Question for written answer E-002969/2025
to the Commission
Rule 144
Moritz Körner (Renew)

Subject: Investor communications

In its official investor presentation entitled ‘EU Investor Presentation – Investing in EU-Bonds & EU-Bills’, published on 24 June 2025, the Commission presents the EU as a reliable and democratically legitimate issuer of common debt. In particular, it highlights the EU’s ‘institutional framework that includes the European Parliament – a directly elected EU body’ as a key pillar of this legitimacy and governance structure.

At the same time, the Commission repeatedly sidelines Parliament when it comes to fundamental decisions on EU debt instruments, such as the instrument for temporary Support to mitigate Unemployment Risks in an Emergency (SURE) or the planned Security Action for Europe (SAFE) initiative. Decisions on the scope, purpose and governance of these debt instruments are typically taken between the Commission and the Council, without meaningful parliamentary involvement.

1. Why does the Commission not want to involve Parliament in the decision-making process on EU debt and give it a substantive role in decision-making on EU debt instruments?

2. How does the Commission justify materially misleading its investors by misrepresenting Parliament’s role in its official investor communications?

Submitted: 17.7.2025