

Question for written answer E-003821/2026
to the Commission
Rule 144
Virginie Joron (PfE)

Subject: Prostitution of minors online from Switzerland: what are Brussels and Paris doing about it?

In its article of 20 September 2026, *Le Point* describes a mother's struggle to secure the closure of Sexemodel, now Meslibertines, an escort ad platform established in Switzerland, suspected of having allowed her then 13-year-old daughter to be sexually exploited on three occasions¹.

The Paris Public Prosecutor's Office has opened an investigation and the High Commissioner for Children has notified the site to the French Regulatory Authority for Audiovisual and Digital Communication to have it shut down, without success to date. This case reveals the lack of clarity as to the jurisdiction applicable to platforms accessible from but established outside the European Union.

1. The Digital Services Act requires any platform accessible from but established outside the European Union to appoint a legal representative in a Member State (Article 13). Which authority is competent to sanction the foreign platform: the Commission or the competent authorities of a Member State?
2. Has the Commission contacted the Swiss authorities to penalise the platform?
3. What procedure and specific means does it use, alone or alongside the competent national Digital Services Coordinator, to block access from the European market to foreign child pornography websites?

Submitted: 23.9.2026

¹ Émilie Trevert, 'Prostitution des mineurs: l'incroyable combat d'une mère pour obtenir une enquête', *Le Point*, 20 September 2026, <https://www.lepoint.fr/societe/fleau-VO66DN25PJBBHADUUVID75DMQI/>.