Question for written answer E-003045/2026
to the Commission
Rule 144
Anna Maria Cisint (PfE), Susanna Ceccardi (PfE), Silvia Sardone (PfE), Isabella Tovaglieri (PfE), Raffaele Stancanelli (PfE), Paolo Borchia (PfE), Aldo Patriciello (PfE)

Subject: Infringement procedure against all Member States for failure to transpose the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive

The Commission has launched infringement procedures against all 27 Member States for failing to transpose the EPBD on 'green homes'. The fact that no Member State has met the transposition deadline raises questions about the practical feasibility of the regulatory framework adopted.

If all the Member States have encountered difficulties severe enough to prevent them from meeting the deadline, it is fair to wonder whether the directive is properly tailored to the different economic, social and housing conditions in the EU, particularly in countries such as Italy, which has a vast private and historical property stock.

Rather than merely launching infringement procedures against all Member States, the Commission should assess whether this state of affairs shows that the directive's design needs to be reconsidered, with a view to preventing disproportionate economic and property effects for citizens and property owners.

In the light of the above:

1. Does the Commission think that the Member States' collective failure to transpose the directive calls for an overhaul of the directive or an assessment into whether it should be repealed?

2. How does it justify launching infringement proceedings against all Member States, rather than fostering a dialogue to identify changes that would make implementation more realistic, sustainable and effective?

Submitted: 17.7.2026