

**Question for written answer E-004266/2025  
to the Commission**  
Rule 144  
**Sofie Eriksson (S&D)**

**Subject:** Relationship between the Commission's steel tariff mechanism proposal and CBAM

In its European steel and metals action plan of 19 March 2025, the Commission announced that, by the fourth quarter of 2025 at the latest, it would conduct a comprehensive review of CBAM, accompanied by an anti-circumvention strategy and by an initial legislative proposal to extend the scope of CBAM to certain steel- and aluminium-intensive downstream products and include additional anti-circumvention measures. This was followed by a general consultation completed on 25 August 2025. We are currently awaiting the Commission's proposal for a legislative act. At the same time, EU legislators are currently discussing the proposal for a regulation on addressing the trade-related effects of global overcapacity on the Union steel market (COM(2025)0726), which sheds light on the relationship between these two sets of rules.

1. How does the Commission view the relationship between COM(2025)0726 and CBAM in the event that an item's tariff code is covered by both sets of rules?
2. Does an overlap mean that importers might have to pay fees under CBAM and under the new tariff mechanism, i.e. the cost of emissions plus 50 % ad valorem?
3. To make things more predictable, can the Commission bring forward an overlap analysis on which products are covered by both CBAM and COM(2025)0726?

Submitted: 30.10.2025