

**Question for written answer E-003908/2026
to the Commission**

Rule 144

Alexandra Geese (Verts/ALE), Nicolae Ștefănuță (Verts/ALE), Sabine Verheyen (PPE), Lucia Yar (Renew), Dan Barna (Renew), Sandro Ruotolo (S&D), David Cormand (Verts/ALE), Kim Van Sparrentak (Verts/ALE), Jutta Paulus (Verts/ALE), Rudi Kennes (The Left), Elisabeth Grossmann (S&D), Benedetta Scuderi (Verts/ALE)

Subject: Follow-up on P-001840/2026: enforcement timeline for Digital Services Act proceedings against Meta and Google over dangerous health-product advertising

In its answer to Priority Question P-001840/2026¹, the Commission confirmed that it had preliminarily found Meta in breach of its obligation to provide users with simple mechanisms to report illegal content. The Commission also stated that it had sent requests for information to Google Play and Google Search related to scams and fraudulent content.

More than two years after the first proceedings were opened, no formal decision has been adopted. Meanwhile, national courts, such as the Regional Court Frankfurt am Main (*Landgericht Frankfurt am Main*) in Germany, are stepping in and issuing rulings against Meta for fraudulent adverts. The persistent spread of such deceptive advertising suggests a systemic risk to public health (Article 34(1) of the Digital Services Act (DSA)).

Against this background:

1. When does the Commission expect to conclude the Meta proceedings, and what concrete procedural steps and timeline does it foresee to ensure the mitigation of risks (Article 35 DSA)?
2. What was the outcome of the requests for information addressed to Google, and does the Commission intend to open formal proceedings?
3. Is the Commission considering interim measures (Article 70 DSA) to contain the risk of serious damage for citizens in the EU as a result of the continued dissemination of deceptive health-product advertising?

Submitted: 29.9.2026

¹ https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/P-10-2026-001840-ASW_EN.html.