

Question for written answer E-002897/2026
to the Commission
Rule 144
Arkadiusz Mularczyk (ECR)

Subject: Nuremberg findings as ‘established historical facts’ in EU-funded remembrance

In its answer of 30 June 2026 to Written Question E-004595/2025¹, the Commission stated that it ‘expects funded initiatives to respect established historical facts and avoid distortion, denial or relativisation’ of crimes committed under the Nazi regime.

The 1946 judgment of the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg found that Germany had planned, prepared, initiated and waged a war of aggression against Poland, describing aggressive war as ‘the supreme international crime’. The United Nations General Assembly affirmed the Nuremberg principles and judgment in Resolution 95(I) of 11 December 1946².

1. Does the Commission confirm that these findings, including Germany’s responsibility for initiating and waging a war of aggression against Poland, constitute ‘established historical facts’ within the meaning of its answer to E-004595/2025?
2. Will the Commission ensure that the award criteria, monitoring and contractual compliance requirements it cited are applied so that EU-funded projects relating to the Second World War reflect the Nuremberg findings about the perpetrator of the war, and can it give an example of such enforcement to date?

Submitted: 13.7.2026

¹ https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-10-2025-004595_EN.html.

² https://legal.un.org/avl/pdf/ha/ga_95-i/ga_95-i_ph_e.pdf.