

**Question for written answer E-003426/2025/rev.1
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Anders Vistisen (PfE)

Subject: Transparency of the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority

The European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) has been allowed to operate without any public accountability or transparency.

The Article 3 arrangements under the EIOPA Regulation¹ provide for behind closed doors, undocumented and off-the-record discussion with a limited number of MEPs – if the Chair of EIOPA agrees to attend.

These arrangements are the very antithesis of openness or transparency.

My question to the Commission is regarding standards that should apply to EIOPA operations.

At the time of her appointment, Commissioner Albuquerque indicated that ‘accountability and transparency are not only a requirement, they are a moral imperative’.

1. Does the Commission believe that the accountability and transparency standards the Commissioner espoused should be an imperative for EIOPA, and more specifically, how is EIOPA held accountable to EU citizens?
2. Does the Commission believe that it should have the competence to review EIOPA failures in openness and transparency?
3. How are the highly restrained arrangements under Article 3 of the EIOPA Regulation compatible with the openness and transparency standards that the Commission espouses?

Submitted: 4.9.2025

¹ OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, pp. 48–83, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2010/1094/oj>.