

**Question for written answer E-004252/2025**  
**to the Commission**  
Rule 144  
**Ioan-Rareş Bogdan (PPE)**

Subject: Clarifications on the restriction of certain chemicals

The measures the Commission has proposed over the years relating to restrictions aimed at protecting against lead exposure are to be welcomed. The International Federation for Shooting with Sports Hunting Weapons (*Fédération Internationale de Tir aux Armes Sportives de Chasse* – FITASC), has analysed the draft Regulation amending Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) and has contacted me regarding the lead in shot. I should therefore like to request certain clarifications.

1. Is it possible to have just one specific means of lead containment? FITASC's reasoning: containment measures are site-specific and only applicable where conditions permit, while for areas where such measures are impossible, pH monitoring and treatment of impact zones, where necessary, is proposed.
2. Is the Commission considering limiting the recovery of spent lead shot solely to cases where the quantity accumulated covers the cost of collection? FITASC's reasoning: if the chemical mobility of lead is blocked due to the pH neutrality of the soil, recovery should not be mandatory every 3 years, but only when the quantity involved means that its collection will pay for itself.

Submitted: 29.10.2025