

**Question for written answer E-003583/2025/rev.1
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Tobiasz Bocheński (ECR)

Subject: Cost-benefit analysis methodology on the ecodesign of solid fuel local space heaters (SFLSH)

The available scientific assessments indicate that the cost-benefit analysis of the new version of the regulation on the ecodesign requirements for solid fuel local space heaters (SFLSH) is based on simplified and opaque assumptions, including a failure to disclose data sources, the number of devices and the unit costs (capital expenditure and operating expenditure).

1. Which methodology did the Commission use for this analysis and which input data were used for the calculations?
2. How does the Commission ensure the integrity and transparency of analyses that could have serious financial implications for millions of EU citizens?

Submitted: 17.9.2025