

**Question for written answer E-004162/2026/rev.1
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Martin Günther (The Left)

Subject: EU accountability for Maasai rights, conservation funding and human rights violations in Tanzania

In its reply to Written Question E-001333/2025¹, the Commission defended regulated trophy hunting and called for respect for Maasai rights. In its reply to Written Question E-001130/2025², it confirmed that EUR 95.38 million in EU conservation funding had been allocated to Tanzania since 2014.

However, in August 2026, its own Delegation reported coercive relocations affecting approximately 100 000 Maasai, restricted access to essential services, ranger abuses and lack of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC), describing a ‘conservation model rooted in colonial-era thinking’.

UNESCO Decision 48 COM 7B.67³ calls for full consultation with rightsholders and an international review before abandoning the multiple land use model.

1. Which EU-funded projects concern Ngorongoro/Loliondo or relevant authorities, and have the safeguards regarding human rights, FPIC and grievances been reassessed following these findings?
2. Given its Delegation’s findings, what further evidence does the Commission require to recognise the serious human rights violations committed against Maasai communities, and what concrete measures, beyond diplomatic dialogue, will it take under Article 21 of the Treaty on European Union?
3. Will the Commission raise the issue of the implementation of UNESCO Decision 48 COM 7B.67 at the next Partnership Dialogue, establish measurable benchmarks and lay down consequences for non-compliance?

Submitted: 14.10.2026

¹ https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-10-2025-001333-ASW_EN.html.

² https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/E-10-2025-001130-ASW_EN.html.

³ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/decisions/9106/>.