

**Question for written answer E-004887/2025
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Markéta Gregorová (Verts/ALE)

Subject: Exclusion of Rosatom-affiliated entities from EU State aid and public procurement

Despite documented evidence of Rosatom's complicity in torture and nuclear safety violations at Zaporizhzhia nuclear power plant, EU companies maintain commercial relationships with Rosatom directly and through its network of subsidiaries and joint ventures operating across multiple jurisdictions.

The fragmented corporate structure of Rosatom, including entities such as TVEL, Technobexport, Atomenergomash, and dozens of subsidiaries, creates regulatory blind spots that enable continued business operations while circumventing EU corporate sustainability frameworks. In September 2025, the EU Court of Justice annulled Commission approval of EUR 12.5 billion State aid for Rosatom's Paks II project, ruling that the Commission failed to verify EU public procurement compliance.

1. What criteria can the Commission establish to restrict, suspend, or exclude companies maintaining commercial relationships with Rosatom-affiliated entities from EU State aid, public procurement contracts, and Horizon Europe research funding?
2. What due diligence and beneficial ownership transparency requirements are applicable to prevent circumvention of EU corporate sustainability frameworks through shell companies and intermediaries?

Submitted: 10.12.2025