

Question for written answer E-001171/2025
to the Commission
Rule 144
Billy Kelleher (Renew)

Subject: Implementation of the revised Waste Framework Directive – criteria for extended producer responsibility fees for textiles

The revised Waste Framework Directive (WFD) (2008/98/EC) proposes the introduction of eco-modulated extended producer responsibility (EPR) fees for textile waste. Recital 40 states that ‘industrial and commercial practices (...) influence the length of use of the product and the likelihood of a product to become waste’. It also states that to ‘characterise these practices and allow for eco-modulation of EPR fees’, Member States could consider a range of criteria.

Article 22c(3a) provides that Member States may require the producer responsibility organisations to modulate the financial contribution. Article 22c(4) instructs the Commission to propose implementing acts laying down the fee modulation criteria for the application. There remains uncertainty about how recital 40 and the implementing acts interact in shaping EPR fees, as well as how they will interrelate with the delegated acts for apparel and footwear under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR).

Can the Commission therefore explain:

1. the relationship between recital 40 and Articles 22c(3a) and 22c(4) ?
2. how it intends to align the implementation of EPR fee modulation criteria through its implementing acts under the WFD with the ESPR delegated act for apparel/footwear?
3. whether it will conduct an impact assessment before adopting the implementing acts on fee modulation criteria, and if so, what specific aspects it will evaluate?

Submitted: 19.3.2025