51 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	(6a) the following Article is inserted:
	"Article 51a
	Report on the electronic observation programme
	By … [two years after the date of entry into force of this amending Regulation] and every two years thereafter, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on:
	(a) the use of the electronic observation programme referred to in Article 27(11a), including the number of Union fishing vessels using it, broken down by Member State;
	(b) the costs borne by operators and national administrations as a result of that programme and of observer obligations, and the support granted under Regulation (EU) 2021/1139;
	(c) the implementation of NAFO conservation and enforcement measures by the other Contracting Parties and the measures taken by the Union in respect of any non-compliance."

Or. en

Justification

The electronic observation programme is the first set of Union rules on cameras on board fishing vessels and will serve as a reference for other fisheries. Before it is extended, Parliament should know how it works in practice, what it costs operators and administrations and whether the support available is sufficient.

Amendment 53
Nora Junco García

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) 2022/2343
Article 7 a – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	2a. The discarding of dead specimens of shortfin mako and shortfin mako carite carried out pursuant to paragraph 2 shall be recorded and reported in accordance with paragraph 4 and shall not constitute an infringement of the landing obligation or of other Union fisheries control obligations.

Or. es

Amendment 54
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) 2022/2343
Article 7a – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries ***and retained on board***.	3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries.

Or. en

Justification

IOTC resolution 25/09

Amendment 55
Thomas Bajada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) 2022/2343
Article 7a – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries ***and retained on board***.	3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries.

Or. en

Amendment 56
Željana Zovko

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) 2022/2343
Article 7a–paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries ***and retained on board***.	3. It is prohibited to tranship, whole or in part, shortfin or longfin mako sharks caught in association with IOTC fisheries. *(Regulation (EU) 2022/2343)*

Or. en

Justification

IOTC resolution 25/09

Amendment 57
Piotr Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 7
Regulation (EU) 2022/2343
Article 15 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
5. Where possible, ***Member States and*** the Commission shall endeavour to conduct research on sharks taken in the Area, in order to:	5. Where possible, the Commission***, in cooperation with the Member States whose vessels are active in the Area and with Union financial support,*** shall endeavour to conduct research on sharks taken in the Area, in order to:

Or. en

Justification

Research obligations should rest on the Union and on the Member States actually active in the fishery, not on all Member States regardless of whether their fleets operate in the Indian Ocean.

Amendment 58
Siegbert Frank Droese, Volker Schnurrbusch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	Article 7a
	Review and assessment
	Within 24 months of the entry into force of this Regulation, the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the Council assessing the economic effects of the measures implemented by this Regulation on Union fishing operators, with particular attention to small and medium-sized enterprises.

The report shall examine differences in implementation and enforcement between contracting parties to the relevant regional fisheries management organisations, the impact of compliance costs on the competitiveness of Union fleets, and the effectiveness of measures to address illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing.

Where significant competitive disadvantages arising from differences in implementation or enforcement are identified, the Commission shall propose appropriate action, within the Union's international obligations, to address those disadvantages.

Or. en

Amendment 59
Nora Junco García

Propuesta de Reglamento
Article 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	Article 7 a
	Assessment
	The Commission shall present to the European Parliament and the Council, no later than two years after the entry into force of this Regulation, a report on its application, assessing, in particular:
	(a) the administrative and financial cost for operators and administrations of the NAFO electronic observation scheme and IOTC observer and electronic monitoring requirements;
	(b) the coverage of observers and electronic monitoring systems for the Union fleet in the NAFO Regulatory Area and the IOTC Area;
	(c) and the use of the delegated powers

extended by this Regulation.

Or. es

Amendment 60
Siegbert Frank Droese, Volker Schnurrbusch

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – point 1
Regulation (EU) 2019/833
Annex 13 – after the title – introductory wording

Text proposed by the Commission	*Amendment*
	The implementation of these requirements shall take into account the actual conditions at sea, the safety of the crew and observers, and the technical capabilities of the vessel. The master of the vessel shall retain responsibility for determining the safest practicable method of compliance in the circumstances of the operation.

Or. en