

**Question for written answer E-003329/2025**  
**to the Commission**  
Rule 144  
**Piotr Müller (ECR)**

**Subject:** Commission opening the market to Ukrainian products at the expense of EU farmers

Two months after the bridge solution for importing agricultural products from Ukraine was introduced, the tariff-free quotas on sugar, honey and eggs have already been reached while quotas for other products – such as poultry – are well on their way to being filled. EU farmers have therefore once again been exposed to unfair competition, while the Commission tacitly accepts policies that destroy farm incomes and destabilise agricultural markets. It is no longer possible to pretend that this constitutes ‘sustainable support’ for Ukraine when it comes at the expense of European producers who are already bearing the brunt of high energy prices, the ETS and the maze of climate regulations.

In light of the above, I ask:

1. Why is the Commission openly sacrificing the interests of EU farmers, allowing for an exponential influx of Ukrainian products that do not meet the same standards as EU production?
2. Will the Commission acknowledge that its policy is a de facto dismantling of European agriculture – in the name of ideology and geopolitics – at the expense of food security and the stability of rural areas?
3. Will the Commission finally support its own agricultural producers and impose adequate tariffs on agricultural products from Ukraine, which is, after all, not an EU Member State?

Submitted: 28.8.2025