

**Question for written answer E-005032/2025
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Cynthia Ní Mhurchú (Renew)

Subject: Follow-up on the Commission's answer to Written Question P-004127/2025 on the compatibility of Italy's Decree Law 688 with Community law and on the closure of case C-519/23

In replying to my priority question P-004127/2025¹, itself a follow-up to Written Question E-001324/2025², the Commission again failed to answer whether Italy's Decree Law 688 is compatible with Community law.

Regarding the closure of case C-519/23, Italy's largest trade union, FLC CGIL, furnished the Commission with the results of a census showing that discrimination persisted in the majority of Italian universities. This was sent on 19 March 2025 and was in response to a request by the Commission in February.

In April, the Commission stated that 'as agreed' it would not examine the data it had requested, but would forward it to the Italian authorities for their observations. FLC CGIL answered on 28 April 2025 that it had not agreed to this arrangement and it had expected the Commission itself to examine the census data.

Will the Commission:

1. give a 'yes' or 'no' answer to the question of whether it considers the limit to the years for which Lettori are entitled to backdated settlements for discrimination, prescribed in Decree Law 688, to be in conformity with EU law;
2. explain why it did not examine the Lettori census data but instead closed case C-519/23 based exclusively on evidence from Italy, the defendant in the case?

Submitted: 19.12.2025

¹ https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-10-2025-004127_EN.html.

² https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-10-2025-001324_EN.html