Question for written answer E-000196/2025
to the Commission
Rule 144
Mélanie Disdier (PfE), Roman Haider (PfE), Séverine Werbrouck (PfE), Milan Uhrík (ESN), Petar Volgin (ESN), Nikola Bartůšek (PfE), Hans Neuhoff (ESN), Rada Laykova (ESN), Fabrice Leggeri (PfE), Ondřej Knotek (PfE), Diana Iovanovici Şoşoacă (NI), Valérie Deloge (PfE), António Tânger Corrêa (PfE)

Subject: Failure of European policies whose aim was to ensure the continent's economic prosperity and preserve our industry

The challenges that ArcelorMittal is facing are the latest symptom of the EU's unhealthy economic climate, which has endured for several decades.

Before the creation of the European Union, Europe knew how to develop corporate groups that became leaders in their field, such as Airbus and Ariane. Europe was a major player in industry.

However, since the 1980s, and in particular since the Maastricht Treaty, Europe no longer builds or innovates. We have missed the internet revolution, technological revolutions and the AI revolution. Today, even our industrial jewels are under threat and are either collapsing or being gradually bought up by foreign powers.

The European Union was supposed to make us stronger. Instead, it has only pitted us against each other.

At the heart of this game is the Commission, which has been unable to develop anything other than rules and restrictions without doing anything productive.

While the US is innovating into outer space, the Commission is innovating us all into the ground.

1. Does the Commission acknowledge that its policies have failed?

2. Does the Commission intend to continue with the European failures of the last 40 years?

3. The European Green Deal is one example of the policies holding back prosperity. Is the Commission going to reassess it?

Submitted: 17.1.2025