

(English version)

**Question for written answer E-000322/25
to the Commission
Rada Laykova (ESN)
(24 January 2025)**

Subject: European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority — lack of transparency in reports

In July 2024 the Joint Board of Appeal of the European Supervisory Authorities allowed an appeal by the insurance company NOVIS in relation to access to documents held by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) (BoA-D-2024-05).

EIOPA released a ‘sanitised’ version of a single document with over 70% of the text redacted (EIOPA-BoS-22-293, recommendation to Národná banka Slovenska on actions necessary to comply with Directive 2009/138/EC).

EIOPA’s behaviour in this and other cases (e.g. Euroins Romania) is not in line with EU Treaty commitments that ‘decisions are taken as openly as possible’.

Recognising that transparency is key to building confidence in institutions, will the Commission:

1. arrange for non-redacted versions of this document to be made available to MEPs?
2. commit to examining how EIOPA’s repeated lack of transparency, as demonstrated in this and other cases, can be addressed?

Submitted: 24.1.2025

**Answer given by Ms Albuquerque on behalf of the European Commission
(8 April 2025)**

Article 1(6) of the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)’s founding Regulation ⁽¹⁾ (Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 or ‘EIOPA Regulation’) sets out that EIOPA will act independently and objectively and in the interests of the EU.

The Commission is not competent to review the EIOPA’s decision to refuse access to documents. Neither is the Commission competent to examine the EIOPA’s alleged lack of transparency. The Commission notes that EIOPA acts independently in accordance with the EIOPA Regulation.

Pursuant to Article 3 of the EIOPA Regulation, the chairperson of EIOPA may be requested to hold confidential oral discussions with relevant participants of the competent committee of the European Parliament.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 48-83.