

Question for written answer E-002612/2025
to the Commission
Rule 144
Nikolaos Anadiotis (NI)

Subject: Continued and substantial violations of the Prespa Agreement

The recent report of the Committee on Foreign Affairs (AFET), of 24 June 2025,¹ supports the European path of the country with its capital in Skopje, without any reference to the continued and serious violations of the Prespa Agreement.

The state and political leadership of this country continues ostentatiously and relentlessly - more than 700 instances recorded² - to flout the Prespa Agreement in three ways: (a) by systematically using the name 'Macedonia' without the intended geographical designation, (b) by maintaining the prominence of the Vergina Sun and (c) by using the term "Macedonian" in public bodies and organisations, when this is expressly prohibited. These practices constitute not only irregularities but also fundamental violations of the Prespa Agreement, based on Article 60 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

In light of the above:

1. Does the Commission acknowledge that these fundamental violations undermine the purpose and object of the Prespa Agreement?
2. Does the Commission intend to ask the Committee on Foreign Affairs (AFET) to include, in its report, its explicit reservations, as well as the above-mentioned violations by the country in question?
3. Does the Commission intend to reconsider its position on the progress of the accession process?

Submitted: 27.6.2025

¹ <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/press-room/20250602IPR28709/european-parliament-backs-north-macedonia-s-eu-path-calls-for-bold-reforms>

² <https://epitropiellinismou.gr/post/3629>